



## REPORT

# Assessment of Corrective Measures

*Great River Energy, Stanton Station, Closed Bottom Ash Landfill*

Submitted to:

**Great River Energy**

12300 Elm Creek Blvd., Maple Grove, Minnesota, 55369

Submitted by:

**Golder Associates USA Inc.**

7245 W Alaska Drive, Suite 200, Lakewood, Colorado, USA 80226

+1 303 980 0540

21509219-18-R-0

November 21, 2022



# Table of Contents

<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose .....	1
1.2 Site Background .....	2
1.3 Site Closure and Restoration .....	2
1.3.1 Bottom Ash Landfill Closure and Cover Construction .....	3
<b>2.0 SITE CONDITIONS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Regional and Site Geology .....	4
2.2 Site Hydrogeology .....	4
2.3 Groundwater Flow Conditions .....	4
<b>3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 Groundwater Monitoring Program .....	5
3.2 Site-Specific Groundwater Protection Standards (GWPS) .....	5
3.3 Assessment Monitoring SSLs .....	6
<b>4.0 NATURE AND EXTENT INVESTIGATION .....</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 Field Investigation Activities .....	7
4.1.1 Property Boundary Well Installation .....	7
4.1.2 May 2022 Nature and Extent Well Installation .....	7
4.1.3 Stratigraphic Cross Sections .....	7
4.1.4 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis .....	8
4.1.5 Solids Sampling and Analysis .....	8
4.2 Preliminary Results .....	9
4.3 Additional Field Investigation .....	10
<b>5.0 ASSESSMENT OF CORRECTIVE MEASURES .....</b>	<b>10</b>
5.1 Objectives of the Corrective Measures .....	10
5.2 Source Control Corrective Measures .....	11
5.2.1 Bottom Ash Landfill Closure .....	11

5.2.2	Closure by Removal .....	13
5.2.3	In Situ Stabilization .....	13
5.3	Groundwater Remediation Corrective Measures .....	14
5.3.1	Monitored Natural Attenuation and Enhanced Monitored Natural Attenuation .....	15
5.3.2	Hydraulic Containment (Groundwater Pump and Treat) .....	16
5.3.3	Geochemical Approaches (In Situ Injection) .....	16
5.3.4	Permeable Reactive Barriers .....	17
5.3.5	Phytoremediation .....	17
<b>6.0</b>	<b>NEXT STEPS/REMEDY SELECTION .....</b>	<b>18</b>
6.1	Additional Data Gathering .....	18
6.1.1	Continuing Nature and Extent Investigation .....	18
6.1.2	Hydraulic Testing .....	19
6.1.3	Geochemistry Evaluation .....	19
6.1.4	Ongoing Desktop Analysis .....	19
6.2	Schedule and Reporting .....	19
<b>7.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>22</b>

## TABLES

Table 1 Stanton Station Site-Specific Groundwater Protection Standards

Table 2 Source Control Corrective Measures Comparison

Table 3 Groundwater Remediation Corrective Measures Comparison

## FIGURES

Figure 1 Monitoring Well Network

Figure 2 Arsenic SSL Nature and Extent

Figure 3 Location of Stratigraphic Sections

Figure 4 Stratigraphic Section A

Figure 5 Stratigraphic Section B

Figure 6 Additional Nature and Extent Wells

## **APPENDICES**

### **APPENDIX A**

Bottom Ash Landfill Closure Drawings

### **APPENDIX B**

May 2022 Boring Logs and Well Completion Information

### **APPENDIX C**

Analytical Results from May 2022 Nature and Extent Wells

### **APPENDIX D**

Soil Testing Analytical Results

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) Rule 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 257 and the North Dakota Department of Environmental Quality (NDDEQ) CCR Rule found at North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) Title 33.1 Article 20 Chapter 08, Golder Associates USA Inc. (Golder), a member of WSP, has prepared this assessment of corrective measures (ACM) report for the Bottom Ash Landfill at Great River Energy's (GRE) Stanton Station. This ACM has been prepared to evaluate potential groundwater corrective measures to address a statistically significant level (SSL) of arsenic in groundwater at the Bottom Ash Landfill identified at monitoring well MW-103.

The SSL for arsenic at MW-103 was identified on March 28, 2022, when Assessment Monitoring statistics were completed following the fourth quarter (Q4) 2021 sampling and testing event. A notification identifying the SSL for arsenic was prepared and both posted online and submitted to the NDDEQ on April 27, 2022 (within 30 days of identifying the SSL). The ACM was initiated on June 24, 2022, within 90 days of identifying the SSL for arsenic. A 60-day extension approved by the NDDEQ on September 16, 2022, altered the deadline for completion of the ACM to November 21, 2022.

### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this ACM is to identify potential corrective measures to prevent further releases, to remediate any identified releases, and to restore the affected area to original conditions for groundwater at the Bottom Ash Landfill. In particular, the ACM evaluates corrective measure(s) alternatives for groundwater corrective action at the site given the site conditions and constituent(s) of concern. Based on the results of the ACM, further evaluation may be performed, site-specific studies completed, and a final long-term corrective action plan will be developed and implemented pursuant to 40 CFR 257.97 and -98 and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6) and (7). This process is typically iterative and may be composed of multiple steps to analyze the effectiveness of corrective measures to address the potential migration of CCR constituents in groundwater at the Bottom Ash Landfill.

Both the Federal and State rules indicate that corrective measure assessments should include an analysis of the effectiveness of potential corrective measures addressing the following as stated in 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c):

- Performance of potential remedies
- Reliability of potential remedies
- Ease of implementation of potential remedies
- Potential impacts of potential remedies, including safety impacts, cross-media impacts, and control of exposure to any residual contamination
- Time required to begin and complete the remedy
- Any institutional requirements that may substantially affect implementation of the selected remedy, such as state or local permit requirements
- Other environmental or public health requirements that may substantially affect implementation of the selected remedy

These evaluation criteria were considered for each potential remedy and are discussed in more detail in the following sections. Once potential corrective measures are identified, they will be further evaluated, and a remedy will be selected using the criteria outlined in 40 CFR 257.97 and -98 and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6) and (7).

## 1.2 Site Background

Stanton Station was a coal-fired electric generation facility located along the Missouri River in Mercer County, approximately three miles southeast of Stanton, North Dakota. Stanton Station began generating power in 1966 and ceased power production in February 2017. Demolition of the industrial site was finished in 2019, with site restoration completed in 2020. CCRs were managed in composite-lined surface water impoundment cells and dry waste facilities regulated and permitted by the NDDEQ in accordance with NDAC Article 33.1-20, Solid Waste Management and Land Protection.

Stanton Station has two CCR units in the purview of the Federal and State CCR rules:

- Bottom Ash CCR Landfill (Bottom Ash Landfill)
- Bottom Ash CCR Surface Impoundment (Bottom Ash Impoundment)

Locations of the two CCR units, and the Bottom Ash Landfill groundwater monitoring wells are shown in Figure 1. This ACM pertains only to the Bottom Ash Landfill.

## 1.3 Site Closure and Restoration

Site restoration activities began in the summer of 2019 and were completed in the summer of 2020. These activities primarily included closure of the Bottom Ash Landfill and Bottom Ash Impoundment as well as re-grading the site to promote drainage and vegetative growth.

The north and center cells of the Bottom Ash Impoundment were closed by removal of CCR and liner systems in the fall of 2019. The west half of the permitted Bottom Ash Landfill footprint (where active disposal had not historically occurred) was also closed by removal of CCR and impacted soil in the fall of 2019. The south cell of the Bottom Ash Impoundment and the east side of the Bottom Ash Landfill were closed in 2020 with permitted wastes remaining in-place and in accordance with the final cover designs outlined in their respective Closure and Post-Closure Plans (Golder 2019a and Golder 2019b). The closure process included placement of a cover system designed to minimize infiltration and erosion and to meet or exceed the requirements of 40 CFR 257.102(d)(3)(ii) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-07(3)(d)(3)(b).

The activities described above along with other activities associated with site closure and restoration affected both the hydrology and hydrogeology of the site. Specifically, construction of engineered covers and site grading to promote surface water drainage reduced surface water recharge in the area around the CCR units. These changes to surface water hydrology along with other site changes such as the removal of surface water impoundments (north and center cells of the Bottom Ash Impoundment and site non-CCR stormwater impoundments) and removal of pumped plant sumps also likely affected site hydrogeology near the CCR units and across the former Stanton Station site. Changes to the site near surface hydrogeology likely affect infiltration through CCR and soils, flow rates, and flow direction. Changes to groundwater chemistry downgradient of the site closure and restoration areas are anticipated to occur while the natural systems reach new equilibrium conditions following construction. These changes complicate the interpretation of groundwater data and make it difficult to identify the cause of groundwater changes with certainty.

### 1.3.1 Bottom Ash Landfill Closure and Cover Construction

Documentation of the closure and cover construction at the Bottom Ash Landfill was included in the CQA report provided to the NDDEQ (Golder 2021b). Construction activities associated with the closure of the Bottom Ash Landfill included consolidation (i.e., reduction in areal size) of the footprint, containment berm construction, waste compaction, and construction of a final cover system. Each construction activity included construction quality assurance testing and monitoring and was overseen by a professional engineer licensed in the state of North Dakota. Construction drawings for the Bottom Ash Landfill closure are included as Appendix A.

#### Partial Closure by Removal

At the time of closure, the majority of historical bottom ash was placed in the east half of the original permitted landfill footprint. To consolidate the Bottom Ash Landfill footprint, bottom ash and potentially impacted soil was removed from the west side of the original permitted landfill footprint and placed within the consolidated Bottom Ash Landfill footprint. Verification of removal of bottom ash from the west side was performed and overseen by a professional engineer licensed in the state of North Dakota (Golder 2021b).

#### Containment Berm

A containment berm was constructed along the west side of the consolidated Bottom Ash Landfill footprint to provide an edge to the reduced landfill footprint that was keyed into the underlying natural soils, to contain runoff during construction, and to serve as a tie-in location for the final cover system. Embankment fill material consisted of clay materials and was compacted to a minimum of 95% maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D698 (standard Proctor).

#### Waste Re-Grading

Prior to placement of the cover components, the waste in the Bottom Ash Landfill was compacted to a firm and unyielding surface. Waste slopes were re-graded to between 3% and 6% to direct stormwater off and away from the closed and covered landfill and to reduce erosion.

#### Final Cover

The final cover over the Bottom Ash Landfill included an infiltration layer and a topsoil layer as described below.

- Infiltration layer construction involved hauling and placing material to obtain the design thickness of a minimum of 18 inches over the area receiving final cover. Infiltration layer material consisted of clay materials and was compacted to a minimum of 95% maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D698 (standard Proctor). Three hydraulic conductivity tests of thin-wall samples of the infiltration layer were conducted, indicating values between  $7 \times 10^{-8}$  cm/sec and  $8 \times 10^{-8}$  cm/sec. This hydraulic conductivity is significantly lower than the underlying natural soil and the project requirements (less than or equal to  $3 \times 10^{-6}$  cm/sec).
- The 18-inch infiltration layer was overlain by a minimum of 6 inches of topsoil. The topsoil was tested for agricultural properties including sodium adsorption ratio, electrical conductivity, and organic matter. Each collected sample met the project specifications to allow for successful vegetative cover growth.

Following closure of the Bottom Ash Landfill and Bottom Ash Impoundment, an updated post-closure care plan was prepared for the site in 2022 (Golder 2022). Maintenance will be provided on the final cover system for the required post-closure care period so that the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover system is maintained. Maintenance activities will include, as needed, repairs to the final cover to correct any effects related to

settlement, subsidence, erosion, or other events, and will be performed to prevent run-on or run-off from eroding or otherwise damaging the final cover.

## 2.0 SITE CONDITIONS

The Stanton Station site is located along the Missouri River, and the general area is primarily characterized by the presence of glacial deposits, with alluvial deposits dominating near-surface geology adjacent to the Missouri River. The following sections detail the regional and site geology and hydrogeology.

### 2.1 Regional and Site Geology

Regional geology of the area surrounding Stanton Station is documented in the Hydrogeologic Assessment Report, Stanton Station Ash Ponds (Braun 1993). Physiographically, Stanton Station is located in the Missouri Slope District of the Glaciated Missouri Plateau Section of the Great Plains Province. Subsurface and surficial stratigraphy of Mercer County and the adjacent Oliver County were reviewed in depth by C.G. Carlson for the North Dakota Geological Society (Carlson 1973). Primary near-surface stratigraphic units in the area of Stanton Station include the Tongue River Formation and Cannonball Formation, with named lignite beds prominent in the vicinity of the site.

Near-surface geology at Stanton Station consists of two primary geologic units: the upper alluvial terrace deposits of the Missouri River, and underlying sediments and bedrock belonging to the Bullion Creek Formation, each of which have varying extents and thicknesses across the site (Braun 1993).

### 2.2 Site Hydrogeology

The principal hydrostratigraphic unit and uppermost water-bearing unit in the vicinity of the Bottom Ash Landfill and the Bottom Ash Impoundment consists of alluvial deposits, which include two subunits: an upper silty sand and clay, and an underlying outwash sand and gravel. Individually, these subunits are laterally heterogeneous and geologic conditions within these subunits can be characterized by interbedded layers of gravel, sand, silt, clay, and coal.

Due to variations in subunit thickness throughout the site, groundwater in the uppermost water bearing unit is monitored in both the outwash subunit and the silty sand and clay subunit, with flow generally moving from southwest to northeast towards the Missouri River. Depths from the ground surface to the uppermost water-bearing unit range from 5 to 20 feet in the area around Stanton Station.

### 2.3 Groundwater Flow Conditions

The groundwater gradient across the site is influenced by the subsurface units (Braun 1993). Hydraulic conductivities measured from site wells in the upper silty sand unit ranged from a minimum of  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  centimeters per second (cm/sec), or approximately 0.04 feet per day (ft/day), to  $2.8 \times 10^{-3}$  cm/sec, or approximately 7.9 ft/day (Braun 1993). The shallow groundwater at Stanton Station generally flows to the northeast, towards the Missouri River.

The groundwater flow rate across each facility was estimated with the equation  $V_s = k \times \frac{i}{n_e}$ , where:

- $V_s$  is the groundwater flow rate in feet per day (ft/day).
- $k$  is the hydraulic conductivity in ft/day, estimated from slug testing results from site wells.

- $i$  is the hydraulic gradient in feet per foot (ft/ft), calculated based on groundwater elevations for the presented monitoring events.
- $n_e$  is the effective porosity, a unitless parameter, estimated to be 0.25 for a silt/sand (Duffield 2007), reflective of site soils.

The range of groundwater flow velocities calculated for the units during the November (Q4) 2021 and May/June (Q2) 2022 monitoring sampling events are shown below. The groundwater flow rates are presented below based on a range of measured hydraulic conductivity ( $k$ ) values from 0.04 ft/day to 7.9 ft/day.

- November (Q4) 2021: 0.0014 to 0.26 ft/day, based on a gradient of 0.008 ft/ft
- May/June (Q2) 2022: 0.0013 to 0.23 ft/day, based on a gradient of 0.007 ft/ft

## 3.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING SUMMARY

### 3.1 Groundwater Monitoring Program

The CCR groundwater monitoring system at Stanton Station is designed to identify potential impacts from the Bottom Ash Landfill. The Bottom Ash Landfill monitoring wells are installed and screened at appropriate locations and depths to obtain groundwater samples from the uppermost water bearing unit. The number and spacing of the downgradient monitoring wells were selected based on the hydrogeologic conditions at the site and the areal extent of the CCR unit, such that impacts to groundwater quality in the uppermost water bearing unit can be detected along potential flow pathways if they were to occur. The groundwater monitoring system is described in depth in the Coal Combustion Residuals Groundwater Monitoring System Certification, Revision 1 (Golder 2020).

### 3.2 Site-Specific Groundwater Protection Standards (GWPS)

Site-specific groundwater protection standards (GWPS) provided in Table 1 were established for statistical comparison with assessment monitoring results. Site-specific GWPS were developed from upgradient and side-gradient background locations (MW-8B, MW-7A, MW-7B, MW-105, and MW-6B), with data collected between the start of the CCR monitoring program in 2016 and Q4 2021.

Per 40 CFR 257.95(h) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(5)(h), the site-specific GWPS must fall within one of the following categories:

- For constituents for which a maximum contaminant level (MCL) has been established by the USEPA (40 CFR 141.62 and 141.66), the MCL for that constituent will be the site-specific GWPS.
- For the following constituents, the following alternative specified limits (ASL) apply:
  - Cobalt – 0.006 milligrams per liter (mg/L)
  - Lead – 0.015 mg/L
  - Lithium – 0.04 mg/L
  - Molybdenum – 0.1 mg/L
- For constituents where the background baseline concentration is higher than the specified levels noted above, a statistical limit determined from the background baseline data will be the site-specific GWPS.

Based on the pooled background dataset, the MCL or ASL (as indicated above) were set as the site-specific GWPS for the assessment monitoring constituents, with the exception of lithium.

All measured concentrations of lithium from the upgradient and side-gradient background locations collected to date are greater than the ASL. The pooled background dataset for lithium displayed a non-normal distribution, resulting in a GWPS of 0.325 mg/L as the highest value in the pooled background dataset, as shown in Table 1.

### 3.3 Assessment Monitoring SSLs

During Assessment Monitoring sampling events, groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for the assessment monitoring parameters to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 257.95(b) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(5). Analytical data from both the annual and semi-annual Assessment Monitoring events have been statistically analyzed pursuant to 40 CFR 257.93(f) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(3)(f), using the methods for Assessment Monitoring described in detail in the Coal Combustion Residuals Groundwater Statistical Methods Certification, Revision 2 (Golder 2021a).

Statistical analysis of the assessment monitoring results from groundwater sampling and analysis of the wells downgradient of the Bottom Ash Landfill (MW-102, MW-9N, and MW-103) was performed to evaluate if detected constituent concentrations were SSLs relative to the site-specific GWPS using a confidence interval approach.

A confidence ( $\alpha$ ) of 95% was used for calculating the parametric Upper Confidence Limit (UCL) and Lower Confidence Limit (LCL) of the compliance data. For determination of SSLs, the LCL is of primary interest. A confidence interval is only considered statistically above the associated GWPS if both the UCL and LCL exceed the GWPS. If only the UCL exceeds the GWPS while the LCL remains below the GWPS, the results of the test are considered inconclusive. The Unified Guidance recommends results of this category to be interpreted as “in compliance” and not consider the results to represent an SSL. If both the UCL and LCL are below the GWPS, the data are considered not statistically significant.

An SSL was identified for arsenic at MW-103 following the Q4 2021 Assessment Monitoring sampling event. Following identification of the SSL, an alternative source demonstration (ASD) was evaluated, with insufficient evidence found to conclusively document an alternate source for arsenic at MW-103. On June 24, 2022, GRE initiated an assessment of corrective measures for the Bottom Ash Landfill based on the SSL for arsenic at MW-103.

NDAC subdivision 33.1-20-08-06(6)(a) states: “The assessment of corrective measures must be completed within 90 days, unless the owner or operator demonstrates the need for additional time to complete the assessment of corrective measures due to site-specific conditions or circumstance and obtains approval by the department. The 90-day deadline to complete the assessment of corrective measures may be extended for no longer than 60 days.” Similarly, 40 CFR 257.96(a) allows the 90-day deadline for an assessment of corrective measures to be extended for no longer than 60 days. Golder requested, and received, a 60-day extension to the deadline for completion of the ACM to allow for additional nature and extent evaluation, including survey, additional water quality sampling and testing, and installation of additional wells north and northwest of MW-103. A better understanding of the nature and extent of the elevated arsenic levels will aid in evaluating potential corrective measures for both source control and groundwater improvement. Survey and additional water quality sampling and testing was completed within the 60-day extension; however, the additional wells could not be drilled within this time frame. Although efforts were made to contract with multiple drillers during the 60-day extension window, drill rig availability and weather conditions have delayed installation of additional wells, now scheduled for December 2022. The additional information obtained from these wells will be used in future remedy selection.

## 4.0 NATURE AND EXTENT INVESTIGATION

### 4.1 Field Investigation Activities

After identifying arsenic as an SSL at MW-103, a site investigation plan was developed to help characterize the nature and extent of arsenic above the GWPS per 40 CFR 257.95(g)(1) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(5)(g)(1). The initial nature and extent characterization was evaluated based on available information pertaining to groundwater flow direction and gradient.

#### 4.1.1 Property Boundary Well Installation

A monitoring well was installed at the downgradient property boundary adjacent to the Missouri River (MW-PB1) in the direction of groundwater flow. This well is being sampled per the schedule established for Assessment Monitoring.

#### 4.1.2 May 2022 Nature and Extent Well Installation

Following the SSL identification, additional monitoring wells have been installed to determine the horizontal extent of impacts of the identified constituent. Five wells, MW-210, MW-211, MW-212, MW-213, and MW-214, were installed and developed in May 2022, and are shown in Figure 2. Wells were placed approximately 100 feet to the west (MW-211) and east (MW-212) of MW-103. Additionally, wells were placed in the downgradient direction of groundwater flow, approximately 250 feet (MW-210) and 500 feet (MW-213) to the northeast of MW-103. One additional upgradient well (MW-214) was drilled to the southwest of the Bottom Ash Landfill, approximately 250 feet from the Bottom Ash Landfill footprint between Highway 200A and an active rail line.

In addition to the initial round of wells installed for delineating the nature and extent of arsenic in groundwater, a borehole (BH-1) was drilled through the cover of the closed Bottom Ash Landfill to collect a sample of the deposited bottom ash for characterization. Precautions were taken during drilling to minimize disturbance of the cover system, to sample the bottom ash, and to backfill the borehole and repair the cover system following drilling. Excess bottom ash collected during drilling was redeposited within the borehole, and the borehole was sealed with 2 feet of bentonite overlain with 18 inches of soil.

Boring logs and well completion information for these nature and extent wells is included in Appendix B.

#### 4.1.3 Stratigraphic Cross Sections

Stratigraphic cross sections were compiled based on boring information from current site monitoring wells, new nature and extent wells, and historical borings and monitoring wells across the site (Figure 3 to Figure 5). Note that boring information has been projected to applicable sections and that historical borings may not match existing surface grades due to changes in site geometry since those borings were completed.

Figure 3 provides a plan view showing the locations of the referenced boreholes and two stratigraphic sections. Figure 4 presents a section along the downgradient (north) boundary of the Bottom Ash Landfill. Figure 5 presents a section across the Bottom Ash Landfill and MW-103, approximately in-line with the groundwater flow direction.

The cross sections shown in Figures 4 and 5 illustrate the site geologic variability in the near surface soils that was briefly described in Section 2.0. Each geologic section is summarized in more detail below:

- West to East Cross Section (Figure 4):
  - The near-surface geology on the north side of the Bottom Ash Landfill is generally in line with the site-wide geologic description, with a lower-permeability zone of silty and clayey soils underlain by more continuous sand and gravel between 15 and 20 feet below ground surface.
  - Sand and gravel seams exist in the near subsurface, but these seams appear to be discontinuous based on field investigations.
  - A near-surface coal seam is present along the east downgradient side of the Bottom Ash Landfill. Apparently discontinuous coal seams are noted in the near-surface to the west and east of MW-211. Coal was noted in the MW-9N, MW-103, MW-212, and MW-104 borings, but not within the boring at MW-211.
- Southwest to Northeast Cross Section (Figure 5):
  - The geology near the Bottom Ash Landfill is generally consistent with the site-wide geologic description, with a lower-permeability zone of silty and clayey soils underlain by a more continuous sand and gravel layer.
  - Sand and gravel become more prevalent downgradient of the Bottom Ash Landfill, nearer to the Missouri River.
  - As noted previously, coal was noted in the MW-103 boring.

#### **4.1.4 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis**

Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.96(b) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(b), groundwater at the Bottom Ash Landfill continues to be monitored in accordance with the Assessment Monitoring program. Groundwater samples were collected from the site wells in June 2022 and analyzed for both the detection monitoring and assessment monitoring analytes.

Additionally, samples were collected from the recently installed nature and extent wells in May, July, and September 2022 and analyzed for the detection monitoring and assessment monitoring analytes. Analytical results from the samples collected in May, July, and September for the recently installed nature and extent wells are presented in Appendix C.

#### **4.1.5 Solids Sampling and Analysis**

As part of the drilling program for the nature and extent wells, solids samples were collected at each installed well and analyzed via the sequential extraction procedure (SEP; Tessier et al. 1979) and the synthetic precipitation leaching procedure (SPLP) using a modified 4:1 solid to liquid ratio. The modified 4:1 SPLP follows recommendations from the NDDEQ for ash analysis. Analytical reports for the solids testing are included in Appendix D.

Samples were collected and analyzed as follows:

- MW-210
  - Soil was collected during drilling at MW-210 below the encountered groundwater level and analyzed via SEP and modified SPLP.
- MW-211
  - Two soil samples were collected at MW-211 from approximately 0 to 5 feet below ground surface and 14 to 25 feet below ground surface and analyzed via SEP.
- MW-212
  - Soil was collected during drilling at MW-212 below the encountered groundwater level and analyzed via SEP.
  - Coal collected between approximately 2.0 and 2.5 feet below ground surface during drilling at MW-212 was analyzed via SEP and modified SPLP.
- MW-213
  - Soil was collected during drilling at MW-213 below the encountered groundwater level and analyzed via SEP.
- MW-214 (upgradient)
  - Soil was collected during drilling at MW-214 below the encountered groundwater level and analyzed via SEP and modified SPLP.
- BH-1
  - Bottom ash collected during drilling at BH-1 was analyzed via SEP and modified SPLP.

## 4.2 Preliminary Results

The results of the laboratory-measured arsenic concentrations for the existing Bottom Ash Landfill monitoring wells and new nature and extent monitoring wells from May and June 2022 are shown in Figure 2 and summarized below.

- Arsenic concentrations near or above the GWPS (0.01 mg/L) were identified in monitoring wells MW-103 and MW-211 downgradient from the Bottom Ash Landfill. While the June analytical result for MW-103 was just below the GWPS, the result remains an SSL based on the calculated 95% confidence interval.
- Arsenic concentrations near or below the reporting detection limit (0.002 mg/L) were identified in monitoring wells MW-102, MW-9N, MW-104, MW-1R, MW-210, MW-213, and MW-PB1 downgradient of the Bottom Ash Landfill. Downgradient monitoring well MW-212 had an arsenic concentration between the reporting detection limit and GWPS.
- Arsenic concentrations in background monitoring wells upgradient/sidegradient of the Bottom Ash Landfill including MW-214, MW-105, MW-7A, MW-7B, MW-8B, and MW-6B (not all of which are shown in Figure 2) were near or below the reporting detection limit (0.002 mg/L).

Preliminary observations from the initial site investigation include:

- The west and east extent of arsenic concentrations above the GWPS appears to be constrained to the area near MW-211 and MW-103.
- The north and south extents of arsenic concentrations in groundwater require further delineation.

### 4.3 Additional Field Investigation

As discussed above, the initial nature and extent site investigation conducted in May 2022 was developed based on available information with respect to groundwater flow direction and gradient downgradient of the Bottom Ash Landfill monitoring wells (MW-102, MW-9N, and MW-103). The installation of new nature and extent monitoring wells and collection of water quality samples has improved the understanding of the nature and extent of the elevated arsenic concentrations. Based on information collected from wells installed as part of the May 2022 nature and extent investigation, the area north and northwest of MW-103 not previously investigated has been identified in the potential downgradient flow path. Additional nature and extent wells were proposed as part of the 60-day extension request to the NDDEQ but drilling contractor availability has delayed installation of these new wells. As such, information from these new wells will be incorporated into subsequent reporting and used in evaluating corrective measures and remedy selection.

The additional site investigation is proposed to include installation of four additional nature and extent monitoring wells (MW-215 through MW-218) in the areas north and northwest of MW-211 and MW-103, and the installation of one additional nature and extent monitoring well (MW-219) south of MW-211 and MW-103. Installation and sampling of these wells will assist in evaluating the horizontal extents of arsenic concentrations above the GWPS. Proposed locations for the additional monitoring wells are shown in Figure 6.

In addition to the five nature and extent wells to be installed in late 2022, two additional nature and extent wells (MW-220 and MW-221) have been proposed to evaluate the potential vertical extent of the arsenic concentrations above the GWPS. Based on past hydrogeologic investigations at the site (Braun 1993), vertical gradient is anticipated to be negligible. However, the proposed wells are intended to constrain vertical groundwater movement.

## 5.0 ASSESSMENT OF CORRECTIVE MEASURES

### 5.1 Objectives of the Corrective Measures

Potential corrective measures are evaluated using the criteria listed in 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c), including performance, reliability, ease of implementation, potential impacts, remedy duration, and institutional and public health requirements.

In addition, the following criteria listed in 40 CFR 257.97(b) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(7)(b) must be met by the selected corrective measure:

- Protect human health and the environment.
- Attain applicable GWPS as specified pursuant to 40 CFR 257.95(h) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(5)(h).
- Control the source(s) of release(s) to reduce or eliminate, to the maximum extent feasible, further releases of assessment monitoring constituents to the environment.

- Remove from the environment as much of the contaminated material that was released from the CCR unit as is feasible, considering factors such as avoiding inappropriate disturbance of sensitive ecosystems.
- Comply with standards for management of wastes as specified in 40 CFR 257.98(d) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(8)(d).

The corrective measures evaluated as a part of this ACM are not intended to be an exhaustive list of all possible corrective measures but are focused on corrective measures that are anticipated to be technically feasible and that will likely perform satisfactorily at the site.

Section 5.2 discusses corrective measures primarily associated with source control and Section 5.3 discusses corrective measures primarily associated with groundwater remediation.

## 5.2 Source Control Corrective Measures

As listed above, the selected remedy should control the source(s) of release(s) to reduce or eliminate, to the maximum extent feasible, further releases of assessment monitoring constituents to the environment. An ASD was evaluated for arsenic (see Section 3.3), with insufficient evidence found to date to conclusively document an alternative source other than the Bottom Ash Landfill. Therefore, source control corrective measures are focused on the CCR within the Bottom Ash Landfill.

Prior to the initiation of Assessment Monitoring and the ACM, the Bottom Ash Landfill was closed with an engineered final cover placed over waste left in place. Closure was completed in accordance with the closure plan and was described above in Section 1.3. Although closure was performed prior to initiation of Assessment Monitoring and the ACM, an evaluation of the previously conducted closure as a source control measure is provided below, indicating why the steps previously taken are considered an appropriate source control measure.

The closure of the Bottom Ash Landfill provides the primary source control measure to reduce the potential for migration of CCR constituents to groundwater. If over the course of corrective action implementation and monitoring, the previously implemented closure measures are determined to be inadequate, additional source control measures will be further evaluated and implemented. A thorough evaluation of additional future source control measures is not included in this ACM, but two potential options include:

- Closure by removal of CCR from the Bottom Ash Landfill
- In situ stabilization of all or portions of the CCR within the Bottom Ash Landfill

Table 2 provides a summary of the source control measures compared to the evaluation criteria described in both the Federal and State rules and listed in Section 1.1, as applied to site conditions.

### 5.2.1 Bottom Ash Landfill Closure

As noted in Section 1.3, closure of the Bottom Ash Landfill was completed in 2020. Closure was completed in accordance with the Bottom Ash Landfill closure plan (Golder 2019a), prior to initiating both Assessment Monitoring and the ACM. The closure process included placement of a cover system designed to minimize infiltration and erosion and to meet or exceed the requirements of 40 CFR 257.102(d)(3)(ii) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-07(3)(d)(3)(b). The closure of the Bottom Ash Landfill provides a source control measure that reduces the potential for migration of CCR constituents to groundwater.

The effectiveness of closure of the Bottom Ash Landfill for source control relies on:

- 1) Not having continued significant interaction between the groundwater and placed CCR, and
- 2) The final cover being an engineered cover designed to minimize the potential for precipitation to infiltrate through the cover and into the waste. In particular, and per the regulatory requirements, the permeability of the final cover must be less than or equal to the permeability of the bottom liner or natural subsoils present, or a permeability no greater than  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec.

Despite the expected performance of the closed Bottom Ash Landfill, groundwater quality impacts from the historical Bottom Ash Landfill operation may still be identified in the downgradient monitoring wells. Prior to engineered cover construction in 2020, precipitation on the Bottom Ash Landfill was able to percolate through the bottom ash into the underlying subsoil and move downgradient. Construction of the engineered soil cover significantly reduces the potential precipitation infiltration, but residual water within the bottom ash and historical percolation to the groundwater will take time to pass through the site.

### **Groundwater Interaction**

The Bottom Ash Landfill is constructed in the area of a former CCR impoundment and was designed and constructed as an unlined landfill for disposal of bottom ash. In the 1990s, the former CCR impoundment was closed by removal of waste and the base and containment perimeter berms of the Bottom Ash Landfill were prepared. Per the 1994 design report for construction and operation of the Bottom Ash Landfill (Stone & Webster 1994), prior to placement of bottom ash into the landfill, the report indicates: "If the area of the landfill planned for initial bottom ash disposal is not dry, a layer of fill will be placed over that area to facilitate bottom ash disposal." The design intent and operation plan were to place fill so that the base of CCR placement in the Bottom Ash Landfill would be above groundwater/wet soil.

As-built grades of the conditions prior to waste placement reflective of this fill placement are not available. However, grades following closure by removal of the former CCR impoundment are available (November 1997). Bottom Ash Landfill floor grades surveyed after closure by removal of the former CCR impoundment but prior to fill placement appear to be between approximate elevation 1698 feet in the middle of the east side to approximate elevation 1702 feet around the perimeter. Groundwater elevations across the Bottom Ash Landfill are at an approximate elevation of 1700 feet along the south side of the unit and between 1695 and 1690 feet along the north side of the unit. A comparison of the closure by removal grades and typical groundwater elevations indicates that, even without the fill placement planned as part of the 1994 construction documents, the floor of the Bottom Ash Landfill is not anticipated to be in continued significant interaction with groundwater.

During final cover construction, a test pit was excavated through the Bottom Ash Landfill to evaluate if there was any free water in the bottom ash that could be sampled and tested. The test pit was excavated October 3, 2019 through the Bottom Ash Landfill and did not identify any free water within the bottom ash.

Based on the information reviewed above, the CCR within the Bottom Ash Landfill is not anticipated to be in continued significant interaction with groundwater.

### **Engineered Final Cover**

Construction of a final cover over exposed CCR prevents source material release due to stormwater erosion or fugitive dust and reduces the potential for leachate generation by minimizing the infiltration of precipitation into the underlying CCRs. The base of the Bottom Ash Landfill consists of natural soil with an estimated hydraulic

conductivity of  $3 \times 10^{-6}$  cm/sec. The constructed final cover infiltration layer has a measured hydraulic conductivity of approximately  $7 \times 10^{-8}$  cm/sec, or less. Therefore, the installed final cover, which has a lower permeability than the base of the Bottom Ash Landfill, meets regulatory requirements and indicates that the cover is anticipated to significantly reduce the potential for precipitation to infiltrate through the cover into the bottom ash.

Due to the engineering properties of the final cover, and information indicating that groundwater is not anticipated to have continued significant interaction with placed CCR, the closure of the Bottom Ash Landfill is anticipated to perform well as a source control.

Table 2 includes a comparison of closure with an engineered cover to the requirements of 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c).

### 5.2.2 Closure by Removal

Closure by removal involves removal of the placed engineered final cover and excavation of all CCR and CCR impacted soil from the Bottom Ash Landfill. Excavated CCR and CCR impacted soil would be transported to a permitted CCR landfill.

Advantages of removal over the current source control of a covered landfill include:

- Eliminate the potential for future contamination to occur due to failure of the cover system and/or an increase in groundwater that allows for significant interaction between groundwater and placed CCR.
- Closure by removal could reduce the required post-closure monitoring period following implementation if each of the assessment monitoring parameters returned to concentrations below the GWPS.

Disadvantages of removal over the current source control of a covered landfill include:

- Closure by removal would require destruction of the existing cover system and require associated permitting efforts for establishing a separate CCR landfill.
- Increase in overall risk to workers, surrounding community and the environment due to factors such as fugitive dust, heavy construction equipment operation and emissions, and potential spills and safety hazards associated with transport to the new site.
- Over-excavation during closure by removal can re-mobilize any constituents in contact with groundwater, creating new impacts to groundwater or exacerbating existing impacts through changes to the aquifer characteristics.

The removal of the engineered cover and CCR associated with the Bottom Ash Landfill is not likely to be lower risk than the current source control corrective measure of closure with CCR in place.

Table 2 includes a comparison of closure by removal to the requirements of 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c).

### 5.2.3 In Situ Stabilization

In situ stabilization (ISS) is a technique where CCR is mixed with additives to solidify the material in place and reduce future dissolution of CCR compounds from the stabilized material. Typical additives include Portland cement, with solidification completed in-situ using large diameter augers.

Advantages of ISS over the current source control of a covered landfill include:

- This alternative would isolate and secure the source in a bound matrix, with the potential to allow concentrations of target constituents with SSLs in downgradient groundwater to decline through monitored natural attenuation below applicable standards over time.

Disadvantages of ISS over the current source control of a covered landfill include:

- In place closure of the Bottom Ash Landfill is complete, including cover installation. ISS would require removal or destruction of the existing cover system and require associated permitting efforts.
- Reliability of ISS is dependent on the ability for the injected material to solidify the matrix and change the permeability of the subsurface.
- While ISS could work to stabilize arsenic as the constituent of concern, ISS can increase the mobility of non-target metals within the aquifer based on interaction with the stabilization amendments. ISS treatment can also result in undesirably high pH levels if the buffering capacity of the system is not maintained. Pilot testing would be required to determine secondary impacts to groundwater chemistry based on the addition of the ISS amendments to the aquifer.

The use of ISS may be viable for targeted zones of the Bottom Ash Landfill (such as portions of the lowermost placed CCR) but is not likely to be a lower risk alternative for source control over the closure already implemented.

Table 2 includes a comparison of ISS to the requirements of 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c).

### 5.3 Groundwater Remediation Corrective Measures

A summary of the potential groundwater remediation corrective measures evaluated as part of this ACM is included in the following sections. Corrective measures discussed in this ACM are being evaluated to address the SSL for arsenic in groundwater downgradient of the Bottom Ash Landfill waste boundary. Based on site-specific information, knowledge of remedial alternatives, and site conditions at the Bottom Ash Landfill, the following remedies, individually or in combination, are being evaluated using the criteria specified in 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c):

- Monitoring Natural Attenuation and Enhanced Monitored Natural Attenuation
- Hydraulic Containment (Groundwater Pump and Treat)
- Geochemical Approaches (In-Situ Injection)
- Permeable Reactive Barriers
- Phytoremediation

Following this assessment of corrective measures, additional information will be gathered to further evaluate the identified potential corrective measures and determine which option(s) should be implemented at the site that meets the criteria specified in 40 CFR 257.97(b) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(7)(b). Table 3 provides a summary of each of the remedial technologies described below compared to the evaluation criteria described in both the Federal and State rules and listed in Section 1.1, as applied to site conditions.

### 5.3.1 Monitored Natural Attenuation and Enhanced Monitored Natural Attenuation

The USEPA (2015) defines monitored natural attenuation (MNA) as:

The reliance on natural attenuation processes (within the context of a carefully controlled and monitored site cleanup approach) to achieve site-specific remediation objectives within a timeframe that is reasonable compared to that offered by other more active methods. The 'natural remediation processes' that are at work in such a remediation approach include a variety of physical, chemical, or biological processes that, under favorable conditions, act without human intervention to reduce the mass, toxicity, mobility, volume, or concentration of contaminants in soil or groundwater. These in situ processes include biodegradation; dispersion; dilution; sorption; volatilization; radioactive decay; and chemical or biological stabilization, transformation, or destruction of contaminants.

Attenuation mechanisms for inorganic constituents, like arsenic, can be physical and/or chemical. Physical methods include dilution, dispersion, and related processes. Chemical mechanisms for inorganic constituents include adsorption to oxyhydroxides of iron, aluminum, manganese, and other metals, and coprecipitation with common minerals such as iron sulfides or carbonates.

USEPA guidance for MNA requires an evaluation of the potential for successful remediation for each constituent of interest, by the following phased approach:

- Tier 1 – Assessment of groundwater plume stability and constituent attenuation based on statistical analysis and geochemical testing.
- Tier 2 – Identification of the attenuation mechanisms occurring at each location and estimation of the timeframe required for attenuation based on site-specific groundwater and aquifer solids characterization data, including sequential extraction.
- Tier 3 – Assessment of capacity in the aquifer system to attenuate the plume and confirmation of long-term plume stability through geochemical modeling.
- Tier 4 – Design of an MNA performance monitoring program to demonstrate that MNA is progressing as predicted, verify plume stability, identify toxic and/or mobile transformation products (if any), optimize monitoring well locations, and verify attainment of MNA remediation objectives.

A successful MNA approach requires a good understanding of hydrogeologic conditions and long-term monitoring of site conditions. MNA is a relatively slow remedy when used in isolation and as such is frequently used in combination with other remedies, including source control.

MNA can be enhanced using low-energy, in-situ techniques to stimulate or increase the attenuation of contaminants or reduce contaminant loading. Options for MNA enhancements include increasing the attenuation capacity of the aquifer, decreasing the mobility of contaminants, and/or increasing the stability of immobilized contaminants (ITRC 2010).

MNA and/or enhanced MNA are potentially effective means of remediating arsenic downgradient of the Bottom Ash Landfill. The effectiveness of an MNA approach is dependent on site-specific conditions. Further geochemical evaluation and groundwater modeling is required to evaluate remedial timeframes. Table 3 includes a comparison of MNA and enhanced MNA to the requirements of 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c).

### 5.3.2 Hydraulic Containment (Groundwater Pump and Treat)

Hydraulic containment can be used to control potential hazards through reduction of risk exposure pathways. Pump and treat is one form of hydraulic containment. Pump and treat refers to the use of groundwater extraction to artificially induce a hydraulic gradient for capture or control of the migration of impacted groundwater and is considered as a viable remedial technology at many sites (USEPA 1996). A pump and treat approach uses extraction wells, subsurface drains, or trenches to capture groundwater, which then may require above-ground treatment and permitted discharge to a receiving water body or reinjection into the aquifer, depending on the discharge permit and other regulatory requirements. A pump and treat approach may also be coupled with vertical barrier walls to more effectively direct water to the wells.

Extraction technologies are more efficient for mobile constituents which are not readily attenuated by other mechanisms such as precipitation or adsorption. As such, given the chemical behavior of arsenic and site hydrogeologic heterogeneity, the technical feasibility of clean-up of arsenic to the site GWPS using pump and treat as the sole corrective measure is uncertain and bench-scale and/or pilot-scale testing would be necessary to determine the extraction potential for arsenic given different treatment technologies. Table 3 includes a comparison of hydraulic containment (groundwater pump and treat) to the requirements of 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c).

### 5.3.3 Geochemical Approaches (In Situ Injection)

Subsurface in situ injections of reagents are a remediation technology that can be used for inorganic constituents. In situ injections for inorganic constituents may be applied in three modes that influence solubility, mobility, and/or toxicity of inorganic constituents:

- (i) Oxidation-reduction potential (redox) manipulation
- (ii) Adsorption to aluminum, iron oxyhydroxides, other metal oxyhydroxides, or various sulfate compounds under oxidizing groundwater conditions
- (iii) Adsorption to, or coprecipitation with, iron or other metal sulfides under reducing conditions

In-situ injection requires understanding of the subsurface transport characteristics and (geo)chemical characteristics of the aquifer, and a thorough understanding of the reaction kinetics to derive appropriate reagent dosing for the subsurface. Often this technology is field-evaluated in a relatively small area (i.e., pilot tested) to bolster the understanding of these factors prior to remedial selection, design, and/or implementation.

Arsenic can be immobilized under different combinations of pH and redox conditions. A variety of pH and/or redox-altering technologies are available which can incorporate biological processes, chemical oxidants and reductants, and/or mechanical processes such as air sparging. These processes can be used to decrease the mobility of these constituents.

Chemical injection can be utilized to alter groundwater conditions to reduce metal mobility. Reactive chemicals are introduced into soil and groundwater to trigger rapid and complete metal precipitation. This may involve pH adjustment to higher levels while maintaining adequate buffering capacity within the groundwater to limit the upper extent of the pH range, as solubility begins to increase above pH 10 SU.

Routine collection of additional data, including measurements of total alkalinity, would be preferable post-treatment for ensuring that conditions remain favorable for low solubility of the contaminant of concern. Adjustment of pH within the aquifer would be anticipated to occur relatively quickly, with long-term monitoring required, similar to the considerations necessary for MNA.

Table 3 includes a comparison of in situ injection to the requirements of 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c).

### 5.3.4 Permeable Reactive Barriers

Permeable reactive barriers (PRBs) typically involve the installation of a subsurface zone of material intended to remove constituents of interest as groundwater flows through the installed area. PRBs can be installed in downgradient locations using conventional excavation methods or a one-pass trenching method. Excavated trenches are backfilled with reactive media to create a zone that interacts with constituents as they passively flow through the PRB with the groundwater (ITRC 2011). PRB systems can be constructed as continuous “walls” or as “funnel-and-gate” systems where impermeable slurry walls create a “funnel” that directs groundwater to permeable “treatment gates” filled with reactive materials. Funnel-and-gate configurations can reduce the required treatment area, allowing the system to be more readily maintained. PRBs are typically keyed into an underlying low-permeability unit.

PRBs may present a viable alternative for in-situ treatment of arsenic. PRBs often are constructed of reactive materials such as zero valent iron (ZVI), zeolites, and granular activated carbon. Zeolites can be used to promote ionic exchange and/or sorption, while activated carbon can be used to induce and/or sustain reducing conditions within the aquifer. Constituents of interest interact with the reactive materials via precipitation or sorption, dependent on the chemical properties of both the chosen reactive material and the constituents of interest. While installation of a PRB could work to stabilize arsenic as the constituent of concern, materials used for PRB construction can increase the mobility of non-target metals within the aquifer based on interaction with the amendments. Pilot testing would be required to determine secondary impacts to groundwater chemistry based on the addition of the PRB amendments to the aquifer.

Table 3 includes a comparison of PRBs to the requirements of 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c).

### 5.3.5 Phytoremediation

Phytoremediation uses plants to degrade, immobilize, or contain constituents of interest in soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediments. Phytoremediation includes plant-based technologies and applications that enhance the environmental goals for a given site and can include a variety of applications for hydraulic control, nutrient management, sediment control, and slope stabilization (Goldmund and Gestler 2019). Phytoremediation can be a viable alternative for areas with relatively low levels of constituents in shallow soils or groundwater.

The Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council (ITRC 2009) lists the main mechanisms involved in the phytoremediation for inorganic constituents as:

- Phytosequestration, or the ability of plants to sequester constituents within the rhizosphere, an area a few millimeters from the root surface. Phytosequestration is considered a containment mechanism.
- Phytohydraulics, or the ability of plants to capture and evaporate water. Phytohydraulics provides hydraulic control of the groundwater plume through plant root uptake and is considered a containment mechanism.
- Phytoextraction, or the process of constituent uptake into a plant. Phytoextraction is remediation through removal of the constituent of interest.

Typically, a combination of phytoremediation mechanisms acts in concert to achieve successful remediation outcomes for inorganic constituents.

The effectiveness of groundwater remediation using traditional phytoremediation approaches can be limited by compacted soil conditions that impede root penetration and depths to targeted groundwater. Depending on plant type, many root systems for plants used in traditional phytoremediation approaches focus on the upper 1 to 2 feet from the ground surface (ITRC 2011), while groundwater downgradient of the Bottom Ash Landfill is within 20 feet of the surface. In addition to traditional phytoremediation approaches, engineered approaches such as the TreeWell® System, a proprietary system developed by Applied Natural Sciences, can be used to bypass the constraints of a traditional system, through promoting downward root growth, encouraging constituent treatment and focusing groundwater extraction from a target depth within the aquifer (Gatliff et al. 2016; Goldmund and Gestler 2019).

Table 3 includes a comparison of phytoremediation to the requirements of 40 CFR 257.96(c) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(c).

## 6.0 NEXT STEPS/REMEDY SELECTION

The purpose of this ACM is to identify potential corrective measure(s) for groundwater using the criteria outlined in 40 CFR 257.96 and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(5). For source control, the engineered soil cover over the Bottom Ash Landfill is a previously implemented source control corrective measure (implemented prior to Assessment Monitoring or the ACM). If over the course of corrective action implementation and monitoring, the previously implemented source control measure is determined to be inadequate, additional source control measures may be evaluated and implemented.

For groundwater remediation, several potential corrective measures were evaluated and may be viable for remediation of arsenic downgradient of the Bottom Ash Landfill. Additional information will be gathered to further evaluate these potential corrective measures and determine which option(s) should be implemented at the site. The following sections present the additional data gathering, schedule, reporting, and next steps that will support remedy selection and corrective action implementation. The items discussed below are focused on those actions planned for the next approximately 7 months (until the first Semi-Annual Corrective Measures Remedy Selection Progress Report, July 2023). Remedy selection is anticipated within one or two years of this ACM report, dependent upon the findings of additional data gathering and option evaluation/testing.

### 6.1 Additional Data Gathering

Collection and evaluation of additional data are necessary to refine the conceptual site model, further characterize the nature and extent of groundwater impacts, and to further evaluate the feasibility of each potential corrective measure described in this document such that an appropriate groundwater corrective measure or combination of corrective measures may be selected.

#### 6.1.1 Continuing Nature and Extent Investigation

As discussed in Section 4.3, drilling associated with additional nature and extent wells is scheduled for December 2022. Following installation, the newly installed wells will be developed to allow for collection of groundwater samples in 2023. The planned wells will help to further refine the horizontal and vertical extent of arsenic above the GWPS.

A Q4 2022 groundwater sample will be collected from the existing program wells and the nature and extent wells installed in May 2022. A first quarter (Q1) 2023 and second quarter (Q2) 2023 sample are planned for collection from these same wells and from the proposed additional nature and extent wells to be installed in December 2022.

Based on information gathered during the ASD investigation, the groundwater major ion signatures in samples from MW-103 do not appear to be migrating towards the major ion signatures of the potential CCR sources, indicating the potential for an as-yet identified alternate source separate from the Bottom Ash Landfill. Continued evaluation of potential sources, including coal identified in downgradient nature and extents wells, bottom ash from the unit, and upgradient site soils will continue to be investigated so that appropriate corrective measures are selected and implemented.

### **6.1.2 Hydraulic Testing**

Following installation of the next round of nature and extent wells, in-situ hydraulic conductivity testing will be conducted at selected site wells. In-situ hydraulic conductivity testing information collected from the selected wells will contribute to the understanding of the hydrologic flow regime to assist in evaluating potential corrective measures. Understanding of the in-situ hydraulic conductivity is critical for each potential corrective measure, as the hydraulic conductivity impacts movement within the aquifer.

### **6.1.3 Geochemistry Evaluation**

A geochemical evaluation, including Tier I MNA evaluation as described in Section 5.3.1, will be conducted over the upcoming months to determine the attenuation capacity and stability of the aquifer materials and potential attenuation mechanisms within the aquifer. The capacity and available mechanisms of the aquifer to attenuate arsenic will impact the effectiveness of any selected remedy, specifically for in situ injection or the implementation and installation of a PRB. If the Tier 1 MNA evaluation indicates favorable conditions exist for natural attenuation within the site aquifer, Tier 2/3 MNA evaluations will begin.

### **6.1.4 Ongoing Desktop Analysis**

For each of the potential corrective measures, continued desktop analysis will be conducted to further characterize the feasibility of the measures, identify any site-specific knowledge gaps, and to gather information pertaining to the site aquifer. As additional information is gathered and evaluated, certain corrective measures may become more likely to succeed than others, and subsequent efforts will focus on the most promising corrective measures.

## **6.2 Schedule and Reporting**

Per NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(d), this ACM will be provided to the NDDEQ for review and approval. The ACM will be placed in the facility's operating record in accordance with 40 CFR 257.96(d) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(d). Following finalization of the ACM, the 2022 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report (Annual Report) is due January 31, 2023. As required in 40 CFR 257.96(a) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(6)(a), a copy of this demonstration and the certification of the demonstration by a qualified professional engineer licensed in the state of North Dakota will be included within the Annual Report. The Annual Report will also include discussion of actions taken between finalization of this demonstration and the end of 2022.

Remedy selection will occur as soon as feasible. Selection of a final remedy depends on a thorough evaluation and testing of the corrective action options to confirm the selected options are effective. Selected corrective actions must meet the standards described in Section 5.1. A semi-annual report will be prepared describing the progress to select and design a remedy, per 40 CFR 257.97(a) and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(7)(a). The first semi-annual report will be completed by July 31, 2023. A schedule for items anticipated to be completed in the next approximately seven months is included below:

Task Description	Estimated Completion Date
■ Groundwater Sampling	Nov/Dec 2022
■ Drilling, Development, and Soil Sampling – Additional Nature and Extent Wells	Dec 2022 – Feb 2023
■ Geochemistry Evaluation (including Tier I MNA Evaluation)	Dec 2022 – Feb 2023
■ 2022 Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report	Jan 2023
■ Groundwater Sampling	Mar 2023
■ In Situ Hydraulic Conductivity Testing	Apr – Jun 2023
■ Groundwater Sampling	Jun 2023
■ Ongoing Desktop Analysis	Dec 2022 – June 2023
■ First Semi-Annual Corrective Measures Remedy Selection Progress Report	Jul 2023

Prior to the final selection of a corrective measure, a public meeting will be scheduled a minimum of 30 days before the selection of the remedy to present the results of the corrective measures assessment, including additional information still to be gathered. Upon selection of a remedy, a final report will be prepared describing the selected remedy and how the remedy meets the standards described in Section 5.1. The final remedy selection report must be certified by a qualified professional engineer licensed in the state of North Dakota per 40 CFR 257.97(a), and approved by the NDDEQ per NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(7)(a). Once the remedy has been selected and approved by the NDDEQ, the implementation of the remedy will be initiated in accordance with 40 CFR 257.98 and NDAC 33.1-20-08-06(8).

## Certification

This Assessment of Corrective Measures has been prepared in compliance with applicable requirements of the Federal CCR Rule (CFR 257.96) and the NDDEQ CCR Rule (NDAC 33.1-20-08).

### Golder Associates USA Inc.



Erin L. Hunter, PhD  
Senior Consultant



Todd J. Stong, PE  
Director

ELH/TJS/rm

I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, that this ACM for arsenic at the Bottom Ash Landfill meets the applicable requirements of 40 CFR part 257.96 and NDAC 33.1-20-08.



Todd J. Stong, PE

North Dakota Registered Professional Engineer No. PE-6144

[https://golderassociates.sharepoint.com/sites/157762/project files/6 deliverables/reports/18-r-assessment\\_of\\_corrective\\_measures/18-r-0/21509219-18-rpt-0-stanton\\_acm\\_2022\\_21nov22.docx](https://golderassociates.sharepoint.com/sites/157762/project%20files/6%20deliverables/reports/18-r-assessment_of_corrective_measures/18-r-0/21509219-18-rpt-0-stanton_acm_2022_21nov22.docx)

## 7.0 REFERENCES

- Braun (Braun Intertec Environmental Inc.). 1993. Hydrogeologic Assessment Report, Stanton Station Ash Ponds. Prepared for Great River Energy Stanton Generating Station.
- Carlson CG. 1973. Geology of Mercer and Oliver Counties, North Dakota. Bulletin 56 – Part 1, for the North Dakota Geological Society. County Ground Water Studies 15 – Part 1, for the North Dakota State Water Commission.
- Gatliff Edward, Linton James P, Riddle Douglas J, and Thomas Paul R. 2016. Phytoremediation of Soil and Groundwater. Pages 589-608, Bioremediation and Bioeconomy.
- Goldmund Herwig, and Gestler Ron. 2019. Phytoremediation Using TreeWell® Technology: An Innovative Approach to Groundwater Remediation at CCR Sites. 2019 World of Coal Ash Conference.
- Golder (Golder Associates Inc.). 2019a. Closure and Post-Closure Plan, Revision 1, Bottom Ash CCR Landfill – Stanton Station. September 2019
- Golder. 2019b. Closure and Post-Closure Plan, Revision 1, Bottom Ash CCR Surface Impoundment – Stanton Station. September 2019.
- Golder. 2020. Coal Combustion Residuals Groundwater Monitoring System Certification, Revision 1, Great River Energy – Stanton Station. January 29, 2020.
- Golder (Golder Associates USA Inc.). 2021a. Coal Combustion Residuals Groundwater Statistical Method Certification, Revision 2, Great River Energy – Stanton Station. November 30, 2021.
- Golder. 2021b. Construction Quality Assurance Documentation and Certification, Bottom Ash Impoundment and Landfill - Great River Energy – Stanton Station. December 17, 2021.
- Golder. 2022. Post-Closure Care Plan, Permit Number 0043 - Great River Energy – Stanton Station. June 24, 2022.
- ITRC (Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council). 2009. Phytotechnology Technical and Regulatory Guidance and Decision Trees, Revised. ITRC Phytotechnologies Team. Tech Reg Update, February 2009.
- ITRC. 2010. A Decision Framework for Applying Monitored Natural Attenuation Processes to Metals and Radionuclides in Groundwater. ITRC Attenuation Processes for Metals and Radionuclides Team. December 2010.
- ITRC. 2011. Permeable Reactive Barrier: Technology Update. PRB-5. PRB: Technology Update Team. June 2011.
- Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation. 1994. Design Report, Stanton Station Ash Pond Modifications. April 25, 1994.
- Tessier A, Campbell PGC, and Bisson M. 1979. Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace metals. Analytical Chemistry, Volume 51, No. 7, pages 844-851. June 1, 1979. Accessed online at: <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac50043a017>
- USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 1996. Pump-and-Treat Ground-Water Remediation: A Guide for Decision Makers and Practitioners. United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development. EPA/625/R-95/005. July 1996.

USEPA. 2015. Use of Monitored Natural Attenuation for Inorganic Contaminants in Groundwater at Superfund Sites. United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response Directive. August 2015.

## Tables

**Table 1: Stanton Station Site-Specific Groundwater Protection Standards**

Parameter	Units	GWPS
Appendix IV		
Antimony, Total	mg/L	0.006
Arsenic, Total	mg/L	0.01
Barium, Total	mg/L	2
Beryllium, Total	mg/L	0.004
Cadmium, Total	mg/L	0.005
Chromium, Total	mg/L	0.1
Cobalt, Total	mg/L	0.006
Fluoride	mg/L	4
Lead, Total	mg/L	0.015
Lithium, Total	mg/L	0.325
Mercury, Total	mg/L	0.002
Molybdenum, Total	mg/L	0.1
Radium-226 + Radium-228	pCi/L	5
Selenium, Total	mg/L	0.05
Thallium, Total	mg/L	0.002

Notes:

mg/L: milligrams per liter

pCi/L: picocuries per liter

GWPS: Groundwater Protection Standard

The site-specific GWPS for Lithium was derived from the pooled site upgradient lithium values.

Table 2: Source Control Corrective Measures Comparison

Corrective Measure	Performance	Reliability	Ease of Implementation	Potential Impacts	Time to Begin and Complete	Permitting / Institutional Requirements	Other Environmental or Public Health Requirements
Closure In-Place with Cover	Performance is reliant on the not having significant sustained interaction with groundwater and placed CCR, and the final cover being an engineered cover designed to minimize the potential for precipitation to infiltrate through the cover and into the CCR.	Reliability of closure in-place with a cover is dependent on the continued performance of the cover system during the post-closure period, and groundwater not rising to the point of significant interaction with the CCR.	Implementation was completed in 2020. However, detailed design was required, along with permit updates with the NDDEQ. Implementation required extensive earthworks construction for reducing the footprint and installing the final cover.	Failure of the cover system could allow more infiltration through the CCR than desired..	Begun in 2019 and completed in 2020 prior to initiating assessment monitoring or the assessment of corrective measures.	Previously permitted and approved by the NDDEQ. Deed restrictions have previously been placed based on the current site closure configuration.	Prior to completion, construction disruptions occurred. Little to no additional disruptions are anticipated unless changes are made to the existing closed landfill.
Closure by Removal	Closure by removal removes the source and eliminates the potential for future contamination to occur due to failure of the cover system or an groundwater interaction.	Reliability for closure by removal is dependent on complete removal of source material and impacted material.	In order to implement closure by removal of the current landfill, a new landfill would need to be designed, permitted with the NDDEQ, and constructed prior to removal of material from the existing landfill.	Increase in overall risk to workers, surrounding community and the environment due to factors such as fugitive dust, heavy construction equipment operation and emissions, and potential spills and safety hazards associated with transport to the new site.	Time to begin is constrained by the need to investigate a site, design, permit, and construct a new CCR landfill, which can take upwards of 2 years. Construction of a new landfill is required prior to removal of material from the existing landfill, which may take an additional 6 to 12 months based on the current volume of CCR.	A new landfill permit will be required.	Significant disruptions will be made to the current site configuration, along with significant construction activity for developing a new landfill, removing CCR, and hauling CCR to the new landfill.
In-Situ Stabilization	In-situ stabilization would isolate/secure the source in a bound matrix and reduce the potential for constituents of concern to leach from the stabilized source material. The performance will depend upon the ability to mix and the properties of the stabilized source material.	Reliability of in-situ stabilization is dependent on the ability for the injected material to solidify the matrix and change the permeability of the subsurface. For arsenic, in-situ stabilization can be a reliable corrective measure for groundwater.	Implementation requires a detailed design effort with bench scale testing to determine appropriate amendments based on the source material and aquifer properties.	In-situ stabilization may result in the stabilization of arsenic the constituent of concern, but can increase the solubility of non-target metals within the aquifer. Treatment can also result in undesirably high pH levels if the buffering capacity of the system is not maintained.	Time to begin and complete in-situ stabilization would be dependent on the availability of specialized contractors and equipment, and may take several years.	Deed restrictions have previously been placed based on site closure. No other institutional requirements are anticipated.	Pilot testing would be required to determine impacts to groundwater chemistry based on addition of the ISS components. Following installation, the remedy is passive.

Table 3: Groundwater Remediation Corrective Measures Comparison

Corrective Measure	Performance	Reliability	Ease of Implementation	Potential Impacts	Time to Begin and Complete	Permitting / Institutional Requirements	Other Environmental or Public Health Requirements
<b>Monitored Natural Attenuation and Enhanced Monitored Natural Attenuation</b>	Assuming available capacity, MNA can provide continued effective performance in reducing constituent concentrations.	Reliability is dependent on aquifer conditions that favor arsenic attenuation or can be enhanced, and that sufficient attenuation capacity exists within the aquifer. MNA is reliable and can either be used as a stand-alone corrective measure for groundwater impacted by arsenic, or in combination with other technologies.	Little to no effort required for physical implementation of standard MNA, but moderate to complex effort required to fully and accurately implement and document as a corrective measure. Enhanced MNA may require additional installation requirements, including well installation. Additional data is needed to demonstrate that the aquifer has sufficient attenuation capacity to meet the stated site objectives within a reasonable time frame.	No known impacts. MNA relies on the natural processes and existing geologic materials within the aquifer to reduce constituent concentrations, toxicity, and mobility without disturbing the installed cover or subsurface materials.	Evaluation for MNA can begin immediately, but substantial completion of evaluation and demonstration of attenuation mechanisms may require up to 2 years. Long-term monitoring and reporting are likely required.	No additional requirements, as deed restrictions have previously been placed due to site closure.	Little to no physical disruption to remediation areas and no adverse construction impacts are expected.
<b>Hydraulic Containment (Groundwater Pump and Treat)</b>	Pump and treat can effectively provide hydraulic control throughout the operational life of the system. Performance is contingent on routine groundwater assessment throughout the pumping process, and may not be quickly effective. Performance is additionally predicated on disposal of treated water and/or waste treatment sludge. Pump and treat can be less effective for inorganic compounds, like arsenic.	Generally reliable for hydraulic control of the groundwater plume and migration of contaminants of interest.	Implementation is easy to moderate, as a proven approach. Additional extraction wells and trenches would need to be installed.	Potential impacts are related to the operation of an on-site, above-ground water treatment facility and associated infrastructure for treatment and conveyance of impacted groundwater. Pumping may unintentionally alter geochemistry of the aquifer, in addition to the intended alteration of hydraulic flow pathways.	Extraction wells and trenches can be installed relatively quickly (generally within 6 to 12 months), but additional aquifer testing, overall system design, installation, and optimization, and permit approvals may be required, with lead times up to 2 years. Time for construction of any water treatment components may be variable depending on the scale of the chosen treatment process. Treatment timeline may extend for multiple years.	Depending on the effluent management strategy, a groundwater extraction permit and/or a NPDES discharge permit may be required, or an underground injection control (UIC) permit may be required if groundwater reinjection is chosen. Deed restrictions have previously been enacted based on site closure.	Above ground treatment components may be required for extended periods of time, resulting in waste residuals requiring management and disposal.
<b>Geochemical Approaches (In-Situ Injection)</b>	In-situ injection can rapidly alter aquifer conditions, resulting in geochemical immobilization of constituents of concern. Continued monitoring is required to ensure that aquifer conditions remain favorable for containing constituents of interest while not resulting in mobilization of other metals.	Approach is reliable if injected materials can be evenly distributed throughout the aquifer, permeability of the aquifer materials is adequate to allow for distribution, and available volume of appropriate receptors exists within the aquifer.	Moderate. May require installation of additional infrastructure for injection (additional wells for injection or other means of injection). Bench and pilot testing may be required to optimize implementation.	Minimal negative impacts are anticipated if the remedy works as designed. Additional constituents may be mobilized as an unintended consequence if appropriate steps are not taken prior to remedy implementation.	Optimization via bench-scale and pilot testing is required prior to implementation, and may require up to 2 years. Additional wells can be installed relatively quickly following pilot testing. Completion time would be variable based on attenuation mechanisms within the aquifer and distribution of injected materials within the treatment area.	An underground injection control (UIC) permit may be required for in-situ injections in order to implement this corrective measure. Deed restrictions have previously been enacted based on site closure.	None anticipated. Potential for mobilization of additional redox-sensitive components. Additional infestation of downgradient receptors is necessary. Remedy is passive following installation.

Table 3: Groundwater Remediation Corrective Measures Comparison

Corrective Measure	Performance	Reliability	Ease of Implementation	Potential Impacts	Time to Begin and Complete	Permitting / Institutional Requirements	Other Environmental or Public Health Requirements
Permeable Reactive Barriers (PRBs)	PRBs may have a limited reactive lifespan, dependent in part on the characteristics of the aquifer and the chosen reactive material. Without replacement of the reactive material within the PRB, extended performance of the corrective measure may not be possible. Additional testing may be required to select an appropriate sorptive media. PRBs also require vertical installation to key into low permeability zones and horizontal extents wide enough to prevent impacted groundwater from going around and/or under the PRB.	PRBs are a reliable corrective measure, but loss of reactivity over time may require re-installation depending on the length of time to reach the required GWPS. Reliability may be improved based on the ease of access to intercept the flow of groundwater. Additional data collection, may be needed to characterize the attenuation mechanisms present within the aquifer and select an appropriate reactive media.	Implementation is moderate to difficult. Based on differentiation of the stratigraphic units, may require additional drilling for confirmation of an appropriate confining unit for constraining hydraulic movement. Trenching is necessary for installation.	Dependent on the materials used for PRB, mobilization of additional constituents may occur based on changing conditions within the aquifer. Short-term impacts may occur during construction of the PRB, but can be mitigated through appropriate planning. Positive impacts include reduction in the volume, mobility, or toxicity of the constituent of interest through precipitation within the reactive media.	Installation of a PRB can be accomplished in roughly 1 year, depending on the final location and configuration. Bench-and/or pilot-scale testing would be necessary prior to design and construction of the remedy, which may take up to 2 years.	Deed restrictions have previously been placed based on site closure. No other institutional requirements are anticipated.	Following installation, PRBs are a passive remedy. Certain treatment media have the potential to mobilize additional naturally-occurring constituents downgradient of the PRB.
Phytoremediation	Once established, phytoremediation can effectively provide hydraulic containment, and provide potential reduction of constituents of interest through immobilization, uptake, and/or sequestration within the biomass. It may take between 3 and 5 years following planting for the system to begin performing. Phytoremediation may be limited by site climate conditions and depth to groundwater.	When implemented as an engineered system, phytoremediation must account for the characteristics of the aquifer, including the hydraulic conductivity, flow velocity, depth to impacted groundwater, and other factors. Reliability is dependent on careful design of the system.	Implementation is easy to moderate. Trees must be installed to appropriate depths to intercept impacted groundwater. Once established, trees are self-maintaining with no required external energy requirements and little maintenance.	Minimal anticipated negative impacts. Positive impacts include enhanced aesthetics, additional wildlife habitat, and minimal energy usage.	Optimization via groundwater modeling is required, which may take between 6 and 9 months. Installation is relatively short and can be substantially completed within a few months. Hydraulic control may take between 3 and 5 years following planting, but system performance is anticipated to improve over time.	Deed restrictions have previously been placed based on site closure. No other institutional requirements are anticipated.	None anticipated. Following installation, remedy is passive and does not require external energy.

## Figures




**LEGEND**

- BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL DOWNGRAIDENT MONITORING WELL
- UPGRADIENT MONITORING WELL
- ARSENIC CONCENTRATION (mg/L) - (NOTE 5)  
GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (ft) - (NOTE 4)
- CLOSED BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL/IMPOUNDMENT FOOTPRINT
- GENERAL DIRECTION OF GROUNDWATER FLOW
- APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER CONTOURS AND ELEVATIONS (NOTE 4)

- NOTE(S)**
1. THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL WAS CLOSED BY CONSOLIDATION OF PLACED WASTE INTO A SMALLER FOOTPRINT AND CONSTRUCTION OF A FINAL COVER.
  2. THE NORTH AND CENTER CELLS OF BOTTOM ASH IMPOUNDMENT WERE CLOSED BY REMOVAL OF WASTE AND LINER.
  3. THE SOUTH CELL OF THE BOTTOM ASH IMPOUNDMENT WAS CLOSED WITH A FINAL COVER OVER PLACED WASTE.
  4. GROUNDWATER CONTOURS ARE BASED ON MAY AND JUNE 2022 ELEVATION INFORMATION FROM THE SHOWN MONITORING WELLS, AS WELL AS MONITORING WELLS AND PIEZOMETERS NOT SHOWN.
  5. ARSENIC CONCENTRATIONS ARE BASED ON LAB RESULTS FROM SAMPLES COLLECTED MAY AND JUNE 2022.

**REFERENCE(S)**  
AERIAL IMAGERY OBTAINED FROM UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, NATIONAL AGRICULTURE IMAGERY PROGRAM, 2021.






**LEGEND**

- BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL DOWNGRADIENT MONITORING WELL
- NATURE AND EXTENT WELL (NOTE 4)
- OTHER SITE MONITORING WELL/BOREHOLE
- UPGRADIENT MONITORING WELL
- 0.0023  
1702.7  
ARSENIC CONCENTRATION (mg/L) - (NOTE 2)  
GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (ft) - (NOTE 1)
- CLOSED BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FOOTPRINT
- GENERAL DIRECTION OF GROUNDWATER FLOW
- APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER CONTOURS AND ELEVATIONS (NOTE 1)

- NOTE(S)**
- GROUNDWATER CONTOURS ARE BASED ON MAY AND JUNE 2022 ELEVATION INFORMATION FROM THE SHOWN MONITORING WELLS, AS WELL AS MONITORING WELLS AND PIEZOMETERS NOT SHOWN.
  - ARSENIC CONCENTRATIONS ARE BASED ON LAB RESULTS FROM SAMPLES COLLECTED MAY AND JUNE 2022.
  - BH-1 IS THE LOCATION WHERE A SAMPLE OF CCR SOLIDS FROM THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL WAS COLLECTED.
  - NATURE AND EXTENT WELLS MW-210 THROUGH MW-214 WERE INSTALLED MAY 2022. MW-PB1 WAS INCORPORATED INTO THE NATURE AND EXTENT EVALUATION AS A FACILITY BOUNDARY WELL.

**REFERENCE(S)**  
AERIAL IMAGERY OBTAINED FROM UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, NATIONAL AGRICULTURE IMAGERY PROGRAM, 2021.






- LEGEND**
- BORING LOCATIONS
  - CLOSED BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FOOTPRINT
  - GENERAL DIRECTION OF GROUNDWATER FLOW
  - APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER CONTOURS AND ELEVATIONS (NOTE 1)

- NOTE(S)**
- GROUNDWATER CONTOURS ARE BASED ON MAY AND JUNE 2022 ELEVATION INFORMATION FROM THE SHOWN MONITORING WELLS, AS WELL AS MONITORING WELLS AND PIEZOMETERS NOT SHOWN.


- REFERENCE(S)**
- AERIAL IMAGERY OBTAINED FROM UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, NATIONAL AGRICULTURE IMAGERY PROGRAM, 2021.




**GREAT RIVER ENERGY - STANTON STATION**  
LOCATIONS OF STRATIGRAPHIC SECTIONS

FIGURE 3


Path: \\golder-gis-complex\data\offices\Denver\acaf\GREAT RIVER ENERGY\STANTON\99\_PROJECTS\20210902\19ACM\1 File Name: Figure 3-5.dwg



GEOLOGY LEGEND



LEGEND



NOTE(S)

1. HISTORIC BORING INFORMATION IS BASED ON INFORMATION PROVIDED BY GREAT RIVER ENERGY. THE ELEVATIONS OF BORINGS ARE APPROXIMATE AND BORING INFORMATION HAS BEEN PROJECTED TO APPLICABLE SECTIONS.
2. TOP OF BORING MAY NOT MATCH EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY DUE TO BORINGS BEING PROJECTED TO STRATIGRAPHIC SECTION AND SITE CHANGES BETWEEN BORING DATE AND DATE OF EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY.
3. INTERPRETATION BETWEEN MATERIAL TYPE BOUNDARIES WERE DRAWN BASED ON BORING INFORMATION OBSERVATIONS. THESE BOUNDARIES ARE SHOWN FOR INFORMATION ONLY.
4. GROUNDWATER CONTOURS ARE BASED ON MAY AND JUNE 2022 ELEVATION INFORMATION FROM THE SHOWN MONITORING WELLS, AS WELL AS MONITORING WELLS AND PIEZOMETERS NOT SHOWN.

REFERENCE(S)

1. EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY FROM STANTON STATION RESTORATION POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEY TAKEN IN 2020.





**APPENDIX A**

**Bottom Ash Landfill Closure  
Drawings**

GREAT RIVER ENERGY - STANTON STATION  
SCOPE OF WORK E  
BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE



Prepared for:



Stanton Station  
Stanton, North Dakota

Prepared by:




Golder Associates Inc.  
7245 W Alaska Dr., Suite 200  
Lakewood, Colorado USA 80226

NORTH AND CENTER CELLS CLOSURE DRAWING SET		
DRAWING NO.	TITLE	REVISION
E1	TITLE SHEET	0
E2	GENERAL NOTES AND QUANTITIES	0
E3	EXISTING CONDITIONS	0
E4	TOP OF WASTE AND WEST CONTAINMENT BERM	0
E5	TOP OF FINAL COVER	0
E6	SECTIONS	0
E7	DETAILS	0

ADDITIONAL OVERALL SITE INFORMATION IS INCLUDED ON DRAWINGS 1 THROUGH 7

0	2019-07-19	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	MRS	MRS	RFS	TJS
REV.	YYYY-MM-DD	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNED	PREPARED	REVIEWED	APPROVED

SEAL



CLIENT  
GREAT RIVER ENERGY  
STANTON STATION  
STANTON, NORTH DAKOTA

CONSULTANT



GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.  
7245 W ALASKA DR., SUITE 200  
LAKEWOOD, COLORADO  
USA  
(303) 980-0540  
www.golder.com

PROJECT  
STANTON SITE RESTORATION  
BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE

TITLE  
TITLE SHEET

PROJECT NO. 1775717 REV. 0 E1 of E7 DRAWING E1

Path: U:\new\greatriver\GREAT RIVER ENERGY\STANTON\09 - PROJECTS\1775717\SITE RESTORATION\1 - Bottom Ash Landfill Closure\02 - PRODUCTION\DWG\ | File Name: 1694164.dwg | Last Edited By: bpuccell | Date: 2019-07-16 | Time: 10:42:17 AM | Printed By: bpuccell | Date: 2019-07-23 | Time: 12:03:34 PM

1 in IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM A3/D

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE CONSTRUCTION SCOPE OF WORK CONSISTS OF REGRADE IN-PLACE AND CONSOLIDATING COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUALS AND OTHER APPROVED CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION MATERIAL PLACED WITHIN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL TO BETWEEN 3% AND 15% GRADES, CONSTRUCTING A CONTAINMENT BERM ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE LANDFILL FOOTPRINT, PLACING INFILTRATION LAYER AND TOPSOIL AS REQUIRED TO COVER THE LANDFILL AND DIRECT SURFACE WATER OFF OF THE FINAL COVERED LANDFILL.

## BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE GENERAL NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS

- BOTTOM ASH AND OTHER SOIL-LIKE MATERIALS DEPOSITED IN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL SHALL BE PLACED AND COMPACTED TO PRODUCE A FIRM AND UNYIELDING TOP OF WASTE SURFACE. THESE MATERIALS SHALL BE MOISTURE-CONDITIONED DURING PLACEMENT.
2. THE LOCATION OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION MATERIAL DISPOSAL AREA IN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS IS APPROXIMATE AND SHALL BE CONFIRMED WITH THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION DISPOSAL AREA IN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL IS CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO COMPLETION OF THE OVERALL STANTON STATION SITE RESTORATION PROJECT. THE CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION DISPOSAL AREA SHALL BE APPROXIMATELY 10 FEET DEEP (FROM EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY) AND SHALL HAVE SLOPES NO STEEPER THAN 3H:1V. CELLS SHALL NOT BE EXCAVATED BELOW ELEVATION 1705 FEET AND CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION DEBRIS SHALL NOT BE PLACED ABOVE ELEVATION 1720 FEET. THE MAXIMUM SIZE OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION DISPOSAL AREA SHALL NOT EXCEED 2 ACRES IN SIZE AT ANY TIME. LARGE CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION MATERIALS SHALL BE BROKEN DOWN INTO PIECES LESS THAN APPROXIMATELY 1 FOOT BY 1 FOOT IN SIZE.
4. CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION MATERIAL SHALL BE COMPACTED AS MUCH AS PRACTICAL (APPROXIMATELY 4 TO 6 PASSES OF HEAVY EQUIPMENT) PRIOR TO BEING COVERED WITH BOTTOM ASH OR OTHER SOIL-LIKE MATERIALS TO ACHIEVE FINAL TOP OF WASTE GRADES.
5. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING WRITTEN DOCUMENTATION (DISPOSAL FORM) OF MATERIAL DISPOSED OF WITHIN THE CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION AREA OF THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL AND/OR SOUTH CELL. THIS DISPOSAL FORM SHALL INCLUDE INFORMATION ON THE NATURE OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION MATERIAL, WHERE IT IS BEING PLACED, AND THE APPROXIMATE QUANTITY OF MATERIAL (IN TONS).
6. BOTTOM ASH ON THE FLOOR OF THE EXISTING WEST PORTION OF THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL SHALL BE REMOVED AND PLACED IN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FOOTPRINT AS SHOWN IN THESE DRAWINGS. REMOVAL OF BOTTOM ASH IN THIS AREA SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE IN WRITING PRIOR TO THE WORK BEING COMPLETED.
7. THE WEST CONTAINMENT BERM OF THE PROPOSED BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FOOTPRINT INCLUDED IN THESE DRAWINGS SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUCTED ON SOFT OR SATURATED SOIL. SUBCUT MAY BE REQUIRED SO AS TO PROVIDE A FIRM AND UNYIELDING BASED FOR BERM CONSTRUCTION.
8. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING CONTACT WATER WITHIN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FOOTPRINT. TEMPORARY CONTACT WATER MANAGEMENT BERMS MAY BE REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION TO CONTAIN WATER WITHIN THE LANDFILL. CONTRACTOR TO FOLLOW PROJECT REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCHARGE OF THIS WATER.
9. THE APPROXIMATE DISTANCE FROM THE TOPSOIL BORROW AREA TO THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE EXTENTS IS 0.5 MILES ONE-WAY.
10. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING HAUL ROADS BETWEEN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL, RIVER, WATER SOURCE, DEPOSITION LOCATIONS, WATER DISCHARGE LOCATIONS, AND BORROW AREAS TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE ACCESS THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION.
11. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL DEWATERING REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE WORK.
12. IN ORDER TO DISCHARGE WATER DURING CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR MUST COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING STEPS:

  - a. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE AT LEAST FIVE (5) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO DISCHARGE TO ALLOW FOR WATER SAMPLING/TESTING.
  - b. BASED ON WATER TESTING RESULTS, CONTRACTOR WILL BE NOTIFIED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE TO DISCHARGE WATER TO ONE (1) OF TWO (2) LOCATIONS:

    - i. TO THE MISSOURI RIVER THROUGH A SEDIMENT FILTER BAG USING AN EXISTING OUTFALL LOCATION APPROVED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
    - ii. TO THE SOUTH CELL OF THE BOTTOM ASH IMPOUNDMENT WHERE THE OWNER WILL PERIODICALLY PUMP AND HAUL OFFSITE FOR DISPOSAL OR TREAT AND DISCHARGE.
  - c. RECORD THE VOLUME OF WATER BEING DISCHARGED TO EACH LOCATION AND REPORT ON A WEEKLY BASIS TO THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

## ABBREVIATIONS

AC	ACRE
BAL	BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL
CCR	COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL
C&D	CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION
¢	CENTERLINE
CY	CUBIC YARD
DWG	DRAWING
EL.	ELEVATION
ft	FEET
GOLDER	GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.
GRE	GREAT RIVER ENERGY
HDPE	HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE
in	INCH
LF	LINEAR FOOT
MIN.	MINIMUM
NTS	NOT TO SCALE
SF	SQUARE FOOT
SOW	SCOPE OF WORK
TYP.	TYPICAL

## REFERENCES


1. SITE LOCATION: T144N, R84W, MERCER COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA.
2. AERIAL IMAGE IS A COMBINATION OF IMAGERY OBTAINED FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE NATIONAL AERIAL IMAGE PROGRAM, ACQUIRED IN 2018, AND IMAGERY PROVIDED BY GRE, ACQUIRED IN JUNE 2018.
3. EXISTING GROUND TOPOGRAPHY IS FROM AN AERIAL SURVEY PERFORMED BY KBM, INC. ON APRIL 27, 2001 (SITE WIDE), A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING IN 2014 (BOTTOM ASH IMPOUNDMENT AND LANDFILL AREA), A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING IN 2017 (COAL PILE AREA), AND A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING IN 2018.

## BID ITEM QUANTITIES

TYPE	LOCATION/PURPOSE	SIZE	QUANTITY	NOTES
UNSUITABLE MATERIAL	BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL	N/A	4,800 CY	INCLUDES SOME ORGANICS
BOTTOM ASH REGRADING	BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL	N/A	12,700 CY	
CUT TO EMBANKMENT FILL	BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL WEST CONTAINMENT BERM	N/A	3,000 CY	INCLUDES USE OF EMBANKMENT FILL FOR KEY BELOW EXISTING GROUND AND FOR CONSTRUCTION OF BERM ABOVE EXISTING GROUND
CUT TO INFILTRATION LAYER	BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FINAL COVER	N/A	23,600 CY	
FERTILIZER	BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FINAL COVER	N/A	10.0 AC	
TOPSOIL (BORROW)	BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FINAL COVER	N/A	9,400 CY	
SEED AND MULCH (FINAL COVER MIX)	BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FINAL COVER	N/A	11.0 AC	
SILT FENCE EROSION CONTROLS	BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL	36 in	1,400 LF	

- \* QUANTITIES PRESENTED MAY NOT ACCOUNT FOR WASTE, SHRINK, SWELL, OR OTHER ADDITIONAL QUANTITY REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE WORK.  
\* ITEMS ABOVE REFLECT QUANTITIES TO BE MEASURED AS DESCRIBED IN THE MEASURE AND PAYMENT.  
\* ADDITIONAL MATERIALS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE WORK MAY NOT BE SHOWN IN THIS TABLE (SEE MEASURE AND PAYMENT)

SEAL



CLIENT  
GREAT RIVER ENERGY  
STANTON STATION  
STANTON, NORTH DAKOTA

CONSULTANT



GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.  
7245 W ALASKA DR., SUITE 200  
LAKEWOOD, COLORADO  
USA  
(303) 980-0540  
[www.golder.com](http://www.golder.com)

PROJECT  
STANTON SITE RESTORATION  
BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE

TITLE \_\_\_\_\_

**GENERAL NOTES AND QUANTITIES**


PROJECT NO.  
1775717

REV. E2 of E7  
0


DRAWING  
E2

IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM: ANSI D

Path: U:\Development\GREAT RIVER ENERGY\STANTON\09 - PROJECTS\175717\SITE RESTORATION\1 - Bottom Ash Landfill Closure\02 - PRODUCTION\DWG3 - File Name: 1684194\DWG3.dwg | Last Edited By: bpuccell Date: 2019-07-16 Time: 10:52:27 AM | Printed By: bpuccell Date: 2019-07-23 Time: 12:21:02 PM




Path: U:\Development\GREAT RIVER ENERGY\STANTON09 - PROJECTS\177517\SITE RESTORATION\ E - Bottom Ash Landfill Closure\02 - PRODUCTION\DWG01 - File Name: 1684194407.dwg | Last Edited By: bpuccell | Date: 2019-07-16 | Time: 10:53:04 AM | Printed By: bpuccell | Date: 2019-07-23 | Time: 12:21:42 PM




LEGEND	
	EXISTING GROUND TOPOGRAPHY (REFERENCES 3 AND 4)
	TOP OF WASTE GRADES (NOTE 1, 2, AND 4) (REFERENCE 4)
	3600 WEST CONTAINMENT BERM GRADES (NOTE 3) (REFERENCE 4)
	REMOVE IN-PLACE BOTTOM ASH (NOTE 2)
	MW-10 MONITORING WELLS/PIEZOMETERS (NOTE 6)
	MW-10 MONITORING WELLS/PIEZOMETERS TO BE ABANDONED (BY OTHERS)
	EXISTING POWER POLES (NOTE 7)
	O/H OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE (ACTIVE) (NOTE 7)

- NOTE(S)**
- BOTTOM ASH AND OTHER SOIL-LIKE MATERIALS DEPOSITED IN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL SHALL BE PLACED AND COMPACTED TO PRODUCE A FIRM AND UNYIELDING TOP OF WASTE SURFACE. THESE MATERIALS SHALL BE MOISTURE-CONDITIONED DURING PLACEMENT.
  - BOTTOM ASH ON THE FLOOR OF THE EXISTING WEST PORTION OF THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL SHALL BE REMOVED AND PLACED IN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FOOTPRINT AS SHOWN IN THESE DRAWINGS. CONTRACTOR SHALL LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF VEGETATION DISPOSED WITH REMOVED BOTTOM ASH TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE. REMOVAL OF BOTTOM ASH IN THIS AREA SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE IN WRITING PRIOR TO THE WORK BEING COMPLETED.
  - THE WEST CONTAINMENT BERM OF THE PROPOSED BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FOOTPRINT INCLUDED IN THESE DRAWINGS SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUCTED ON SOFT OR SATURATED SOIL. SUBCUT MAY BE REQUIRED SO AS TO PROVIDE A FIRM AND UNYIELDING BASE FOR BERM CONSTRUCTION.
  - TOP OF WASTE GRADES ARE APPROXIMATE AND MAY VARY DEPENDING ON THE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL REQUIRED TO BE CONTAINED AS A PART OF THE STANTON STATION SITE RESTORATION CONSTRUCTION. TOP OF WASTE GRADES SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 3% OR GREATER THAN 15% UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
  - THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING CONTACT WATER WITHIN THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL FOOTPRINT. TEMPORARY CONTACT WATER MANAGEMENT BERMS MAY BE REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION TO CONTAIN WATER WITHIN THE LANDFILL. CONTRACTOR TO FOLLOW PROJECT REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCHARGE OF THIS WATER (SEE NOTE 11 ON DRAWING E2)
  - CARE SHALL BE TAKEN WHEN WORKING NEAR EXISTING MONITORING WELLS/PIEZOMETERS. ANY DAMAGE TO MONITORING WELLS/PIEZOMETERS IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR AND SHALL BE REPAIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
  - CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE CARE WHEN WORKING NEAR ACTIVE OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINES. APPROPRIATE VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL OFFSETS FROM OVERHEAD POWER LINES, GUY WIRES, AND POLES/STRUCTURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED (A MINIMUM OF TWENTY (20) FEET VERTICAL AND TEN (10) FEET HORIZONTAL) AS DIRECTED BY THE OWNING UTILITY.

- REFERENCE(S)**
- SITE LOCATION: T144N, R84W, MERCER COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA.
  - AERIAL IMAGE IS A COMBINATION OF IMAGERY OBTAINED FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE NATIONAL AERIAL IMAGE PROGRAM, ACQUIRED IN 2018, AND IMAGERY PROVIDED BY GRE, ACQUIRED IN JUNE 2018.
  - EXISTING GROUND TOPOGRAPHY IS FROM AN AERIAL SURVEY PERFORMED BY KBM, INC. ON APRIL 27, 2001 (SITE WIDE), A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING IN 2014 (BOTTOM ASH IMPOUNDMENT AND LANDFILL AREA), A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING IN 2017 (COAL PILE AREA), AND A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING IN 2018.
  - EXISTING GROUND TOPOGRAPHY AND TOP OF WASTE CONTOUR INTERVAL IS ONE (1) FOOT.



0	2019-07-19	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	CCS	CCS	RFS	TJS
REV.	YYYY-MM-DD	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNED	PREPARED	REVIEWED	APPROVED



CLIENT  
GREAT RIVER ENERGY  
STANTON STATION  
STANTON, NORTH DAKOTA

CONSULTANT



GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.  
7245 W ALASKA DR., SUITE 200  
LAKEWOOD, COLORADO  
USA  
(303) 980-0540  
www.golder.com


PROJECT  
STANTON SITE RESTORATION  
BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE

TITLE  
**TOP OF WASTE AND WEST CONTAINMENT BERM**

PROJECT NO. 177517	REV. 0	E4 of E7	DRAWING <b>E4</b>
-----------------------	-----------	----------	----------------------

IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM A3/D


Path: U:\env\stn\GREAT RIVER ENERGY\STANTON\09 - PROJECTS\175717 SITE RESTORATION\1 - Bottom Ash Landfill Closure\02 - PRODUCTION\DWG | File Name: 1684194.dwg | Last Edited By: bpuvill | Date: 2019-07-23 | Time: 12:22:18 PM




- LEGEND**
- 3600 --- EXISTING GROUND TOPOGRAPHY (REFERENCES 2 AND 3)
  - 3600 — TOP OF FINAL COVER GRADES (NOTES 1 AND 2) (REFERENCE 3)
  - 3600 — WEST CONTAINMENT BERM GRADES
  - - - - - APPROXIMATE TIE-IN LOCATION TO SCOPE OF WORK D NORTH AND CENTER CELLS CLOSURE AND SCOPE OF WORK F SITE RESTORATION GRADING AND SCOPE OF WORK G SOUTH CELL CLOSURE (NOTE 4)
  - SF — SILT FENCE (AS REQUIRED) (NOTE 3)
  - MW-10 — MONITORING WELLS/PIEZOMETERS (NOTE 6)
  - MW-10 — MONITORING WELLS/PIEZOMETERS TO BE ABANDONED (BY OTHERS)
  - Ø — EXISTING POWER POLES (NOTE 7)
  - O/H — OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE (ACTIVE) (NOTE 7)

- NOTE(S)**
- THE AREA RECEIVING FINAL COVER IS APPROXIMATE. ALL AREAS OF WASTE PLACEMENT SHALL RECEIVE FINAL COVER.
  - TOP OF FINAL COVER GRADES ARE APPROXIMATE AND THE FINAL SLOPES MAY VARY DEPENDING ON THE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL REQUIRED TO BE CONTAINED AS A PART OF THE STANTON STATION SITE RESTORATION CONSTRUCTION. ALL AREAS OF WASTE PLACEMENT WILL RECEIVE FINAL COVER. TOP OF FINAL COVER GRADES SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 3% OR GREATER THAN 15% UNLESS OTHERWISE APPROVED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
  - CONTRACTOR SHALL FOLLOW BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. ALL PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL FEATURES ARE SUBJECT TO REVIEW FOR EFFECTIVENESS AND NECESSARY ADJUSTMENTS WILL BE MADE AS DIRECTED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
  - BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL GRADING SHALL TIE INTO THE SCOPE OF WORK D, F AND G ALONG THE APPROXIMATE TIE-IN LINE INDICATED. SCOPE OF WORK D, F AND G GRADING IS NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY. TEMPORARY SLOPES BETWEEN SUBGRADE GRADES SHOWN AND EXISTING GROUND (PRIOR TO SITE REGRADING) SHALL NOT BE STEEPER THAN 3H:1V.
  - THE FINAL COVER SHALL BE TIED INTO THE EXISTING SOUTH PERIMETER BERM AS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN 2 FEET OF COVER OVER WASTE AREAS AND TO PROMOTE SURFACE WATER FLOW OFF OF THE BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL.
  - CARE SHALL BE TAKEN WHEN WORKING NEAR EXISTING MONITORING WELLS/PIEZOMETERS. ANY DAMAGE TO MONITORING WELLS/PIEZOMETERS IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR AND SHALL BE REPAIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
  - CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE CARE WHEN WORKING NEAR ACTIVE OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINES. APPROPRIATE VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL OFFSETS FROM OVERHEAD POWER LINES, GUY WIRES, AND POLES/STRUCTURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED (A MINIMUM OF TWENTY (20) FEET VERTICAL AND TEN (10) FEET HORIZONTAL) AS DIRECTED BY THE OWNING UTILITY.

- REFERENCE(S)**
- SITE LOCATION: T144N, R84W, MERCER COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA.
  - EXISTING GROUND TOPOGRAPHY IS FROM AN AERIAL SURVEY PERFORMED BY KBM, INC. ON APRIL 27, 2001 (SITE WIDE), A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING IN 2014 (BOTTOM ASH IMPOUNDMENT AND LANDFILL AREA), A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING IN 2017 (COAL PILE AREA), AND A GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING IN 2018.
  - EXISTING GROUND TOPOGRAPHY AND TOP OF FINAL COVER CONTOUR INTERVAL IS ONE (1) FOOT.



0	2019-07-19	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	CCS	CCS	RFS	TJS
REV.	YYYY-MM-DD	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNED	PREPARED	REVIEWED	APPROVED



CLIENT  
GREAT RIVER ENERGY  
STANTON STATION  
STANTON, NORTH DAKOTA  
CONSULTANT




GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.  
7245 W ALASKA DR., SUITE 200  
LAKEWOOD, COLORADO  
USA  
(303) 980-0540  
www.golder.com

PROJECT  
STANTON SITE RESTORATION  
BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE

TITLE  
TOP OF FINAL COVER

PROJECT NO.	REV.	E5 of E7	DRAWING
1775717	0		E5


Path: U:\new\rest\GREAT RIVER ENERGY\STANTON\99\_PROJECTS\177517\SITE RESTORATION\1\_E- Bottom Ash Landfill Closure\02\_PRODUCTION\DWG | File Name: 1684194405.dwg | Last Edited By: bpruett | Date: 2019-07-16 Time: 10:42:43 AM | Printed By: bpruett | Date: 2019-07-23 Time: 12:22:51 PM



SCALE 1" = 30'  
2X VERTICAL EXAGGERATION

**A**  
E5


**BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE NORTHWEST-SOUTHEAST SECTION**



SCALE 1" = 30'  
2X VERTICAL EXAGGERATION

**B**  
E5

**BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE SOUTHWEST-NORTHEAST SECTION**



SEAL



CLIENT  
GREAT RIVER ENERGY  
STANTON STATION  
STANTON, NORTH DAKOTA

CONSULTANT



GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.  
7245 W ALASKA DR., SUITE 200  
LAKEWOOD, COLORADO  
USA  
(303) 980-0540  
www.golder.com

PROJECT  
STANTON SITE RESTORATION  
BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE

TITLE  
**SECTIONS**

PROJECT NO.  
**1775717**

REV. **0** E6 of E7

DRAWING  
**E6**

0 2019-07-19 ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION


MRS MRS RFS TJS

DESIGNED PREPARED REVIEWED APPROVED


REV. YYYY-MM-DD DESCRIPTION

IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM: ANSI D


Path: U:\new\erac\GREAT RIVER ENERGY\STANTON\99\_PROJECTS\177517\SITE RESTORATION\1\_E\_Bottom Ash Landfill Closure\02\_PRODUCTION\DWG3 | File Name: 1694194\006.dwg | Last Edited By: kcanill Date: 2019-07-22 Time: 3:56:32 PM | Printed By: BPrussell Date: 2019-07-23 Time: 12:23:33 PM




NTS **1** WEST CONTAINMENT BERM DETAIL  
E7




NTS **2** FINAL COVER DETAIL  
E7



NTS **3** SILT FENCE DETAIL  
E7




SECTION A



NTS **4** TEMPORARY CONTACT WATER BERM  
E7

SEAL



CLIENT  
GREAT RIVER ENERGY  
STANTON STATION  
STANTON, NORTH DAKOTA  
CONSULTANT



GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.  
7245 W ALASKA DR., SUITE 200  
LAKEWOOD, COLORADO  
USA  
(303) 980-0540  
www.golder.com

PROJECT  
STANTON SITE RESTORATION  
BOTTOM ASH LANDFILL CLOSURE

TITLE  
**DETAILS**

PROJECT NO.  
1775717

REV. 0 E7 of E7 DRAWING  
**E7**

0	2019-07-19	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	MRS	MRS	RFS	TJS
REV.	YYYY-MM-DD	DESCRIPTION	DESIGNED	PREPARED	REVIEWED	APPROVED

1 in IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM: ANSI D

**APPENDIX B**

**May 2022 Boring Logs and Well  
Completion Information**










# WELL LOG NO. MW210

Page 1 of 1

PROJECT: Monitoring Well Installation

CLIENT: Golder Associates Inc  
Lakewood, CO

SITE: Hwy 200  
Stanton, ND

GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See <span>Exploration Plan</span>		INSTALLATION DETAILS		DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (in.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	
	Latitude: 47.2851° Longitude: -101.3310°	Surface Elev.: 1700 (Ft.)	PVC Cap	Protective Casing						
DEPTH	ELEVATION (Ft.)									
	0.5	1699.5								
	<b>TOPSOIL</b> , dark brown									
	2.0	1698								
	<b>FILL - LEAN CLAY WITH SAND</b> , dark brown									
										
	<b>FILL - SILTY SAND</b> , trace gravel, fine to medium grained, brown, seams of clay									
	9.0	1691	Soil cuttings		5		X	13	8-17-25 N=42	
	<b>POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL (SP-SM)</b> , fine to coarse grained, brown, medium dense, waterbearing		PVC riser							
			Bentonite							
					10		X	16	4-9-10 N=19	
	15.5	1684.5			15		X	18	1-2-8-9 N=10	
	<b>POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM)</b> , trace gravel, fine to medium grained, brown, loose to medium dense							X	18	1-2-4-8 N=6
								X	15	2-6-7-14 N=13
	20.0	1680	Prepack PVC screen		20		X	18	2-4-11-15 N=15	
								X	18	9-10-8-11 N=18
	24.0	1676								
<b>Boring Terminated at 24 Feet</b>										

Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.

Hammer Type: Automatic

Advancement Method:  
4 1/4" HSA, 0-22'

See [Exploration and Testing Procedures](#) for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any).

Notes:

Abandonment Method:

See [Supporting Information](#) for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.

Elevations were provided by others.

## WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

While sampling

**Terracon**  
1805 Hancock Dr PO Box 2084  
Bismarck, ND

Well Started: 05-09-2022

Well Completed: 05-09-2022

Drill Rig: Mobile B-57

Driller: Mike R.

Project No.: M2225030

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-WELL M2225030 MONITORING WELL I.G.P.J. TERRACON DATATEMPLATE.GDT 6/8/22

# WELL LOG NO. MW211

Page 1 of 1

**PROJECT:** Monitoring Well Installation

**CLIENT:** Golder Associates Inc  
Lakewood, CO

**SITE:** Hwy 200  
Stanton, ND

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-WELL M2225030 MONITORING WELL I.G.P.U. TERRACON\_DATATEMPLATE.GDT 6/8/22

GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See <a href="#">Exploration Plan</a>		INSTALLATION DETAILS		DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (in.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS
	Latitude: 47.2845° Longitude: -101.3323°	Surface Elev.: 1703 (Ft.)	PVC Cap	Protective Casing					
DEPTH	ELEVATION (Ft.)								
0.5	1702.5								
<b>TOPSOIL</b> , dark brown									
<b>LEAN CLAY WITH SAND</b> , dark brown									
5.5	1697.5		PVC riser		5				
<b>SILTY SAND (SM)</b> , fine to medium grained, grayish brown, medium dense to loose									
waterbearing at 9.5'									
14.0	1689		Soil cuttings						
<b>LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL)</b> , grayish brown, stiff									
16.0	1687		Bentonite		10				
<b>SILTY SAND (SM)</b> , fine to medium grained, gray, medium dense, waterbearing									
18.0	1685		Silica sand		15				
<b>POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL (SP-SM)</b> , fine to coarse grained, grayish brown to gray, medium dense, waterbearing									
24.0	1679		PVC screen		20				
<b>Boring Terminated at 24 Feet</b>									

Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.

Hammer Type: Automatic

Advancement Method:  
4 1/4" HSA, 0-22'

See [Exploration and Testing Procedures](#) for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (if any).

Notes:

Abandonment Method:

See [Supporting Information](#) for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.

Elevations were provided by others.

## WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

While sampling

**Terracon**  
1805 Hancock Dr PO Box 2084  
Bismarck, ND

Well Started: 05-10-2022

Well Completed: 05-10-2022

Drill Rig: Mobile B-57

Driller: Mike R.

Project No.: M2225030

# WELL LOG NO. MW212

Page 1 of 1

PROJECT: Monitoring Well Installation

CLIENT: Golder Associates Inc  
Lakewood, CO

SITE: Hwy 200  
Stanton, ND

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-WELL M2225030 MONITORING WELL I.G.P.J. TERRACON DATATEMPLATE GDT 6/8/22

GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See <a href="#">Exploration Plan</a> Latitude: 47.2845° Longitude: -101.3313°		INSTALLATION DETAILS		DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (in.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS
	DEPTH	ELEVATION (Ft.)	PVC Cap	Protective Casing					
		Surface Elev.: 1704 (Ft.)							
	2.0	1702							
	2.5	1701.5							
	5.0	1699	PVC riser						
	9.5	1694.5	Soil cuttings						
	14.5	1689.5	Bentonite						
	24.0	1680	Silica sand						
			PVC screen						

Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.

Hammer Type: Automatic

Advancement Method:  
4 1/4" HSA, 0-22'

See [Exploration and Testing Procedures](#) for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any).

Notes:

Abandonment Method:

See [Supporting Information](#) for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.

Elevations were provided by others.

## WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

While sampling

**Terracon**  
1805 Hancock Dr PO Box 2084  
Bismarck, ND

Well Started: 05-10-2022

Well Completed: 05-10-2022

Drill Rig: Mobile B-57

Driller: Mike R.

Project No.: M2225030

# WELL LOG NO. MW213

Page 1 of 1

PROJECT: Monitoring Well Installation

CLIENT: Golder Associates Inc  
Lakewood, CO

SITE: Hwy 200  
Stanton, ND

GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See <a href="#">Exploration Plan</a> Latitude: 47.2856° Longitude: -101.3303°	INSTALLATION DETAILS	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS
	DEPTH	Surface Elev.: 1702 (Ft.)					
	ELEVATION (Ft.)						
0.5	<b>TOPSOIL</b> , dark brown	PVC Cap	1701.5				
2.0	<b>LEAN CLAY WITH SAND</b> , dark brown	Protective Casing	1700				
	<b>LEAN CLAY WITH SAND (CL)</b> , dark brown						
5.5			1696.5		X	16	14-21-22 N=43
	<b>SILTY SAND (SM)</b> , trace gravel, fine to medium grained, brown, dense	Soil cuttings					
		PVC riser					
9.5			1692.5		X	12	6-9-9 N=18
	<b>POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM)</b> , trace gravel, brown, medium dense						
		Bentonite					
14.5			1687.5		X	17	4-8-10 N=18
	<b>SILTY SAND (SM)</b> , trace gravel, fine to medium grained, grayish brown to brown, medium dense, waterbearing						
		Prepack PVC screen					
25.5			1676.5		X	18	6-11-35-41 N=46
	<b>FAT CLAY (CH)</b> , gray, hard						
27.0			1675		X	23	8-10-15-21 N=25
	<b>SANDY FAT CLAY (CH)</b> , gray, very stiff to hard						
31.0			1671		X	24	9-12-21-26 N=33
	<b>Boring Terminated at 31 Feet</b>						

Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.

Hammer Type: Automatic

Advancement Method:  
3 1/4" HSA, 0-29'

See [Exploration and Testing Procedures](#) for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (if any).

Notes:

Abandonment Method:

See [Supporting Information](#) for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.

Elevations were provided by others.

## WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

While sampling

**Terracon**  
1805 Hancock Dr PO Box 2084  
Bismarck, ND

Well Started: 05-12-2022

Well Completed: 05-12-2022

Drill Rig: Mobile B-57

Driller: Mike R.

Project No.: M2225030

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-WELL M2225030 MONITORING WELL (GPU) TERRACON DATATEMPLATE GDT 6/8/22

# WELL LOG NO. MW214

Page 1 of 1

PROJECT: Monitoring Well Installation

CLIENT: Golder Associates Inc  
Lakewood, CO

SITE: Hwy 200  
Stanton, ND

GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See <a href="#">Exploration Plan</a> Latitude: 47.2822° Longitude: -101.3357°	INSTALLATION DETAILS	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS
	DEPTH	Surface Elev.: 1703 (Ft.)					
	ELEVATION (Ft.)						
0.5	<b>TOPSOIL AND ROOTZONE</b> , dark brown	1702.5					
	<b>CLAYEY SAND (SC)</b> , brown						
2.5		1700.5					
	<b>SILTY SAND (SM)</b> , fine to medium grained, brown, loose, waterbearing at 4.5'						
10.0		1693					
	<b>FAT CLAY (CH)</b> , brown, stiff						
16.5		1686.5					
	<b>Boring Terminated at 16.5 Feet</b>						

Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.

Hammer Type: Automatic

Advancement Method:  
4 1/4" HSA, 0-14 1/2'

See [Exploration and Testing Procedures](#) for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (if any).

Notes:

Abandonment Method:

See [Supporting Information](#) for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.

Elevations were provided by others.

## WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

While sampling

**Terracon**  
1805 Hancock Dr PO Box 2084  
Bismarck, ND

Well Started: 05-12-2022

Well Completed: 05-12-2022

Drill Rig: Mobile B-57

Driller: Mike R.

Project No.: M2225030




THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-WELL M2225030 MONITORING WELL / GPJ TERRACON DATATEMPLATE GDT 6/8/22

## BORING LOG NO. BH1

Page 1 of 1

PROJECT: Monitoring Well Installation

CLIENT: Golder Associates Inc  
Lakewood, COSITE: Hwy 200  
Stanton, ND

GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See <a href="#">Exploration Plan</a>	INSTALLATION DETAILS	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS
	Latitude: 47.2831° Longitude: -101.3343°  Surface Elev.: 1715 (Ft.) ELEVATION (Ft.)						
	1.5 <b>TOPSOIL</b> , dark brown						
	4.0 <b>FILL - BOTTOM ASH</b>						
	<b>Boring Terminated at 4 Feet</b>						
Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.							
Hammer Type: Automatic							
Advancement Method: 3 1/4" HSA, 0-2'		See <a href="#">Exploration and Testing Procedures</a> for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any).		Notes:			
Abandonment Method:		See <a href="#">Supporting Information</a> for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.					
		Elevations were provided by others.					
<b>WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS</b>		 1805 Hancock Dr PO Box 2084 Bismarck, ND		Boring Started: 05-10-2022		Boring Completed: 05-10-2022	
None observed				Drill Rig: Mobile B-57		Driller: Mike R.	
				Project No.: M2225030			

**APPENDIX C**

**Analytical Results from May 2022  
Nature and Extent Wells**

**Table C1: Sample Results Summary Table - MW-210**

		MW-210		
	Units	26-May-22	19-Jul-22	6-Sep-22
Water Elevation	ft AMSL	1690.7	1690.4	1689.8
<b>Appendix III Parameters</b>				
Boron	mg/L	0.66	0.67	0.69
Calcium	mg/L	78.6	75.2	77.1
Chloride	mg/L	14.7	14.2	14.8
Fluoride	mg/L	0.54	0.55	0.55
pH, Field	s.u.	7.75	7.6	7.61
pH, Lab	s.u.	7.9	7.8	8.0
Sulfate	mg/L	422	400	534
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1240	1200	1160
<b>Appendix IV Parameters</b>				
Antimony	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0024	0.0020	0.0021
Barium	mg/L	0.0589	0.0566	0.0658
Beryllium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Chromium	mg/L	< 0.002	0.0028	0.0024
Cobalt	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Fluoride	mg/L	0.54	0.55	0.55
Lead	mg/L	0.0010	0.0011	0.0014
Lithium	mg/L	0.0392	0.0405	0.0440
Mercury	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.0105	0.0107	0.0106
Radium 226	pCi/L	0.958 ± 0.326	0.299 U ± 0.344	0.233 U ± 0.302
Radium 228	pCi/L	1.10 U ± 0.816	1.12 U ± 1.09	1.54 U ± 1.59
Radium 226 and 228 combined	pCi/L	2.06 ± 0.879	1.41 ± 1.14	1.77 ± 1.62
Selenium	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
Thallium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005

## Legend:

--, not analyzed

ft AMSL, feet above mean sea level

mg/L, milligrams per liter

s.u., standard units for pH

pCi/L, picocuries per liter

## Notes:

Non-detects have been listed at the reported primary quantitation limit.

Metal concentrations represent the total fraction (i.e., samples have not been filtered).

## Laboratory Provided Qualifiers:

U (Radiochem) = Not detected above the minimum detectable concentration (varies by sample).

**Table C2: Sample Results Summary Table - MW-211**

		MW-211		
	Units	26-May-22	19-Jul-22	6-Sep-22
Water Elevation	ft AMSL	1691.0	1690.7	1690.1
<b>Appendix III Parameters</b>				
Boron	mg/L	1.26	1.60	2.18
Calcium	mg/L	53.4	56.9	97.9
Chloride	mg/L	19.3	17.9	18.0
Fluoride	mg/L	0.55	0.56	0.55
pH, Field	s.u.	8.04	7.73	7.23
pH, Lab	s.u.	8.3	7.9	7.8
Sulfate	mg/L	787	791	1020
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1830	1950	2380
<b>Appendix IV Parameters</b>				
Antimony	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0110	0.0152	0.0251
Barium	mg/L	0.1802	0.2714	0.3744
Beryllium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	0.0007
Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Chromium	mg/L	0.0038	0.0110	0.0191
Cobalt	mg/L	0.0020	0.0058	0.0101
Fluoride	mg/L	0.55	0.56	0.55
Lead	mg/L	0.0016	0.0055	0.0089
Lithium	mg/L	0.0233	0.0300	0.0455
Mercury	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.0214	0.0242	0.0244
Radium 226	pCi/L	1.28 ± 0.398	0.698 ± 0.362	0.851 ± 0.381
Radium 228	pCi/L	0.993 U ± 1.08	0.113 U ± 0.841	1.86 U ± 1.28
Radium 226 and 228 combined	pCi/L	2.28 ± 1.15	0.810 ± 0.916	2.71 ± 1.33
Selenium	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.0244
Thallium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005

## Legend:

--, not analyzed

ft AMSL, feet above mean sea level

mg/L, milligrams per liter

s.u., standard units for pH

pCi/L, picocuries per liter

## Notes:

Non-detects have been listed at the reported primary quantitation limit.

Metal concentrations represent the total fraction (i.e., samples have not been filtered).

## Laboratory Provided Qualifiers:

U (Radiochem) = Not detected above the minimum detectable concentration (varies by sample).

**Table C3: Sample Results Summary Table - MW-212**

		MW-212		
	Units	26-May-22	19-Jul-22	6-Sep-22
Water Elevation	ft AMSL	1691.0	1690.7	1690.1
<b>Appendix III Parameters</b>				
Boron	mg/L	0.66	0.65	0.74
Calcium	mg/L	50.9	52.6	43.0
Chloride	mg/L	16.6	15.7	17.3
Fluoride	mg/L	0.44	0.48	0.49
pH, Field	s.u.	8.06	7.9	8.09
pH, Lab	s.u.	8.3	8.2	8.2
Sulfate	mg/L	522	486	477
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1430	1370	1360
<b>Appendix IV Parameters</b>				
Antimony	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0041	0.0034	0.0041
Barium	mg/L	0.1065	0.0888	0.0860
Beryllium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Chromium	mg/L	0.0024	< 0.002	0.0020
Cobalt	mg/L	0.0023	0.0022	0.0036
Fluoride	mg/L	0.44	0.48	0.49
Lead	mg/L	0.0014	0.0017	0.0028
Lithium	mg/L	0.0255	0.0304	0.0252
Mercury	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.0322	0.0365	0.0501
Radium 226	pCi/L	0.701 ± 0.292	0.281 U ± 0.237	0.317 ± 0.245
Radium 228	pCi/L	0.575 U ± 0.821	1.18 U ± 0.929	0.635 U ± 1.36
Radium 226 and 228 combined	pCi/L	1.28 ± 0.878	1.46 ± 0.959	0.952 ± 1.38
Selenium	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
Thallium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005

## Legend:

--, not analyzed

ft AMSL, feet above mean sea level

mg/L, milligrams per liter

s.u., standard units for pH

pCi/L, picocuries per liter

## Notes:

Non-detects have been listed at the reported primary quantitation limit.

Metal concentrations represent the total fraction (i.e., samples have not been filtered).

## Laboratory Provided Qualifiers:

U (Radiochem) = Not detected above the minimum detectable concentration (varies by sample).

**Table C4: Sample Results Summary Table - MW-213**

		MW-213		
	Units	26-May-22	19-Jul-22	6-Sep-22
Water Elevation	ft AMSL	1690.5	1689.8	1689.5
<b>Appendix III Parameters</b>				
Boron	mg/L	1.09	1.10	1.22
Calcium	mg/L	91.4	90.6	100
Chloride	mg/L	14.7	13.8	14.4
Fluoride	mg/L	0.74	0.78	0.75
pH, Field	s.u.	7.73	7.59	7.53
pH, Lab	s.u.	8.0	7.5	7.9
Sulfate	mg/L	368	354	389
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1110	1110	1090
<b>Appendix IV Parameters</b>				
Antimony	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Arsenic	mg/L	< 0.002	0.0028	0.0057
Barium	mg/L	0.0463	0.0769	0.1282
Beryllium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Chromium	mg/L	< 0.002	0.0056	0.0124
Cobalt	mg/L	< 0.002	0.0021	0.0049
Fluoride	mg/L	0.74	0.78	0.75
Lead	mg/L	< 0.0005	0.0026	0.0048
Lithium	mg/L	0.0342	0.0384	0.0463
Mercury	mg/L	0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.0126	0.0138	0.0152
Radium 226	pCi/L	0.552 ± 0.318	0.210 U ± 0.194	0.643 ± 0.441
Radium 228	pCi/L	-0.724 U ± 1.08	0.431 U ± 1.07	2.91 ± 1.51
Radium 226 and 228 combined	pCi/L	0.552 ± 1.12	0.641 ± 1.09	3.55 ± 1.57
Selenium	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
Thallium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005

## Legend:

--, not analyzed

ft AMSL, feet above mean sea level

mg/L, milligrams per liter

s.u., standard units for pH

pCi/L, picocuries per liter

## Notes:

Non-detects have been listed at the reported primary quantitation limit.

Metal concentrations represent the total fraction (i.e., samples have not been filtered).

## Laboratory Provided Qualifiers:

U (Radiochem) = Not detected above the minimum detectable concentration (varies by sample).

**Table C5: Sample Results Summary Table - MW-214**

		MW-214		
	Units	26-May-22	19-Jul-22	6-Sep-22
Water Elevation	ft AMSL	1702.7	1702.2	1701.2
<b>Appendix III Parameters</b>				
Boron	mg/L	0.29	0.35	0.40
Calcium	mg/L	45.5	45.4	43.2
Chloride	mg/L	23.1	70.2	16.6
Fluoride	mg/L	0.60	0.61	0.54
pH, Field	s.u.	7.7	7.49	7.7
pH, Lab	s.u.	8.1	8.0	8.0
Sulfate	mg/L	452	338	536
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	1320	1260	1220
<b>Appendix IV Parameters</b>				
Antimony	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0023	< 0.002	0.0023
Barium	mg/L	0.0609	0.0559	0.0528
Beryllium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Chromium	mg/L	0.0054	0.0044	0.0048
Cobalt	mg/L	0.0021	< 0.002	< 0.002
Fluoride	mg/L	0.60	0.61	0.54
Lead	mg/L	0.0015	0.0047	0.0016
Lithium	mg/L	0.0453	0.0496	0.0504
Mercury	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.0136	0.0165	0.0161
Radium 226	pCi/L	0.644 ± 0.346	0.686 ± 0.304	0.827 ± 0.386
Radium 228	pCi/L	1.09 U ± 0.858	0.0913 U ± 0.974	2.40 U ± 1.59
Radium 226 and 228 combined	pCi/L	1.73 ± 0.925	0.777 ± 1.02	3.22 ± 1.64
Selenium	mg/L	0.0074	< 0.005	< 0.005
Thallium	mg/L	< 0.0005	< 0.0005	< 0.0005

## Legend:

--, not analyzed

ft AMSL, feet above mean sea level

mg/L, milligrams per liter

s.u., standard units for pH

pCi/L, picocuries per liter

## Notes:

Non-detects have been listed at the reported primary quantitation limit.

Metal concentrations represent the total fraction (i.e., samples have not been filtered).

## Laboratory Provided Qualifiers:

U (Radiochem) = Not detected above the minimum detectable concentration (varies by sample).

**APPENDIX D**

# Soil Testing Analytical Results

## ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins Knoxville  
5815 Middlebrook Pike  
Knoxville, TN 37921  
Tel: (865)291-3000

Laboratory Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

**For:**

Golder Associates Inc.  
7245 W Alaska Drive  
Suite 200  
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

Attn: Ms. Erin Hunter



Authorized for release by:  
6/17/2022 11:28:52 AM

Ryan Henry, Project Manager I  
(865)291-3000

[WilliamR.Henry@et.eurofinsus.com](mailto:WilliamR.Henry@et.eurofinsus.com)

**Note from Golder:**  
Results for other sites have been redacted from the analytical report.

### LINKS

Review your project  
results through



Have a Question?



Visit us at:

[www.eurofinsus.com/Env](http://www.eurofinsus.com/Env)

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.



# Table of Contents

Cover Page . . . . .	1
Table of Contents . . . . .	2
Definitions/Glossary . . . . .	3
Case Narrative . . . . .	4
Sample Summary . . . . .	7
Client Sample Results . . . . .	8
Default Detection Limits . . . . .	30
QC Sample Results . . . . .	33
QC Association Summary . . . . .	41
Lab Chronicle . . . . .	50
Certification Summary . . . . .	69
Method Summary . . . . .	70
Chain of Custody . . . . .	71

# Definitions/Glossary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Qualifiers

### Metals

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
*1	LCS/LCSD RPD exceeds control limits.
B	Compound was found in the blank and sample.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.
L	A negative instrument reading had an absolute value greater than the reporting limit

## Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
α	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

# Case Narrative

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Job ID: 140-27497-1

### Laboratory: Eurofins Knoxville

#### Narrative

#### Job Narrative 140-27497-1

#### Receipt

The samples were received on 5/18/2022 at 11:20am and arrived in good condition.

#### Receipt Exceptions

The Field Sampler was not listed on the Chain of Custody.

The Chain-of-Custody (COC) was incomplete as received and/or improperly completed. Not relinquished by client.

#### Metals

##### 7 Step Sequential Extraction Procedure

These soil samples were prepared and analyzed using Eurofins TestAmerica Knoxville standard operating procedure KNOX-MT-0008, "7 Step Sequential Extraction Procedure". SW-846 Method 6010B as incorporated in Eurofins TestAmerica Knoxville standard operating procedure KNOX-MT-0007 was used to perform the final instrument analyses.

An aliquot of each sample was sequentially extracted using the steps listed below:

- Step 1 - Exchangeable Fraction: A 5 gram aliquot of sample was extracted with 25 mL of 1M magnesium sulfate ( $\text{MgSO}_4$ ), centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 2 - Carbonate Fraction: The sample residue from step 1 was extracted with 25 mL of 1M sodium acetate/acetic acid ( $\text{NaOAc}/\text{HOAc}$ ) at pH 5, centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 3 - Non-crystalline Materials Fraction: The sample residue from step 2 was extracted with 25 mL of 0.2M ammonium oxalate (pH 3), centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 4 - Metal Hydroxide Fraction: The sample residue from step 3 was extracted with 25 mL of 1M hydroxylamine hydrochloride solution in 25% v/v acetic acid, centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 5 - Organic-bound Fraction: The sample residue from step 4 was extracted three times with 25 mL of 5% sodium hypochlorite ( $\text{NaClO}$ ) at pH 9.5, centrifuged and filtered. The resulting leachates were combined and 5 mL were digested using method 3010A and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 6 - Acid/Sulfide Fraction: The sample residue from step 5 was extracted with 25 mL of a 3:1:2 v/v solution of  $\text{HCl}-\text{HNO}_3-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , centrifuged and filtered. 5 mL of the resulting leachate was diluted to 50 mL with reagent water and analyzed by method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.
- Step 7 - Residual Fraction: A 1.0 g aliquot of the sample residue from step 6 was digested using HF,  $\text{HNO}_3$ , HCl and  $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ . The digestate was analyzed by ICP using method 6010B. Results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.

In addition, a 1.0 g aliquot of the original sample was digested using HF,  $\text{HNO}_3$ , HCl and  $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ . The digestate was analyzed by ICP using method 6010B. Total metal results are reported in mg/kg on a dry weight basis.

Results were calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Result, } \mu\text{g/g or mg/Kg, dry weight} = (C \times V \times V1 \times D) / (W \times S \times V2)$$

Where:

- C = Concentration from instrument readout,  $\mu\text{g/mL}$
- V = Final volume of digestate, mL
- D = Instrument dilution factor
- V1 = Total volume of leachate, mL
- V2 = Volume of leachate digested, mL

# Case Narrative

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Job ID: 140-27497-1 (Continued)

### Laboratory: Eurofins Knoxville (Continued)

W = Wet weight of sample, g  
S = Percent solids/100

A method blank, laboratory control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate were prepared and analyzed with each SEP step in order to provide information about both the presence of elements of interest in the extraction solutions, and the recovery of elements of interest from the extraction solutions. Results outside of laboratory QC limits do not reflect out of control performance, but rather the effect of the extraction solution upon the analyte.

A laboratory sample duplicate was prepared and analyzed with each batch of samples in order to provide information regarding the reproducibility of the procedure.

#### SEP Report Notes:

The final report lists the results for each step, the result for the total digestion of the sample, and a sum of the results of steps 1 through 7 by element.

Magnesium was not reported for step 1 because the extraction solution for this step (magnesium sulfate) contains high levels of magnesium. Sodium was not reported for steps 2 and 5 since the extraction solutions for these steps contain high levels of sodium. The sum of steps 1 through 7 is much higher than the total result for sodium and magnesium due to the magnesium and sodium introduced by the extraction solutions.

The digestates for steps 1, 2 and 5 were analyzed at a dilution due to instrument problems caused by the high solids content of the digestates. The reporting limits were adjusted accordingly.

Method 6010B: The following sample was diluted to bring the concentration of target analyte, Iron, within the calibration range: TW-TR2-C2 (140-27497-9). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Method 6010B: The following sample was diluted due to the presence of Iron which interferes with Arsenic and Lead: TW-TR2-C2 (140-27497-9). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Method 6010B: The following samples were diluted due to the presence of Silicon which interferes with Arsenic, Cobalt, and Lead: MW-212-C (140-27497-1), MW-212 (140-27497-2), MW-210 (140-27497-4), MW-211-0-5FT (140-27497-7) and TW-TR2-C2 (140-27497-9). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Method 6010B: Due to sample matrix effect on the internal standard (ISTD), a dilution was required for the following sample: BH-1 (140-27497-5).

Methods 6010B, 6010B SEP: The following samples were diluted due to the nature of the sample matrix: MW-212-C (140-27497-1), MW-212 (140-27497-2), TW-TR2 (140-27497-3), MW-210 (140-27497-4), BH-1 (140-27497-5), MW-214 (140-27497-6), MW-211-0-5FT (140-27497-7), MW-211-14-25FT (140-27497-8), TW-TR2-C2 (140-27497-9), TW-TR2-C1 (140-27497-10) and MW-213 (140-27497-11). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided for Aluminum.

Method 6010B: The following samples were diluted due to the presence of Aluminum which interferes with Lead: TW-TR2 (140-27497-3) and MW-213 (140-27497-11). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Method 6010B: The following samples were diluted due to the presence of Titanium which interferes with Cobalt: TW-TR2 (140-27497-3), MW-214 (140-27497-6), TW-TR2-C1 (140-27497-10) and MW-213 (140-27497-11). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Titanium is not an interfering element for the Lead line used for reporting these samples.

Method 6010B SEP: LCS/LCSD %RPD was out due to the very low recoveries known for Manganese for this step.

## Case Narrative

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

### Job ID: 140-27497-1 (Continued)

#### Laboratory: Eurofins Knoxville (Continued)

MW-212-C (140-27497-1)

Method 6010B SEP: The following sample was diluted due to the presence of titanium which interferes with Cobalt and Lead: BH-1 (140-27497-5). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Method 6010B SEP: The following samples were diluted due to the presence of Silicon which interferes with Arsenic, Cobalt, and Lead: MW-212-C (140-27497-1), MW-210 (140-27497-4), MW-211-0-5FT (140-27497-7), MW-211-14-25FT (140-27497-8), TW-TR2-C2 (140-27497-9), TW-TR2-C1 (140-27497-10) and MW-213 (140-27497-11). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Method 6010B SEP: The following samples were diluted due to the presence of Titanium which interferes with Cobalt: TW-TR2 (140-27497-3), BH-1 (140-27497-5) and MW-213 (140-27497-11). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Titanium is not an interfering element for the Lead line used for reporting these samples.

Method 6010B SEP: The following sample was diluted due to the nature of the sample matrix: MW-212-C (140-27497-1). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided for Aluminum.

Method Organic-Bound: The following samples are not amenable for this step as each addition of the extraction reagent caused significant foaming which resulted in sample loss.

MW-212-C (140-27497-1), MW-211-0-5FT (140-27497-7), TW-TR2-C2 (140-27497-9) and TW-TR2-C1 (140-27497-10)

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### General Chemistry

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

# Sample Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Solid	05/10/22 10:48	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-2	MW-212	Solid	05/10/22 11:20	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Solid	05/11/22 15:00	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-4	MW-210	Solid	05/09/22 10:37	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-5	BH-1	Solid	05/10/22 13:15	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-6	MW-214	Solid	05/12/22 15:15	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Solid	05/10/22 08:24	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Solid	05/10/22 09:30	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Solid	05/11/22 14:00	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Solid	05/11/22 13:00	05/18/22 11:20
140-27497-11	MW-213	Solid	05/12/22 12:40	05/18/22 11:20

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-1**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 10:48**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 72.2**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		55	8.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:27	4
Arsenic	ND		2.8	0.72	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:27	4
Cobalt	ND		14	0.25	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:27	4
Iron	ND		28	16	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:27	4
Lead	ND		2.8	0.61	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:27	4
Lithium	ND		14	0.83	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:27	4
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>7.3</b>		4.2	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:27	4
Molybdenum	ND		11	0.45	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:27	4

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		42	6.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:26	3
Arsenic	ND		2.1	0.54	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:26	3
Cobalt	ND		10	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:26	3
Iron	ND		21	12	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:26	3
<b>Lead</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>J</b>	2.1	0.46	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:26	3
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>0.71</b>	<b>J</b>	10	0.62	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:26	3
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>16</b>		3.1	1.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:26	3
Molybdenum	ND		8.3	0.34	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:26	3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>730</b>		14	2.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:02	1
<b>Arsenic</b>	<b>1.3</b>		0.69	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:02	1
<b>Cobalt</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>J</b>	3.5	0.062	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:02	1
<b>Iron</b>	<b>1900</b>		6.9	4.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:02	1
Lead	ND		0.69	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:02	1
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>J</b>	3.5	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:02	1
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>B</b>	1.0	0.037	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:02	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.8	0.11	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:02	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>2500</b>		14	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:59	1
<b>Arsenic</b>	<b>2.7</b>		0.69	0.30	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:59	1
<b>Cobalt</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>J</b>	3.5	0.073	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:59	1
<b>Iron</b>	<b>6300</b>		6.9	4.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:59	1
<b>Lead</b>	<b>3.9</b>		0.69	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:59	1
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>B</b>	3.5	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:59	1
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>78</b>		1.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:59	1
<b>Molybdenum</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>J</b>	2.8	0.11	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:59	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>480</b>		210	33	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:22	5
Arsenic	ND		10	2.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:22	5
Cobalt	ND		52	0.83	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:22	5
<b>Iron</b>	<b>1300</b>		100	61	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:22	5
<b>Lead</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>J</b>	10	2.3	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:22	5
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>J B</b>	52	3.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:22	5

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-1**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 10:48**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 72.2**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5 (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Manganese	14	J *1	16	2.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:22	5
Molybdenum	ND		42	1.7	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:22	5

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	1300		14	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:22	1
Arsenic	0.94		0.69	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:22	1
Cobalt	0.41	J	3.5	0.064	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:22	1
Iron	2000		6.9	4.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:22	1
Lead	0.51	J	0.69	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:22	1
Lithium	1.0	J	3.5	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:22	1
Manganese	11		1.0	0.35	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:22	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.8	0.14	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:22	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	22000		140	22	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 11:43	10
Arsenic	0.94	J	1.4	0.36	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:31	2
Cobalt	2.0	J	6.9	0.072	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:31	2
Iron	3100		6.9	5.7	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 12:42	1
Lead	2.3		1.4	0.30	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:31	2
Lithium	6.9		3.5	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 12:42	1
Manganese	28		1.0	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 13:40	1
Molybdenum	0.14	J	2.8	0.11	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 13:40	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	27000		10	1.6	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Arsenic	5.9		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Cobalt	5.2		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Iron	15000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lead	9.5		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lithium	22		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Manganese	230		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Molybdenum	0.28	J	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1

## Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	26000		140	22	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 13:41	10
Arsenic	7.9		2.1	0.54	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:27	3
Cobalt	5.8	J	10	0.11	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:27	3
Iron	13000		6.9	5.7	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 15:40	1
Lead	7.7		2.1	0.46	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:27	3
Lithium	10		3.5	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 15:40	1
Manganese	200		1.0	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 15:40	1
Molybdenum	1.1	J	2.8	0.11	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 15:40	1

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: MW-212

Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-2

Date Collected: 05/10/22 11:20

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 85.2

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		47	7.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:42	4
Arsenic	ND		2.3	0.61	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:42	4
Cobalt	ND		12	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:42	4
Iron	ND		23	14	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:42	4
Lead	ND		2.3	0.52	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:42	4
Lithium	ND		12	0.70	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:42	4
Manganese	10		3.5	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:42	4
Molybdenum	ND		9.4	0.38	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:42	4

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	5.9	J	35	5.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:41	3
Arsenic	ND		1.8	0.46	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:41	3
Cobalt	ND		8.8	0.22	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:41	3
Iron	ND		18	10	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:41	3
Lead	ND		1.8	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:41	3
Lithium	ND		8.8	0.53	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:41	3
Manganese	30		2.6	0.99	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:41	3
Molybdenum	ND		7.0	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:41	3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	60		12	2.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:17	1
Arsenic	0.28	J	0.59	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:17	1
Cobalt	0.34	J	2.9	0.053	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:17	1
Iron	380		5.9	3.4	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:17	1
Lead	ND		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:17	1
Lithium	ND		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:17	1
Manganese	24	B	0.88	0.032	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:17	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.3	0.096	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:17	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	450		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:14	1
Arsenic	0.46	J	0.59	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:14	1
Cobalt	1.3	J	2.9	0.062	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:14	1
Iron	3400		5.9	3.4	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:14	1
Lead	1.3		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:14	1
Lithium	1.1	J B	2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:14	1
Manganese	62		0.88	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:14	1
Molybdenum	0.58	J	2.3	0.096	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:14	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	87	J	180	28	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:37	5
Arsenic	ND		8.8	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:37	5
Cobalt	ND		44	0.70	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:37	5
Iron	ND		88	52	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:37	5
Lead	ND		8.8	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:37	5
Lithium	7.5	J B	44	2.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:37	5

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-212**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-2**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 11:20**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 85.2**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5 (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Manganese	2.5	J *1	13	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:37	5
Molybdenum	ND		35	1.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:37	5

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	1200		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:37	1
Arsenic	3.4		0.59	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:37	1
Cobalt	1.3	J	2.9	0.054	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:37	1
Iron	7600		5.9	3.4	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:37	1
Lead	0.90		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:37	1
Lithium	1.9	J	2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:37	1
Manganese	84		0.88	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:37	1
Molybdenum	1.4	J	2.3	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:37	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	29000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:00	10
Arsenic	2.3		0.59	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 13:55	1
Cobalt	2.0	J	2.9	0.031	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 13:55	1
Iron	3600		5.9	4.8	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 13:55	1
Lead	7.1		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 13:55	1
Lithium	4.7		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 13:55	1
Manganese	55		0.88	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 13:55	1
Molybdenum	0.88	J	2.3	0.096	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 13:55	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	31000		10	1.6	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Arsenic	6.4		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Cobalt	4.9		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Iron	15000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lead	9.3		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lithium	15		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Manganese	270		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Molybdenum	2.9		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1

## Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	33000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 13:55	10
Arsenic	7.9		1.2	0.31	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:32	2
Cobalt	5.4	J	5.9	0.061	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:32	2
Iron	13000		5.9	4.8	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 15:55	1
Lead	7.3		1.2	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:32	2
Lithium	8.5		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 15:55	1
Manganese	200		0.88	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 15:55	1
Molybdenum	0.62	J	2.3	0.096	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 15:55	1

Eurofins Knoxville

Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: TW-TR2


Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-3

Date Collected: 05/11/22 15:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 84.1



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: TW-TR2


Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-3

Date Collected: 05/11/22 15:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 84.1



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-210**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-4**

**Date Collected: 05/09/22 10:37**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.8**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		48	7.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:52	4
Arsenic	ND		2.4	0.62	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:52	4
Cobalt	ND		12	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:52	4
Iron	ND		24	14	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:52	4
<b>Lead</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>J B</b>	2.4	0.53	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:52	4
Lithium	ND		12	0.72	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:52	4
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>J</b>	3.6	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:52	4
Molybdenum	ND		9.5	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:52	4

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		36	5.7	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:51	3
Arsenic	ND		1.8	0.47	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:51	3
Cobalt	ND		9.0	0.23	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:51	3
<b>Iron</b>	<b>40</b>		18	10	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:51	3
<b>Lead</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>J</b>	1.8	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:51	3
Lithium	ND		9.0	0.54	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:51	3
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>13</b>		2.7	1.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:51	3
Molybdenum	ND		7.2	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:51	3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>45</b>		12	2.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:26	1
Arsenic	ND		0.60	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:26	1
<b>Cobalt</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>J</b>	3.0	0.054	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:26	1
<b>Iron</b>	<b>620</b>		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:26	1
Lead	ND		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:26	1
Lithium	ND		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:26	1
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>B</b>	0.90	0.032	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:26	1
<b>Molybdenum</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>J</b>	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:26	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>570</b>		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:23	1
<b>Arsenic</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>J</b>	0.60	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:23	1
<b>Cobalt</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>J</b>	3.0	0.063	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:23	1
<b>Iron</b>	<b>3600</b>		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:23	1
<b>Lead</b>	<b>1.6</b>		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:23	1
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>J B</b>	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:23	1
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>37</b>		0.90	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:23	1
<b>Molybdenum</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>J</b>	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:23	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>J</b>	180	28	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:47	5
Arsenic	ND		9.0	2.3	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:47	5
Cobalt	ND		45	0.72	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:47	5
Iron	ND		90	53	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:47	5
Lead	ND		9.0	2.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:47	5
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>J B</b>	45	2.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:47	5

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-210**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-4**

**Date Collected: 05/09/22 10:37**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.8**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5 (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Manganese	ND	*1	13	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:47	5
Molybdenum	ND		36	1.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:47	5

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	1300		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:52	1
Arsenic	2.6		0.60	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:52	1
Cobalt	1.3	J	3.0	0.055	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:52	1
Iron	6500		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:52	1
Lead	0.99		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:52	1
Lithium	1.9	J	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:52	1
Manganese	66		0.90	0.30	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:52	1
Molybdenum	0.33	J	2.4	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:52	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	31000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:09	10
Arsenic	1.7		1.2	0.31	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:41	2
Cobalt	1.9	J	6.0	0.062	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:41	2
Iron	3300		6.0	4.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:05	1
Lead	4.7		1.2	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:41	2
Lithium	3.8		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:05	1
Manganese	61		0.90	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:05	1
Molybdenum	0.13	J	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:05	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	33000		10	1.6	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Arsenic	4.6		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Cobalt	5.1		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Iron	14000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lead	8.4		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lithium	15		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Manganese	180		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Molybdenum	0.81	J	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1

## Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	30000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:05	10
Arsenic	6.0		1.2	0.31	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:42	2
Cobalt	4.7	J	6.0	0.062	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:42	2
Iron	13000		6.0	4.9	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:06	1
Lead	7.1		1.2	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:42	2
Lithium	6.5		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:06	1
Manganese	170		0.90	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:06	1
Molybdenum	0.85	J	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:06	1

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-5**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 13:15**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 89.0**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		45	7.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:57	4
Arsenic	ND		2.2	0.58	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:57	4
Cobalt	ND		11	0.20	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:57	4
Iron	ND		22	13	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:57	4
Lead	ND		2.2	0.49	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:57	4
Lithium	ND		11	0.67	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:57	4
Manganese	0.34	J	3.4	0.14	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:57	4
Molybdenum	ND		9.0	0.37	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 11:57	4

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	1600		34	5.4	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:56	3
Arsenic	ND		1.7	0.44	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:56	3
Cobalt	0.21	J	8.4	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:56	3
Iron	190		17	9.8	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:56	3
Lead	0.80	J	1.7	0.37	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:56	3
Lithium	1.9	J	8.4	0.51	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:56	3
Manganese	23		2.5	0.94	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:56	3
Molybdenum	ND		6.7	0.28	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 13:56	3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	3400		11	2.4	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:31	1
Arsenic	1.6		0.56	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:31	1
Cobalt	0.60	J	2.8	0.051	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:31	1
Iron	1100		5.6	3.3	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:31	1
Lead	ND		0.56	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:31	1
Lithium	1.4	J	2.8	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:31	1
Manganese	12	B	0.84	0.030	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:31	1
Molybdenum	0.39	J	2.2	0.092	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:31	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	12000		11	1.8	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:28	1
Arsenic	1.0		0.56	0.25	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:28	1
Cobalt	2.0	J	2.8	0.060	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:28	1
Iron	3500		5.6	3.3	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:28	1
Lead	6.3		0.56	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:28	1
Lithium	12	B	2.8	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:28	1
Manganese	65		0.84	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:28	1
Molybdenum	0.80	J	2.2	0.092	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:28	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	710		170	26	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:52	5
Arsenic	ND		8.4	2.1	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:52	5
Cobalt	ND		42	0.67	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:52	5
Iron	ND		84	49	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:52	5
Lead	ND		8.4	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:52	5
Lithium	7.9	J B	42	2.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:52	5

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: BH-1

Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-5

Date Collected: 05/10/22 13:15

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 89.0

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5 (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Manganese	11	J *1	13	2.1	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:52	5
Molybdenum	ND		34	1.4	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:52	5

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	23000		11	1.8	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:56	1
Arsenic	4.1		0.56	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:56	1
Cobalt	4.2	J	5.6	0.10	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/13/22 16:26	2
Iron	13000		5.6	3.3	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:56	1
Lead	4.5		1.1	0.25	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/13/22 16:26	2
Lithium	19		2.8	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:56	1
Manganese	86		0.84	0.28	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:56	1
Molybdenum	0.96	J	2.2	0.11	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 13:56	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	21000		110	18	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:14	10
Arsenic	1.6		0.56	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:10	1
Cobalt	5.6	J	14	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:46	5
Iron	14000		5.6	4.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:10	1
Lead	4.6		0.56	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:10	1
Lithium	24		2.8	0.17	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:10	1
Manganese	97		0.84	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:10	1
Molybdenum	0.98	J	2.2	0.092	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:10	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	62000		10	1.6	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Arsenic	8.3		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Cobalt	13		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Iron	31000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lead	16		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lithium	67		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Manganese	300		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Molybdenum	3.1		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1

## Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	79000		110	18	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:09	10
Arsenic	11		1.1	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:48	2
Cobalt	14	J	28	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:09	10
Iron	35000		56	46	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:09	10
Lead	11		1.1	0.25	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:48	2
Lithium	69		28	1.7	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:09	10
Manganese	250		1.7	0.25	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:48	2
Molybdenum	3.4	J	4.5	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:48	2

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-214**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-6**

**Date Collected: 05/12/22 15:15**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.4**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		48	7.7	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:02	4
Arsenic	ND		2.4	0.62	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:02	4
Cobalt	ND		12	0.22	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:02	4
Iron	ND		24	14	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:02	4
<b>Lead</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>J B</b>	2.4	0.53	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:02	4
Lithium	ND		12	0.72	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:02	4
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>J</b>	3.6	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:02	4
Molybdenum	ND		9.6	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:02	4

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		36	5.8	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:01	3
Arsenic	ND		1.8	0.47	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:01	3
Cobalt	ND		9.0	0.23	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:01	3
Iron	ND		18	10	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:01	3
Lead	ND		1.8	0.40	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:01	3
Lithium	ND		9.0	0.54	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:01	3
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>15</b>		2.7	1.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:01	3
Molybdenum	ND		7.2	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:01	3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>110</b>		12	2.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:36	1
<b>Arsenic</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>J</b>	0.60	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:36	1
<b>Cobalt</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>J</b>	3.0	0.054	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:36	1
<b>Iron</b>	<b>390</b>		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:36	1
<b>Lead</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>J</b>	0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:36	1
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>J</b>	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:36	1
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>B</b>	0.90	0.032	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:36	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:36	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>2100</b>		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:33	1
<b>Arsenic</b>	<b>2.2</b>		0.60	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:33	1
<b>Cobalt</b>	<b>3.2</b>		3.0	0.064	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:33	1
<b>Iron</b>	<b>8400</b>		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:33	1
<b>Lead</b>	<b>4.7</b>		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:33	1
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>B</b>	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:33	1
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>110</b>		0.90	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:33	1
<b>Molybdenum</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>J</b>	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:33	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>J</b>	180	28	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:57	5
Arsenic	ND		9.0	2.3	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:57	5
Cobalt	ND		45	0.72	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:57	5
Iron	ND		90	53	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:57	5
Lead	ND		9.0	2.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:57	5
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>J B</b>	45	2.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:57	5

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-214**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-6**

**Date Collected: 05/12/22 15:15**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.4**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5 (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Manganese	5.0	J *1	13	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:57	5
Molybdenum	ND		36	1.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 11:57	5

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	4500		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:02	1
Arsenic	1.6		0.60	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:02	1
Cobalt	1.5	J	3.0	0.055	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:02	1
Iron	7300		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:02	1
Lead	1.2		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:02	1
Lithium	3.7		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:02	1
Manganese	62		0.90	0.30	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:02	1
Molybdenum	0.12	J	2.4	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:02	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	27000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:19	10
Arsenic	1.2		0.60	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:16	1
Cobalt	1.1	J	3.0	0.031	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:16	1
Iron	4200		6.0	4.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:16	1
Lead	2.6		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:16	1
Lithium	5.3		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:16	1
Manganese	39		0.90	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:16	1
Molybdenum	0.15	J	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:16	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	34000		10	1.6	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Arsenic	5.4		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Cobalt	7.2		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Iron	20000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lead	9.4		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lithium	20		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Manganese	310		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Molybdenum	0.62	J	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1

## Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	44000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:14	10
Arsenic	7.2		0.60	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:18	1
Cobalt	6.1		6.0	0.062	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:53	2
Iron	17000		6.0	4.9	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:18	1
Lead	7.8		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:18	1
Lithium	13		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:18	1
Manganese	210		0.90	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:18	1
Molybdenum	0.66	J	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:18	1

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-211-0-5FT**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-7**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 08:24**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.9**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		48	7.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:07	4
Arsenic	ND		2.4	0.62	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:07	4
Cobalt	ND		12	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:07	4
Iron	ND		24	14	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:07	4
Lead	ND		2.4	0.52	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:07	4
Lithium	ND		12	0.72	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:07	4
Manganese	ND		3.6	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:07	4
Molybdenum	ND		9.5	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:07	4

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		36	5.7	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:06	3
Arsenic	ND		1.8	0.47	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:06	3
Cobalt	ND		8.9	0.23	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:06	3
Iron	ND		18	10	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:06	3
Lead	ND		1.8	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:06	3
Lithium	ND		8.9	0.54	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:06	3
Manganese	17		2.7	1.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:06	3
Molybdenum	ND		7.2	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:06	3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	320		12	2.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:41	1
Arsenic	1.0		0.60	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:41	1
Cobalt	1.4	J	3.0	0.054	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:41	1
Iron	1100		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:41	1
Lead	ND		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:41	1
Lithium	0.24	J	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:41	1
Manganese	94	B	0.89	0.032	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:41	1
Molybdenum	0.21	J	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:41	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	1600		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:38	1
Arsenic	1.7		0.60	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:38	1
Cobalt	1.5	J	3.0	0.063	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:38	1
Iron	5000		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:38	1
Lead	3.6		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:38	1
Lithium	3.2	B	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:38	1
Manganese	67		0.89	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:38	1
Molybdenum	0.18	J	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:38	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	190		180	28	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:02	5
Arsenic	ND		8.9	2.3	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:02	5
Cobalt	ND		45	0.72	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:02	5
Iron	410		89	52	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:02	5
Lead	ND		8.9	2.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:02	5
Lithium	6.3	J B	45	2.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:02	5

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-211-0-5FT**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-7**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 08:24**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.9**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5 (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Manganese	12	J *1	13	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:02	5
Molybdenum	ND		36	1.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:02	5

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	2500		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:07	1
Arsenic	2.0		0.60	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:07	1
Cobalt	0.98	J	3.0	0.055	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:07	1
Iron	5000		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:07	1
Lead	1.0		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:07	1
Lithium	2.4	J	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:07	1
Manganese	39		0.89	0.30	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:07	1
Molybdenum	0.13	J	2.4	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:07	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	32000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:24	10
Arsenic	1.1	J	1.8	0.47	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:51	3
Cobalt	2.1	J	8.9	0.093	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:51	3
Iron	3300		6.0	4.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:21	1
Lead	3.2		1.8	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 15:51	3
Lithium	5.6		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:21	1
Manganese	42		0.89	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:21	1
Molybdenum	0.14	J	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:21	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	37000		10	1.6	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Arsenic	5.9		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Cobalt	6.0		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Iron	15000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lead	7.8		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lithium	18		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Manganese	270		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Molybdenum	0.66	J	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1

## Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	32000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:19	10
Arsenic	7.8		1.2	0.31	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:58	2
Cobalt	5.5	J	6.0	0.062	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:58	2
Iron	13000		6.0	4.9	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:23	1
Lead	8.4		1.2	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 17:58	2
Lithium	10		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:23	1
Manganese	260		0.89	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:23	1
Molybdenum	0.98	J	2.4	0.098	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:23	1

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-211-14-25FT**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-8**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 09:30**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.2**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		48	7.7	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:12	4
Arsenic	ND		2.4	0.63	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:12	4
Cobalt	ND		12	0.22	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:12	4
Iron	ND		24	14	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:12	4
Lead	ND		2.4	0.53	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:12	4
Lithium	ND		12	0.72	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:12	4
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>13</b>		3.6	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:12	4
Molybdenum	ND		9.6	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:12	4

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>J</b>	36	5.8	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:11	3
Arsenic	ND		1.8	0.47	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:11	3
Cobalt	ND		9.0	0.23	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:11	3
<b>Iron</b>	<b>38</b>		18	10	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:11	3
<b>Lead</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>J</b>	1.8	0.40	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:11	3
Lithium	ND		9.0	0.54	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:11	3
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>29</b>		2.7	1.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:11	3
Molybdenum	ND		7.2	0.30	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:11	3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>130</b>		12	2.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:46	1
<b>Arsenic</b>	<b>0.85</b>		0.60	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:46	1
<b>Cobalt</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>J</b>	3.0	0.054	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:46	1
<b>Iron</b>	<b>820</b>		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:46	1
<b>Lead</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>J</b>	0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:46	1
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>J</b>	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:46	1
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>B</b>	0.90	0.032	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:46	1
<b>Molybdenum</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>J</b>	2.4	0.099	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 11:46	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>1400</b>		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:42	1
<b>Arsenic</b>	<b>1.6</b>		0.60	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:42	1
<b>Cobalt</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>J</b>	3.0	0.064	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:42	1
<b>Iron</b>	<b>5500</b>		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:42	1
<b>Lead</b>	<b>1.9</b>		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:42	1
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>J B</b>	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:42	1
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>69</b>		0.90	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:42	1
<b>Molybdenum</b>	<b>0.64</b>	<b>J</b>	2.4	0.099	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:42	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
<b>Aluminum</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>J</b>	180	28	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:07	5
Arsenic	ND		9.0	2.3	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:07	5
Cobalt	ND		45	0.72	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:07	5
Iron	ND		90	53	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:07	5
Lead	ND		9.0	2.0	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:07	5
<b>Lithium</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>J B</b>	45	2.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:07	5

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-211-14-25FT**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-8**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 09:30**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.2**

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5 (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Manganese	ND	*1	14	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:07	5
Molybdenum	ND		36	1.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:07	5

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	2200		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:11	1
Arsenic	2.0		0.60	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:11	1
Cobalt	0.95	J	3.0	0.055	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:11	1
Iron	5400		6.0	3.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:11	1
Lead	0.62		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:11	1
Lithium	2.2	J	3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:11	1
Manganese	50		0.90	0.30	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:11	1
Molybdenum	0.85	J	2.4	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:11	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	28000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:29	10
Arsenic	1.3	J	1.8	0.47	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 16:06	3
Cobalt	1.4	J	9.0	0.094	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 16:06	3
Iron	2400		6.0	4.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:26	1
Lead	3.4		1.8	0.40	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 16:06	3
Lithium	4.0		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:26	1
Manganese	36		0.90	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:26	1
Molybdenum	0.16	J	2.4	0.099	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:26	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	32000		10	1.6	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Arsenic	5.8		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Cobalt	4.7		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Iron	14000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lead	6.6		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lithium	16		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Manganese	220		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Molybdenum	2.0		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1

## Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	43000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:24	10
Arsenic	8.7		0.60	0.16	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:29	1
Cobalt	4.7		3.0	0.031	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:29	1
Iron	13000		6.0	4.9	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:29	1
Lead	7.5		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:29	1
Lithium	9.2		3.0	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:29	1
Manganese	240		0.90	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:29	1
Molybdenum	0.79	J	2.4	0.099	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:29	1

Eurofins Knoxville

Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C2


Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-9

Date Collected: 05/11/22 14:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 54.6



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C2


Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-9

Date Collected: 05/11/22 14:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 54.6



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C1


Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-10

Date Collected: 05/11/22 13:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 64.6



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C1


Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-10

Date Collected: 05/11/22 13:00

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 64.6



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: MW-213

Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-11

Date Collected: 05/12/22 12:40

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 85.3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		47	7.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:27	4
Arsenic	ND		2.3	0.61	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:27	4
Cobalt	0.46	J	12	0.21	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:27	4
Iron	ND		23	14	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:27	4
Lead	ND		2.3	0.52	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:27	4
Lithium	ND		12	0.70	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:27	4
Manganese	10		3.5	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:27	4
Molybdenum	ND		9.4	0.38	mg/Kg	☆	06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:27	4

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	15	J	35	5.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:27	3
Arsenic	ND		1.8	0.46	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:27	3
Cobalt	1.1	J	8.8	0.22	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:27	3
Iron	580		18	10	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:27	3
Lead	1.6	J	1.8	0.39	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:27	3
Lithium	0.91	J	8.8	0.53	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:27	3
Manganese	69		2.6	0.98	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:27	3
Molybdenum	ND		7.0	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 14:27	3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	87		12	2.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:01	1
Arsenic	0.29	J	0.59	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:01	1
Cobalt	0.96	J	2.9	0.053	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:01	1
Iron	4900		5.9	3.4	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:01	1
Lead	ND		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:01	1
Lithium	0.34	J	2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:01	1
Manganese	130	B	0.88	0.032	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:01	1
Molybdenum	0.11	J	2.3	0.096	mg/Kg	☆	06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:01	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	1100		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:57	1
Arsenic	ND	L	0.59	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:57	1
Cobalt	2.4	J	2.9	0.062	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:57	1
Iron	14000		5.9	3.4	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:57	1
Lead	3.1		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:57	1
Lithium	3.7	B	2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:57	1
Manganese	350		0.88	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:57	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.3	0.096	mg/Kg	☆	06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 13:57	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	59	J	180	28	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:22	5
Arsenic	ND		8.8	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:22	5
Cobalt	ND		44	0.70	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:22	5
Iron	ND		88	52	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:22	5
Lead	ND		8.8	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:22	5
Lithium	5.4	J B	44	2.6	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:22	5

Eurofins Knoxville

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Client Sample ID: MW-213

Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-11

Date Collected: 05/12/22 12:40

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Percent Solids: 85.3

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5 (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Manganese	7.3	J *1	13	2.2	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:22	5
Molybdenum	ND		35	1.5	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:22	5

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	4200		12	1.9	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:27	1
Arsenic	4.5		0.59	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:27	1
Cobalt	2.5	J	2.9	0.054	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:27	1
Iron	7700		5.9	3.4	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:27	1
Lead	1.6		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:27	1
Lithium	5.1		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:27	1
Manganese	84		0.88	0.29	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:27	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.3	0.12	mg/Kg	☆	06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 14:27	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	40000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:43	10
Arsenic	1.9		1.2	0.30	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 16:21	2
Cobalt	1.6	J	5.9	0.061	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 16:21	2
Iron	3700		5.9	4.8	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:41	1
Lead	2.1		1.2	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 16:21	2
Lithium	11		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:41	1
Manganese	34		0.88	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:41	1
Molybdenum	0.12	J	2.3	0.096	mg/Kg	☆	06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 14:41	1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	46000		10	1.6	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Arsenic	6.7		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Cobalt	9.1		2.5	0.023	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Iron	31000		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lead	8.4		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Lithium	26		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Manganese	690		0.75	0.052	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1
Molybdenum	0.23	J	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg			06/16/22 15:26	1

## Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	62000		120	19	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:38	10
Arsenic	6.2		0.59	0.15	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:45	1
Cobalt	9.3		5.9	0.061	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 18:24	2
Iron	19000		5.9	4.8	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:45	1
Lead	8.9		1.2	0.26	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 18:24	2
Lithium	21		2.9	0.18	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:45	1
Manganese	300		0.88	0.13	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:45	1
Molybdenum	0.40	J	2.3	0.096	mg/Kg	☆	05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 16:45	1

Eurofins Knoxville

## Default Detection Limits

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

### Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 1

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Exchangeable

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Aluminum	10	1.6	mg/Kg
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.045	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Lead	0.50	0.11	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.031	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

### Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 2

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Carbonate

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Aluminum	10	1.6	mg/Kg
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.063	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Lead	0.50	0.11	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.28	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

### Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 3

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Non-Crystalline

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Aluminum	10	2.1	mg/Kg
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.045	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Lead	0.50	0.11	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.027	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

### Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 4

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Metal Hydroxide

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Aluminum	10	1.6	mg/Kg
Arsenic	0.50	0.22	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.053	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Lead	0.50	0.11	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.13	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

### Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Prep: 3010A

Eurofins Knoxville

## Default Detection Limits

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

### Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 5

Prep: 3010A

SEP: Organic-Bound

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Aluminum	30	4.7	mg/Kg
Arsenic	1.5	0.38	mg/Kg
Cobalt	7.5	0.12	mg/Kg
Iron	15	8.8	mg/Kg
Lead	1.5	0.33	mg/Kg
Lithium	7.5	0.44	mg/Kg
Manganese	2.3	0.37	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	6.0	0.25	mg/Kg

### Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 6

SEP: Acid/Sulfide

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Aluminum	10	1.6	mg/Kg
Arsenic	0.50	0.15	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.046	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	2.9	mg/Kg
Lead	0.50	0.11	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.25	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.099	mg/Kg

### Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Step 7

Prep: Residual

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Aluminum	10	1.6	mg/Kg
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.026	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	4.1	mg/Kg
Lead	0.50	0.11	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.11	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

### Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) - Sum of Steps 1-7

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Aluminum	10	1.6	mg/Kg
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.023	mg/Kg
Iron	5.0	4.1	mg/Kg
Lead	0.50	0.11	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.052	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

### Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Prep: Total

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Aluminum	10	1.6	mg/Kg
Arsenic	0.50	0.13	mg/Kg
Cobalt	2.5	0.026	mg/Kg

Eurofins Knoxville

## Default Detection Limits

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

### Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total (Continued)

#### Prep: Total

Analyte	RL	MDL	Units
Iron	5.0	4.1	mg/Kg
Lead	0.50	0.11	mg/Kg
Lithium	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg
Manganese	0.75	0.11	mg/Kg
Molybdenum	2.0	0.082	mg/Kg

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Method: 6010B - SEP Metals (ICP) - Total

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-61991/17-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62595

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 61991

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		10	1.6	mg/Kg		05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:53	1
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg		05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:53	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.026	mg/Kg		05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:53	1
Iron	ND		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg		05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:53	1
Lead	ND		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg		05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:53	1
Lithium	ND		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:53	1
Manganese	ND		0.75	0.11	mg/Kg		05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:53	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg		05/26/22 08:00	06/14/22 14:53	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-61991/18-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62595

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 61991

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Aluminum	100	98.2		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120
Arsenic	5.00	5.31		mg/Kg		106	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	5.19		mg/Kg		104	80 - 125
Iron	50.0	51.9		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120
Lead	5.00	5.08		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	4.98		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.06		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	25.7		mg/Kg		103	80 - 125

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-61991/19-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62595

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 61991

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Aluminum	100	97.3		mg/Kg		97	80 - 120	1	30
Arsenic	5.00	5.29		mg/Kg		106	80 - 120	0	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.17		mg/Kg		103	80 - 125	0	30
Iron	50.0	52.4		mg/Kg		105	80 - 120	1	30
Lead	5.00	5.07		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	0	30
Lithium	5.00	4.98		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	0	30
Manganese	5.00	5.06		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	0	30
Molybdenum	25.0	25.7		mg/Kg		103	80 - 125	0	30

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP)

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-61992/17-B ^4

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62391

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 62134

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		40	6.4	mg/Kg		06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 10:43	4
Arsenic	ND		2.0	0.52	mg/Kg		06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 10:43	4
Cobalt	ND		10	0.18	mg/Kg		06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 10:43	4
Iron	ND		20	12	mg/Kg		06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 10:43	4
Lead	0.592	J	2.0	0.44	mg/Kg		06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 10:43	4

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-61992/17-B ^4

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62391

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 62134

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Lithium	ND		10	0.60	mg/Kg		06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 10:43	4
Manganese	ND		3.0	0.12	mg/Kg		06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 10:43	4
Molybdenum	ND		8.0	0.33	mg/Kg		06/01/22 08:00	06/08/22 10:43	4

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-61992/18-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62391

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 62134

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Aluminum	100	102		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Arsenic	5.00	5.07		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	5.04	J	mg/Kg		101	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	50.8		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Lead	5.00	5.40		mg/Kg		108	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	5.47	J	mg/Kg		109	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.09		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	24.7		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-61992/19-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62391

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 1

Prep Batch: 62134

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Aluminum	100	99.3		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120	3	30
Arsenic	5.00	5.06		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	0	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.19	J	mg/Kg		104	80 - 120	3	30
Iron	50.0	51.5		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120	1	30
Lead	5.00	5.46		mg/Kg		109	80 - 120	1	30
Lithium	5.00	5.39	J	mg/Kg		108	80 - 120	1	30
Manganese	5.00	5.21		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120	2	30
Molybdenum	25.0	25.2		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	2	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62135/17-B ^3

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62391

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 62164

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		30	4.8	mg/Kg		06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:41	3
Arsenic	ND		1.5	0.39	mg/Kg		06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:41	3
Cobalt	ND		7.5	0.19	mg/Kg		06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:41	3
Iron	ND		15	8.7	mg/Kg		06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:41	3
Lead	ND		1.5	0.33	mg/Kg		06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:41	3
Lithium	ND		7.5	0.45	mg/Kg		06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:41	3
Manganese	ND		2.3	0.84	mg/Kg		06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:41	3
Molybdenum	ND		6.0	0.25	mg/Kg		06/02/22 08:00	06/08/22 12:41	3

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62135/18-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62391

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 62164

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Aluminum	100	ND		mg/Kg		4	
Arsenic	5.00	3.61		mg/Kg		72	60 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	4.80	J	mg/Kg		96	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	ND		mg/Kg		3	
Lead	5.00	4.50		mg/Kg		90	70 - 120
Lithium	5.00	5.26	J	mg/Kg		105	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	4.78		mg/Kg		96	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	21.2		mg/Kg		85	70 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62135/19-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62391

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 2

Prep Batch: 62164

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Aluminum	100	ND		mg/Kg		3		4	
Arsenic	5.00	3.74		mg/Kg		75	60 - 120	4	30
Cobalt	5.00	4.72	J	mg/Kg		94	80 - 120	2	30
Iron	50.0	ND		mg/Kg		3		9	
Lead	5.00	4.74		mg/Kg		95	70 - 120	5	30
Lithium	5.00	4.90	J	mg/Kg		98	80 - 120	7	30
Manganese	5.00	4.76		mg/Kg		95	80 - 120	1	30
Molybdenum	25.0	21.2		mg/Kg		85	70 - 120	0	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62165/17-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62441

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 62194

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		10	2.1	mg/Kg		06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 10:19	1
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg		06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 10:19	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.045	mg/Kg		06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 10:19	1
Iron	ND		5.0	2.9	mg/Kg		06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 10:19	1
Lead	ND		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg		06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 10:19	1
Lithium	ND		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 10:19	1
Manganese	0.0870	J	0.75	0.027	mg/Kg		06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 10:19	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg		06/03/22 08:00	06/09/22 10:19	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62165/18-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62441

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 3

Prep Batch: 62194

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Aluminum	100	102		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Arsenic	5.00	5.05		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	5.14		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	51.7		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120
Lead	5.00	0.143	J	mg/Kg		3	
Lithium	5.00	5.07		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.08		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62165/18-B  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 62441

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample  
Prep Type: Step 3  
Prep Batch: 62194

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Molybdenum	25.0	25.2		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62165/19-B  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 62441

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup  
Prep Type: Step 3  
Prep Batch: 62194

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Aluminum	100	103		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120	1	30
Arsenic	5.00	5.11		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	1	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.16		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120	0	30
Iron	50.0	52.2		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120	1	30
Lead	5.00	0.149	J	mg/Kg		3		4	
Lithium	5.00	5.08		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	0	30
Manganese	5.00	5.05		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	1	30
Molybdenum	25.0	25.5		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	1	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62195/17-B  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 62441

Client Sample ID: Method Blank  
Prep Type: Step 4  
Prep Batch: 62239

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		10	1.6	mg/Kg		06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:15	1
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.22	mg/Kg		06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:15	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.053	mg/Kg		06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:15	1
Iron	ND		5.0	2.9	mg/Kg		06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:15	1
Lead	ND		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg		06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:15	1
Lithium	0.166	J	2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:15	1
Manganese	ND		0.75	0.13	mg/Kg		06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:15	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg		06/06/22 08:00	06/09/22 12:15	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62195/18-B  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 62441

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample  
Prep Type: Step 4  
Prep Batch: 62239

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Aluminum	100	107		mg/Kg		107	80 - 120
Arsenic	5.00	5.45		mg/Kg		109	80 - 130
Cobalt	5.00	5.35		mg/Kg		107	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	54.3		mg/Kg		109	80 - 120
Lead	5.00	5.17		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	5.37		mg/Kg		107	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.19		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	27.2		mg/Kg		109	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62195/19-B  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 62441

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup  
Prep Type: Step 4  
Prep Batch: 62239

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Aluminum	100	103		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120	4	30

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62195/19-B

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62441

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 4

Prep Batch: 62239

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	5.21		mg/Kg		104	80 - 130	5	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.13		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120	4	30
Iron	50.0	52.0		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120	4	30
Lead	5.00	4.89		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120	5	30
Lithium	5.00	5.10		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	5	30
Manganese	5.00	4.96		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120	4	30
Molybdenum	25.0	26.1		mg/Kg		105	80 - 120	4	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62240/17-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62493

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 62350

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		150	24	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 10:11	5
Arsenic	ND		7.5	1.9	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 10:11	5
Cobalt	ND		38	0.60	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 10:11	5
Iron	ND		75	44	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 10:11	5
Lead	ND		7.5	1.7	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 10:11	5
Lithium	5.22	J	38	2.2	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 10:11	5
Manganese	ND		11	1.9	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 10:11	5
Molybdenum	ND		30	1.3	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 10:11	5

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62240/18-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62493

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 62350

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Aluminum	300	ND		mg/Kg		5	
Arsenic	15.0	10.9		mg/Kg		73	60 - 100
Cobalt	15.0	ND		mg/Kg		3	1 - 60
Iron	150	ND		mg/Kg		2	
Lead	15.0	8.02		mg/Kg		53	40 - 80
Lithium	15.0	20.3	J	mg/Kg		135	80 - 150
Manganese	15.0	2.48	J	mg/Kg		17	1 - 60
Molybdenum	75.0	54.8		mg/Kg		73	60 - 100

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62240/19-B ^5

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62493

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 5

Prep Batch: 62350

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Aluminum	300	28.6	J	mg/Kg		10		67	
Arsenic	15.0	10.4		mg/Kg		69	60 - 100	5	30
Cobalt	15.0	ND		mg/Kg		3	1 - 60	8	30
Iron	150	ND		mg/Kg		-0.2		244	
Lead	15.0	8.11		mg/Kg		54	40 - 80	1	30
Lithium	15.0	21.4	J	mg/Kg		142	80 - 150	5	30
Manganese	15.0	3.62	J *1	mg/Kg		24	1 - 60	37	30
Molybdenum	75.0	55.9		mg/Kg		75	60 - 100	2	30

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62351/17-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62493

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 62351

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		10	1.6	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:37	1
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.15	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:37	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.046	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:37	1
Iron	ND		5.0	2.9	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:37	1
Lead	ND		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:37	1
Lithium	ND		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:37	1
Manganese	ND		0.75	0.25	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:37	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.099	mg/Kg		06/08/22 08:00	06/10/22 12:37	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62351/18-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62493

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 62351

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Aluminum	100	97.2		mg/Kg		97	80 - 120
Arsenic	5.00	5.03		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	4.90		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120
Iron	50.0	49.0		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120
Lead	5.00	4.81		mg/Kg		96	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	4.79		mg/Kg		96	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	4.94		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	24.1		mg/Kg		96	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62351/19-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62493

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 6

Prep Batch: 62351

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Aluminum	100	102		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	4	30
Arsenic	5.00	5.09		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	1	30
Cobalt	5.00	4.98		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	2	30
Iron	50.0	50.7		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	3	30
Lead	5.00	4.97		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120	3	30
Lithium	5.00	4.99		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	4	30
Manganese	5.00	5.00		mg/Kg		100	80 - 120	1	30
Molybdenum	25.0	24.8		mg/Kg		99	80 - 120	3	30

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62386/17-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62545

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 62386

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 12:55	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.026	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 12:55	1
Lead	ND		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 12:55	1
Manganese	ND		0.75	0.11	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 12:55	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/13/22 12:55	1

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62386/17-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62595

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 62386

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Aluminum	ND		10	1.6	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:57	1
Arsenic	ND		0.50	0.13	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:57	1
Cobalt	ND		2.5	0.026	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:57	1
Iron	ND		5.0	4.1	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:57	1
Lead	ND		0.50	0.11	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:57	1
Lithium	ND		2.5	0.15	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:57	1
Manganese	ND		0.75	0.11	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:57	1
Molybdenum	ND		2.0	0.082	mg/Kg		06/09/22 08:00	06/14/22 11:57	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62386/18-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62545

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 62386

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	5.00	5.28		mg/Kg		106	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	5.30		mg/Kg		106	80 - 125
Lead	5.00	5.15		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.24		mg/Kg		105	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	26.5		mg/Kg		106	80 - 125

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62386/18-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62595

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 62386

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Aluminum	100	98.1		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120
Arsenic	5.00	5.23		mg/Kg		105	80 - 120
Cobalt	5.00	5.18		mg/Kg		104	80 - 125
Iron	50.0	51.4		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120
Lead	5.00	5.18		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120
Lithium	5.00	5.08		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Manganese	5.00	5.11		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120
Molybdenum	25.0	25.4		mg/Kg		101	80 - 125

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62386/19-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62545

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 62386

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	5.00	5.34		mg/Kg		107	80 - 120	1	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.32		mg/Kg		106	80 - 125	0	30
Lead	5.00	5.19		mg/Kg		104	80 - 120	1	30
Manganese	5.00	5.16		mg/Kg		103	80 - 120	1	30
Molybdenum	25.0	26.6		mg/Kg		107	80 - 125	0	30

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Method: 6010B SEP - SEP Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62386/19-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 62595

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Step 7

Prep Batch: 62386

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Aluminum	100	98.0		mg/Kg		98	80 - 120	0	30
Arsenic	5.00	5.27		mg/Kg		105	80 - 120	1	30
Cobalt	5.00	5.17		mg/Kg		103	80 - 125	0	30
Iron	50.0	50.9		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	1	30
Lead	5.00	5.12		mg/Kg		102	80 - 120	1	30
Lithium	5.00	5.03		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	1	30
Manganese	5.00	5.05		mg/Kg		101	80 - 120	1	30
Molybdenum	25.0	25.3		mg/Kg		101	80 - 125	0	30

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Metals

### Prep Batch: 61991

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
MB 140-61991/17-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
LCS 140-61991/18-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	Total	
LCSD 140-61991/19-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	Total	

### SEP Batch: 61992

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
MB 140-61992/17-B ^4	Method Blank	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
LCS 140-61992/18-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	
LCSD 140-61992/19-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 1	Solid	Exchangeable	

### Prep Batch: 62134

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
MB 140-61992/17-B ^4	Method Blank	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
LCS 140-61992/18-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992
LCSD 140-61992/19-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 1	Solid	3010A	61992

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Metals

### SEP Batch: 62135

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
MB 140-62135/17-B ^3	Method Blank	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
LCS 140-62135/18-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	
LCSD 140-62135/19-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 2	Solid	Carbonate	

### Prep Batch: 62164

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
MB 140-62135/17-B ^3	Method Blank	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
LCS 140-62135/18-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135
LCSD 140-62135/19-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 2	Solid	3010A	62135

### SEP Batch: 62165

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
MB 140-62165/17-B	Method Blank	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
LCS 140-62165/18-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	
LCSD 140-62165/19-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 3	Solid	Non-Crystalline	

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Metals

### Prep Batch: 62194

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
MB 140-62165/17-B	Method Blank	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
LCS 140-62165/18-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165
LCSD 140-62165/19-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 3	Solid	3010A	62165

### SEP Batch: 62195

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
MB 140-62195/17-B	Method Blank	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
LCS 140-62195/18-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	
LCSD 140-62195/19-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 4	Solid	Metal Hydroxide	

### Prep Batch: 62239

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
MB 140-62195/17-B	Method Blank	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
LCS 140-62195/18-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195
LCSD 140-62195/19-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 4	Solid	3010A	62195

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Metals

### SEP Batch: 62240

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
MB 140-62240/17-B ^5	Method Blank	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
LCS 140-62240/18-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	
LCSD 140-62240/19-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 5	Solid	Organic-Bound	

### Prep Batch: 62350

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
MB 140-62240/17-B ^5	Method Blank	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
LCS 140-62240/18-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240
LCSD 140-62240/19-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 5	Solid	3010A	62240

### SEP Batch: 62351

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
MB 140-62351/17-A	Method Blank	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
LCS 140-62351/18-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	
LCSD 140-62351/19-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 6	Solid	Acid/Sulfide	

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Metals

### Prep Batch: 62386

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
MB 140-62386/17-A	Method Blank	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
LCS 140-62386/18-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 7	Solid	Residual	
LCSD 140-62386/19-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 7	Solid	Residual	

### Analysis Batch: 62391

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
MB 140-61992/17-B ^4	Method Blank	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
MB 140-62135/17-B ^3	Method Blank	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
LCS 140-61992/18-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
LCS 140-62135/18-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164
LCSD 140-61992/19-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 1	Solid	6010B SEP	62134
LCSD 140-62135/19-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 2	Solid	6010B SEP	62164

### Analysis Batch: 62441

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Metals (Continued)

### Analysis Batch: 62441 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
MB 140-62165/17-B	Method Blank	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
MB 140-62195/17-B	Method Blank	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
LCS 140-62165/18-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
LCS 140-62195/18-B	Lab Control Sample	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239
LCSD 140-62165/19-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 3	Solid	6010B SEP	62194
LCSD 140-62195/19-B	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 4	Solid	6010B SEP	62239

### Analysis Batch: 62493

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
MB 140-62240/17-B ^5	Method Blank	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Metals (Continued)

### Analysis Batch: 62493 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 140-62351/17-A	Method Blank	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
LCS 140-62240/18-B ^5	Lab Control Sample	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
LCS 140-62351/18-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
LCSD 140-62240/19-B ^5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 5	Solid	6010B SEP	62350
LCSD 140-62351/19-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351

### Analysis Batch: 62545

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 6	Solid	6010B SEP	62351
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
MB 140-62386/17-A	Method Blank	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
LCS 140-62386/18-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
LCSD 140-62386/19-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386

### Analysis Batch: 62595

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-2	MW-212	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-2	MW-212	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-2	MW-212	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-2	MW-212	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-4	MW-210	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-4	MW-210	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-4	MW-210	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991

Eurofins Knoxville

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Metals (Continued)

### Analysis Batch: 62595 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-4	MW-210	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-5	BH-1	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-5	BH-1	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-5	BH-1	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-6	MW-214	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-6	MW-214	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-6	MW-214	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-6	MW-214	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-11	MW-213	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
140-27497-11	MW-213	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-11	MW-213	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
140-27497-11	MW-213	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
MB 140-61991/17-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
MB 140-62386/17-A	Method Blank	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
LCS 140-61991/18-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
LCS 140-62386/18-A	Lab Control Sample	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386
LCSD 140-61991/19-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	6010B	61991
LCSD 140-62386/19-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Step 7	Solid	6010B SEP	62386

### Analysis Batch: 62654

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Sum of Steps 1-7	Solid	6010B SEP	

## QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

### General Chemistry

#### Analysis Batch: 62024

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
140-27497-1	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-2	MW-212	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-3	TW-TR2	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-4	MW-210	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-5	BH-1	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-6	MW-214	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-7	MW-211-0-5FT	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-8	MW-211-14-25FT	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-9	TW-TR2-C2	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-10	TW-TR2-C1	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-11	MW-213	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
140-27497-1 DU	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-1**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 10:48**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-1**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 10:48**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 72.2**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 13:41	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 15:40	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		3			62595	06/14/22 17:27	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 11:27	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 13:26	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:02	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 12:59	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 11:22	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 13:22	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62545	06/13/22 11:43	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-1**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 10:48**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 72.2**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 13:40	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			62545	06/13/22 15:31	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62595	06/14/22 12:42	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

**Client Sample ID: MW-212**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-2**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 11:20**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

**Client Sample ID: MW-212**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-2**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 11:20**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 85.2**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 13:55	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 15:55	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			62595	06/14/22 17:32	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 11:42	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 13:41	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:17	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-212**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-2**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 11:20**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 85.2**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:14	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 11:37	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 13:37	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 13:55	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:00	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-3**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 15:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-3**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 15:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 84.1**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 14:00	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 16:01	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		3			62595	06/14/22 17:37	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 11:47	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-3**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 15:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 84.1**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 13:46	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:21	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:18	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 11:42	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 13:47	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 14:00	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			62545	06/13/22 15:36	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:05	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: MW-210**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-4**

**Date Collected: 05/09/22 10:37**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-210**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-4**

**Date Collected: 05/09/22 10:37**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.8**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 14:05	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 16:06	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			62595	06/14/22 17:42	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 11:52	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 13:51	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:26	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:23	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 11:47	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 13:52	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 14:05	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			62545	06/13/22 15:41	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:09	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 13:15**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-5**

**Matrix: Solid**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: NOEQUIP									
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: NOEQUIP									

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 13:15**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-5**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Percent Solids: 89.0**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 14:09	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			62595	06/14/22 17:48	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 11:57	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 13:56	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:31	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:28	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 11:52	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 13:56	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			62545	06/13/22 16:26	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 14:10	JGT	TAL KNX
	Instrument ID: DUO									

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 13:15**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-5**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Percent Solids: 89.0**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62545	06/13/22 15:46	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:14	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

**Client Sample ID: MW-214**

**Date Collected: 05/12/22 15:15**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-6**

**Matrix: Solid**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

**Client Sample ID: MW-214**

**Date Collected: 05/12/22 15:15**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-6**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Percent Solids: 83.4**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 14:14	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 16:18	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			62595	06/14/22 17:53	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 12:02	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 14:01	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:36	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-214**

**Date Collected: 05/12/22 15:15**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-6**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Percent Solids: 83.4**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:33	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 11:57	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 14:02	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 14:16	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:19	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: MW-211-0-5FT**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 08:24**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-7**

**Matrix: Solid**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

**Client Sample ID: MW-211-0-5FT**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 08:24**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-7**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Percent Solids: 83.9**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 14:19	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 16:23	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			62595	06/14/22 17:58	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 12:07	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-211-0-5FT**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-7**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 08:24**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.9**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 14:06	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:41	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:38	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 12:02	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 14:07	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 14:21	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62545	06/13/22 15:51	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:24	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

**Client Sample ID: MW-211-14-25FT**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-8**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 09:30**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-211-14-25FT**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-8**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 09:30**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 83.2**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 14:24	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 16:29	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 12:12	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 14:11	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:46	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:42	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 12:07	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 14:11	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 14:26	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62545	06/13/22 16:06	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:29	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C2**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-9**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 14:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C2**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-9**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 14:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C2**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-9**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 14:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 54.6**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 14:29	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 16:34	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			62595	06/14/22 18:13	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 12:17	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 14:16	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:51	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:47	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 12:12	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 14:16	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 14:31	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			62545	06/13/22 16:10	JGT	TAL KNX
		Instrument ID: DUO								

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C2**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-9**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 14:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 54.6**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:33	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C1**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-10**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 13:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C1**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-10**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 13:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 64.6**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 14:33	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 16:40	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			62595	06/14/22 18:19	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 12:22	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 14:22	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 11:56	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:52	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: TW-TR2-C1**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-10**

**Date Collected: 05/11/22 13:00**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 64.6**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 12:17	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 14:21	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 14:36	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			62545	06/13/22 16:16	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:38	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: MW-213**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-11**

**Date Collected: 05/12/22 12:40**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Sum of Steps 1-7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62654	06/16/22 15:26	KNC	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

**Client Sample ID: MW-213**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-11**

**Date Collected: 05/12/22 12:40**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 85.3**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		10			62595	06/14/22 14:38	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 16:45	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		2			62595	06/14/22 18:24	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 12:27	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-213**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-11**

**Date Collected: 05/12/22 12:40**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20**

**Percent Solids: 85.3**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 14:27	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 12:01	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 13:57	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 12:22	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 14:27	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 14:41	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		2			62545	06/13/22 16:21	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		10			62595	06/14/22 11:43	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank**

**Lab Sample ID: MB 140-61991/17-A**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 14:53	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Date Received: N/A**

**Lab Sample ID: MB 140-61992/17-B ^4**

**Matrix: Solid**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		4			62391	06/08/22 10:43	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Date Received: N/A**

**Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62135/17-B ^3**

**Matrix: Solid**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		3			62391	06/08/22 12:41	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Date Received: N/A**

**Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62165/17-B**

**Matrix: Solid**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 10:19	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Date Received: N/A**

**Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62195/17-B**

**Matrix: Solid**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 12:15	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Date Received: N/A**

**Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62240/17-B ^5**

**Matrix: Solid**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 10:11	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank**

**Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62351/17-A**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 12:37	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Method Blank**

**Lab Sample ID: MB 140-62386/17-A**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 12:55	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62595	06/14/22 11:57	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample**

**Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-61991/18-A**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 14:58	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample**

**Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-61992/18-B ^5**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62391	06/08/22 10:48	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample**

**Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62135/18-B ^5**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62391	06/08/22 12:46	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample**

**Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62165/18-B**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 10:24	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample**

**Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62195/18-B**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 12:20	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample**

**Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62240/18-B ^5**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 10:16	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample**

**Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62351/18-A**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 12:42	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample**

**Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-62386/18-A**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 13:00	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62595	06/14/22 12:02	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup**

**Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-61991/19-A**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	Total			1.000 g	50 mL	61991	05/26/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Total/NA	Analysis	6010B		1			62595	06/14/22 15:03	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup**

**Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-61992/19-B ^5**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 1	SEP	Exchangeable			5.000 g	25 mL	61992	05/31/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62134	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 1	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62391	06/08/22 10:53	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup**

**Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62135/19-B ^5**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 2	SEP	Carbonate			5.000 g	25 mL	62135	06/01/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62164	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 2	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62391	06/08/22 12:51	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup**

**Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62165/19-B**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 3	SEP	Non-Crystalline			5.000 g	25 mL	62165	06/02/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62194	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 3	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 10:28	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup**

**Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62195/19-B**

**Date Collected: N/A**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: N/A**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 4	SEP	Metal Hydroxide			5.000 g	25 mL	62195	06/03/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62239	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 4	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62441	06/09/22 12:25	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

Eurofins Knoxville

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup**

**Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62240/19-B ^5**

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 5	SEP	Organic-Bound			5.000 g	75 mL	62240	06/06/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Prep	3010A			5 mL	50 mL	62350	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 5	Analysis	6010B SEP		5			62493	06/10/22 10:21	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup**

**Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62351/19-A**

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 6	SEP	Acid/Sulfide			5.000 g	250 mL	62351	06/08/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 6	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62493	06/10/22 12:47	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup**

**Lab Sample ID: LCSD 140-62386/19-A**

Date Collected: N/A

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: N/A

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62545	06/13/22 13:05	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										
Step 7	Prep	Residual			1.000 g	50 mL	62386	06/09/22 08:00	KNC	TAL KNX
Step 7	Analysis	6010B SEP		1			62595	06/14/22 12:07	JGT	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: DUO										

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Lab Sample ID: 140-27497-1 DU**

Date Collected: 05/10/22 10:48

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 11:20

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			62024	05/25/22 14:02	ACW	TAL KNX
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

## Laboratory References:

TAL KNX = Eurofins Knoxville, 5815 Middlebrook Pike, Knoxville, TN 37921, TEL (865)291-3000

Eurofins Knoxville

# Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

## Laboratory: Eurofins Knoxville

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
	AFCEE	N/A	
ANAB	Dept. of Defense ELAP	L2311	02-13-25
ANAB	Dept. of Energy	L2311.01	02-13-25
ANAB	ISO/IEC 17025	L2311	02-13-25
Arkansas DEQ	State	88-0688	06-17-22
California	State	2423	06-30-22
Colorado	State	TN00009	02-28-23
Connecticut	State	PH-0223	09-30-23
Florida	NELAP	E87177	06-30-22
Georgia (DW)	State	906	12-11-22
Hawaii	State	NA	12-11-22
Kansas	NELAP	E-10349	10-31-22
Kentucky (DW)	State	90101	12-31-22
Louisiana	NELAP	83979	06-30-22
Louisiana (DW)	State	LA019	12-31-22
Maryland	State	277	03-31-23
Michigan	State	9933	12-11-22
Nevada	State	TN00009	07-31-22
New Hampshire	NELAP	299919	01-17-23
New Jersey	NELAP	TN001	06-30-22
New York	NELAP	10781	03-31-23
North Carolina (DW)	State	21705	07-31-22
North Carolina (WW/SW)	State	64	12-31-22
Ohio VAP	State	CL0059	06-02-23
Oklahoma	State	9415	08-31-22
Oregon	NELAP	TNI0189	12-31-22
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00576	12-31-22
Tennessee	State	02014	12-11-22
Texas	NELAP	T104704380-18-12	08-31-22
US Fish & Wildlife	US Federal Programs	058448	07-31-22
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-19-00236	08-20-22
Utah	NELAP	TN00009	07-31-22
Virginia	NELAP	460176	09-14-22
Washington	State	C593	01-19-23
West Virginia (DW)	State	9955C	12-31-22
West Virginia DEP	State	345	04-30-23
Wisconsin	State	998044300	08-31-22

## Method Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022

Job ID: 140-27497-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
6010B	SEP Metals (ICP) - Total	SW846	TAL KNX
6010B SEP	SEP Metals (ICP)	SW846	TAL KNX
Moisture	Percent Moisture	EPA	TAL KNX
3010A	Preparation, Total Metals	SW846	TAL KNX
Acid/Sulfide	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Acid/Sulfide Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Carbonate	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Carbonate Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Exchangeable	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Exchangeable Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Metal Hydroxide	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Metal Hydroxide Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Non-Crystalline	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Non-crystalline Materials	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Organic-Bound	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Organic Bound Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Residual	Sequential Extraction Procedure, Residual Fraction	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX
Total	Preparation, Total Material	TAL-KNOX	TAL KNX

### Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

TAL-KNOX = TestAmerica Laboratories, Knoxville, Facility Standard Operating Procedure.

### Laboratory References:

TAL KNX = Eurofins Knoxville, 5815 Middlebrook Pike, Knoxville, TN 37921, TEL (865)291-3000

# Chain of Custody Record

Regulatory Program: ☐ DW ☐ NPDES ☐ RCRA ☒ Other: CCR

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. d/b/a Eurofins TestAmerica

<b>Client Contact</b> Golder Associates USA Inc., Member of WSP 7245 W Alaska Drive, Suite 200 Lakewood, CO 80226 (303) 980-0540 (xxx) xxx-xxxx Project Name: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022 Site: Stanton Station P O #		<b>Project Manager: Erin Hunter</b> Email: erin.hunter@wsp.com Tel/Fax: 720-962-3424 <input type="checkbox"/> CALENDAR DAYS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WORKING DAYS TAT if different from Below <input type="checkbox"/> 2 weeks <input type="checkbox"/> 1 week <input type="checkbox"/> 2 days <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day		<b>Regulatory Manager: Erin Hunter</b> Email: erin.hunter@wsp.com Tel/Fax: 720-962-3424		<b>Site Contact: Brittany Bradley</b> <b>Lab Contact: Ryan Henry</b>		<b>Date:</b> <b>Carrier:</b>		<b>COC No:</b> 1 of 1 COCs											
<b>Analysis Turnaround Time</b>		<b>Sample Identification</b>		<b>Sample Date</b>		<b>Sample Time</b>		<b>Sample Type</b> (C=Comp, G=Grab)		<b>Matrix</b>		<b># of Cont.</b>		<b>Filtered Sample (Y/N)</b>		<b>Perform MS/MSD (Y/N)</b>		<b>6010B SEP - Al, As, Fe, Li, Mn</b>		<b>Sample Specific Notes:</b>	
		MW-212-C		5/10/22		10:48		Soil		C		1		N		N		0-5 ft			
		MW-212		5/10/22		11:20		C		Soil		1		N		N		14-25 ft			
		TW-TR2		5/11/22		15:00		C		Soil		1		N		N		75-95 ft			
		MW-210		5/9/22		10:37		C		Soil		1		N		N		15-25 ft			
		BH-1		5/10/22		13:15		C		Soil		1		N		N		2-5 ft			
		MW-214		5/12/22		15:15		C		Soil		1		N		N		2-15 ft			
		MW-211 - 0-5 ft		5/10/22		8:24		C		Soil		1		N		N		0-5 ft			
		MW-211 - 14-25 ft		5/10/22		9:30		C		Soil		1		N		N		14-25 ft			
		TW-TR2-C2		5/11/22		14:00		C		Soil		1		N		N		50-55 ft			
		TW-TR2-C1		5/11/22		13:00		C		Soil		1		N		N		20-25 ft			
		MW-213		5/12/22		12:40		C		Soil		1		N		N		70-30 ft			
<b>Preservation Used:</b> 1= Ice, 2= HCl; 3= H2SO4; 4= HNO3; 5= NaOH; 6= Other																					
<b>Possible Hazard Identification:</b> Are any samples from a listed EPA Hazardous Waste? Please List any EPA Waste Codes for the sample in the Comments Section if the lab is to dispose of the sample.																					
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Hazard <input type="checkbox"/> Flammable <input type="checkbox"/> Skin Irritant <input type="checkbox"/> Poison B <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown																					
<b>Special Instructions/QC Requirements &amp; Comments:</b> NO (USTORY SEALS, RECEIVED ANALYST RT 20.3/CT 20.6) 640-57822, 180X FAX# 2731 1134 0091 C																					
<b>Custody Seals Intact:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No																					
<b>Relinquished by:</b>																					
<b>Relinquished by:</b>																					
<b>Relinquished by:</b>																					
<b>Custody Seal No.:</b>																					
<b>Company:</b>																					
<b>Date/Time:</b>																					
<b>Received by:</b>																					
<b>Received by:</b>																					
<b>Received in Laboratory by:</b>																					
<b>Company:</b>																					
<b>Date/Time:</b>																					
<b>Therm ID No.:</b>																					

## EUROFINS/TESTAMERICA KNOXVILLE SAMPLE RECEIPT/CONDITION UPON RECEIPT ANOMALY CHECKLIST

Log In Number:

Review Items	Yes	No	NA	If No, what was the problem?	Comments/Actions Taken
1. Are the shipping containers intact?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> Containers, Broken	10
2. Were ambient air containers received intact?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> Checked in lab	
3. The coolers/containers custody seal if present, is it intact?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> NA	14
4. Is the cooler temperature within limits? (> freezing temp. of water to 6°C, VOST: 10°C) Thermometer ID : <u>571</u> Correction factor: <u>+0.3°C</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> Cooler Out of Temp, Client Contacted, Proceed/Cancel <input type="checkbox"/> Cooler Out of Temp, Same Day Receipt	
5. Were all of the sample containers received intact?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> Containers, Broken	
6. Were samples received in appropriate containers?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> Containers, Improper; Client Contacted; Proceed/Cancel	
7. Do sample container labels match COC? (IDs, Dates, Times)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> COC & Samples Do Not Match <input type="checkbox"/> COC Incorrect/Incomplete <input type="checkbox"/> COC Not Received	
8. Were all of the samples listed on the COC received?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> Sample Received, Not on COC <input type="checkbox"/> Sample on COC, Not Received <input type="checkbox"/> COC; No Date/Time; Client Contacted	
9. Is the date/time of sample collection noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sampler Not Listed on COC <input type="checkbox"/> COC Incorrect/Incomplete <input type="checkbox"/> COC No tests on COC <input type="checkbox"/> COC Incorrect/Incomplete	Labeling Verified by: _____ Date: _____ pH test strip lot number: _____
10. Was the sampler identified on the COC?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COC Incorrect/Incomplete	
11. Is the client and project name/# identified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> COC No tests on COC	
12. Are tests/parameters listed for each sample?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> COC Incorrect/Incomplete	
13. Is the matrix of the samples noted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COC Incorrect/Incomplete	
14. Was COC relinquished? (Signed/Dated/Timed)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COC Incorrect/Incomplete	
15. Were samples received within holding time?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> Holding Time - Receipt	Box 16A: pH Preservation Box 18A: Residual Chlorine
16. Were samples received with correct chemical preservative (excluding Encore)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> pH Adjusted, pH Included (See box 16A) <input type="checkbox"/> Incorrect Preservative <input type="checkbox"/> Headspace (VOA only) <input type="checkbox"/> Residual Chlorine	Preservative: _____ Lot Number: _____ Exp Date: _____ Analyst: _____ Date: _____ Time: _____
17. Were VOA samples received without headspace?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
18. Did you check for residual chlorine, if necessary? (e.g. 1613B, 1668) Chlorine test strip lot number: _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
19. For 1613B water samples is pH<9?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> If no, notify lab to adjust	
20. For rad samples was sample activity info. Provided?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/> Project missing info	
Project #: <u>17006675</u> PM Instructions: _____					

Sample Receiving Associate: PamelaDate: 5-18-22

QA026R32.doc, 062719

## ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins Pittsburgh  
301 Alpha Drive  
RIDC Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15238  
Tel: (412)963-7058


Laboratory Job ID: 180-138265-1

Client Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton  
Station

**For:**

Golder Associates Inc.  
7245 W Alaska Drive  
Suite 200  
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

Attn: Ms. Erin Hunter



Authorized for release by:  
6/27/2022 12:58:18 PM

Carrie Gamber, Senior Project Manager  
(412)963-2428

[Carrie.Gamber@et.eurofinsus.com](mailto:Carrie.Gamber@et.eurofinsus.com)

### LINKS

Review your project  
results through



Have a Question?



Visit us at:

[www.eurofinsus.com/Env](http://www.eurofinsus.com/Env)

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

PA Lab ID: 02-00416

# Table of Contents

Cover Page . . . . .	1
Table of Contents . . . . .	2
Case Narrative . . . . .	3
Definitions/Glossary . . . . .	4
Certification Summary . . . . .	5
Sample Summary . . . . .	6
Method Summary . . . . .	7
Lab Chronicle . . . . .	8
Client Sample Results . . . . .	12
QC Sample Results . . . . .	17
QC Association Summary . . . . .	23
Chain of Custody . . . . .	26
Receipt Checklists . . . . .	32



# Case Narrative

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

**Job ID: 180-138265-1**

**Laboratory: Eurofins Pittsburgh**

**Narrative**

## CASE NARRATIVE

**Client: Golder Associates Inc.**

**Project: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station**

**Report Number: 180-138265-1**

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

### **RECEIPT**

The samples were received on 05/18/2022; the samples arrived in good condition. The temperature of the coolers at receipt was 12.4 C.

The following samples were received at the laboratory outside the required temperature criteria: MW-214 (180-138265-1), MW-210 (180-138265-2), MW-212-C (180-138265-3), BH-1 (180-138265-4), MW-214 (180-138265-5), MW-210 (180-138265-6), MW-212-C (180-138265-7), BH-1 (180-138265-8) and LEACH BLANK (180-138265-9). There was no cooling media present in the cooler. The analyses proceeded.

The Chain-of-Custody (COC) was incomplete as received and/or improperly completed. The COC was not relinquished.

### **IC 300.0**

Sulfate was detected in method blank LB 180-400047/1-A at a level that was above the method detection limit but below the reporting limit. The value should be considered an estimate, and has been flagged. If the associated sample reported a result above the MDL and/or RL, the result has been flagged.

### **METALS**

Lead was detected in method blank MB 180-400702/1-A at a level that was above the method detection limit but below the reporting limit. The value should be considered an estimate, and has been flagged. If the associated sample reported a result above the MDL and/or RL, the result has been flagged.

Boron was detected in method blank LB 180-400041/1-C at a level that was above the method detection limit but below the reporting limit. The value should be considered an estimate, and has been flagged. If the associated sample reported a result above the MDL and/or RL, the result has been flagged.

Sodium failed the recovery criteria low for the MS of sample BH-1MS (180-138265-4) in batch 180-401849. The presence of the '4' qualifier indicates analytes where the concentration in the unspiked sample exceeded four times the spiking amount.

### **GENERAL CHEMSITRY**

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

# Definitions/Glossary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job ID: 180-138265-1

Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

## Qualifiers

### HPLC/IC

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
B	Compound was found in the blank and sample.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

### Metals

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
4	MS, MSD: The analyte present in the original sample is greater than 4 times the matrix spike concentration; therefore, control limits are not applicable.
B	Compound was found in the blank and sample.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

### General Chemistry

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
HF	Field parameter with a holding time of 15 minutes. Test performed by laboratory at client's request.

## Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
□	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

# Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job ID: 180-138265-1

Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

## Laboratory: Eurofins Pittsburgh

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
Arkansas DEQ	State	19-033-0	06-27-22
California	State	2891	04-30-22 *
Connecticut	State	PH-0688	09-30-22
Florida	NELAP	E871008	06-30-22
Georgia	State	PA 02-00416	04-30-23
Illinois	NELAP	004375	06-30-22
Kansas	NELAP	E-10350	03-31-23
Kentucky (UST)	State	162013	04-30-22 *
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY98043	12-31-22
Louisiana	NELAP	04041	06-30-22
Maine	State	PA00164	03-06-24
Minnesota	NELAP	042-999-482	12-31-22
Nevada	State	PA00164	08-31-22
New Hampshire	NELAP	2030	04-04-23
New Jersey	NELAP	PA005	06-30-23
New York	NELAP	11182	04-01-23
North Carolina (WW/SW)	State	434	12-31-22
North Dakota	State	R-227	04-30-22 *
Oregon	NELAP	PA-2151	02-07-23
Pennsylvania	NELAP	02-00416	04-30-23
Rhode Island	State	LAO00362	12-31-21 *
South Carolina	State	89014	06-30-22
Texas	NELAP	T104704528	03-31-23
USDA	Federal	P-Soil-01	06-26-22
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-16-00211	06-26-22
Utah	NELAP	PA001462019-8	05-31-22 *
Virginia	NELAP	10043	09-14-22
West Virginia DEP	State	142	01-31-23
Wisconsin	State	998027800	08-31-22

\* Accreditation/Certification renewal pending - accreditation/certification considered valid.

Eurofins Pittsburgh

## Sample Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton  
Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
180-138265-1	MW-214	Solid	05/12/22 15:15	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-2	MW-210	Solid	05/09/22 10:30	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	Solid	05/10/22 10:58	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-4	BH-1	Solid	05/10/22 13:15	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-5	MW-214	Water	05/27/22 04:30	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-6	MW-210	Water	05/27/22 04:30	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-7	MW-212-C	Water	05/27/22 04:30	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-8	BH-1	Water	05/27/22 04:30	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-9	LEACH BLANK	Water	05/27/22 04:30	05/18/22 09:00

## Method Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job ID: 180-138265-1

Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
EPA 300.0 R2.1	Anions, Ion Chromatography	EPA	TAL PIT
EPA 6020B	Metals (ICP/MS)	SW846	TAL PIT
EPA 7470A	Mercury (CVAA)	SW846	TAL PIT
EPA 9040C	pH	SW846	TAL PIT
SM 2540C	Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS)	SM	TAL PIT
SM 2580B	Reduction-Oxidation (REDOX) Potential	SM	TAL PIT
SM2320 B	Alkalinity, Total	SM18	TAL PIT
1312	SPLP Extraction	SW846	TAL PIT
3010A	Preparation, Total Metals	SW846	TAL PIT
7470A	Preparation, Mercury	SW846	TAL PIT
EPA 1312	SPLP Extraction	SW846	TAL PIT

### Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

SM = "Standard Methods For The Examination Of Water And Wastewater"

SM18 = "Standard Methods For The Examination Of Water And Wastewater", 18th Edition, 1992.

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

### Laboratory References:

TAL PIT = Eurofins Pittsburgh, 301 Alpha Drive, RIDC Park, Pittsburgh, PA 15238, TEL (412)963-7058

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-214**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-1**

**Date Collected: 05/12/22 15:15**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
SPLP	Leach	1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400047	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP	Analysis	EPA 300.0 R2.1		1			402663	06/22/22 06:09	M1D	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: INTEGRION									
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Prep	3010A			25 mL	25 mL	400702	06/02/22 15:06	NAF	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	EPA 6020B		1			401849	06/11/22 10:15	RSK	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: A									
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Prep	7470A			50 mL	50 mL	400433	06/01/22 05:00	RJR	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	EPA 7470A		1			400599	06/01/22 16:49	RJR	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: HGY									
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	SM 2540C		1	100 mL	100 mL	400551	06/01/22 12:55	JCR	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: NOEQUIP									
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	SM2320 B		1			400522	05/28/22 20:24	CMT	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: PCTITRATOR									

**Client Sample ID: MW-210**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-2**

**Date Collected: 05/09/22 10:30**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
SPLP	Leach	1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400047	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP	Analysis	EPA 300.0 R2.1		1			402663	06/22/22 06:49	M1D	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: INTEGRION									
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Prep	3010A			25 mL	25 mL	400702	06/02/22 15:06	NAF	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	EPA 6020B		1			401849	06/11/22 10:19	RSK	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: A									
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Prep	7470A			50 mL	50 mL	400433	06/01/22 05:00	RJR	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	EPA 7470A		1			400599	06/01/22 16:50	RJR	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: HGY									
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	SM 2540C		1	100 mL	100 mL	400551	06/01/22 12:55	JCR	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: NOEQUIP									
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	SM2320 B		1			400522	05/29/22 12:36	CMT	TAL PIT
	Instrument ID: PCTITRATOR									

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-3**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 10:58**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
SPLP	Leach	1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400047	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP	Analysis	EPA 300.0 R2.1		1			402663	06/22/22 06:22	M1D	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: INTEGRION								
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Prep	3010A			25 mL	25 mL	400702	06/02/22 15:06	NAF	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	EPA 6020B		1			401849	06/11/22 10:22	RSK	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: A								
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Prep	7470A			50 mL	50 mL	400433	06/01/22 05:00	RJR	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	EPA 7470A		1			400599	06/01/22 16:51	RJR	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: HGY								
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	SM 2540C		1	100 mL	100 mL	400551	06/01/22 12:55	JCR	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			800.8 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	SM2320 B		1			400522	05/28/22 20:35	CMT	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: PCTITRATOR								

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-4**

**Date Collected: 05/10/22 13:15**

**Matrix: Solid**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
SPLP	Leach	1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400047	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP	Analysis	EPA 300.0 R2.1		1			402663	06/22/22 06:36	M1D	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: INTEGRION								
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Prep	3010A			25 mL	25 mL	400702	06/02/22 15:06	NAF	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	EPA 6020B		1			401849	06/11/22 10:26	RSK	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: A								
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Prep	7470A			50 mL	50 mL	400433	06/01/22 05:00	RJR	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	EPA 7470A		1			400599	06/01/22 16:52	RJR	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: HGY								
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	SM 2540C		1	100 mL	100 mL	400551	06/01/22 12:55	JCR	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
SPLP West	Leach	EPA 1312			801.6 g	4000 mL	400041	05/26/22 12:30	MJC	TAL PIT
SPLP West	Analysis	SM2320 B		1			400522	05/28/22 20:49	CMT	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: PCTITRATOR								

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

**Client Sample ID: MW-214**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-5**

**Matrix: Water**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	EPA 9040C		1			400577	06/01/22 16:06	HEK	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	SM 2580B		1			400192	05/27/22 15:54	ELS	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

**Client Sample ID: MW-210**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-6**

**Matrix: Water**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	EPA 9040C		1			400577	06/01/22 16:08	HEK	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	SM 2580B		1			400192	05/27/22 16:04	ELS	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-7**

**Matrix: Water**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	EPA 9040C		1			400577	06/01/22 16:10	HEK	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	SM 2580B		1			400192	05/27/22 16:11	ELS	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-8**

**Matrix: Water**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	EPA 9040C		1			400577	06/01/22 16:12	HEK	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	SM 2580B		1			400192	05/27/22 16:14	ELS	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

**Client Sample ID: LEACH BLANK**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-9**

**Matrix: Water**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	EPA 9040C		1			400577	06/01/22 16:14	HEK	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								
Total/NA	Analysis	SM 2580B		1			400192	05/27/22 16:18	ELS	TAL PIT
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## Laboratory References:

TAL PIT = Eurofins Pittsburgh, 301 Alpha Drive, RIDC Park, Pittsburgh, PA 15238, TEL (412)963-7058

## Analyst References:

Lab: TAL PIT

Batch Type: Leach

MJC = Mathew Catanzariti

Batch Type: Prep

NAF = Nicholas Frankos

RJR = Ron Rosenbaum

Batch Type: Analysis

CMT = Cassandra Tlumac

ELS = Edwin Shireman

HEK = Hope Kiesling

JCR = Jessica Rodgers

M1D = Maureen Donlin

RJR = Ron Rosenbaum

RSK = Robert Kurtz

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

Client Sample ID: MW-214

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-1

Date Collected: 05/12/22 15:15

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

## Method: EPA 300.0 R2.1 - Anions, Ion Chromatography - SPLP

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Bromide	ND		0.10	0.053	mg/L			06/22/22 06:09	1
Chloride	2.7		1.0	0.71	mg/L			06/22/22 06:09	1
Fluoride	0.49		0.10	0.026	mg/L			06/22/22 06:09	1
Sulfate	20	B	1.0	0.76	mg/L			06/22/22 06:09	1

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Antimony	0.94	J	2.0	0.51	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Arsenic	3.2		1.0	0.28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Barium	5.3	J	10	3.1	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Beryllium	ND		1.0	0.27	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Boron	170	B	80	60	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Cadmium	ND		1.0	0.22	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Calcium	2900		500	130	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Chromium	2.1		2.0	1.5	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Cobalt	0.50		0.50	0.26	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Lead	0.43	J B	1.0	0.17	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Lithium	5.5		5.0	0.83	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Magnesium	1900		500	50	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Molybdenum	15		5.0	0.61	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Potassium	1600		500	160	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Selenium	ND		5.0	0.74	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Sodium	39000		500	180	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Thallium	ND		1.0	0.47	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1
Iron	510		50	28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:15	1

## Method: EPA 7470A - Mercury (CVAA) - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.20	0.13	ug/L		06/01/22 05:00	06/01/22 16:49	1

## General Chemistry - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Dissolved Solids	130		10	10	mg/L			06/01/22 12:55	1
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	170		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:24	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	170		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:24	1
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:24	1

Client Sample ID: MW-210

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-2

Date Collected: 05/09/22 10:30

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

## Method: EPA 300.0 R2.1 - Anions, Ion Chromatography - SPLP

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Bromide	ND		0.10	0.053	mg/L			06/22/22 06:49	1
Chloride	1.4		1.0	0.71	mg/L			06/22/22 06:49	1
Fluoride	0.23		0.10	0.026	mg/L			06/22/22 06:49	1
Sulfate	21	B	1.0	0.76	mg/L			06/22/22 06:49	1

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Antimony	1.6	J	2.0	0.51	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

Client Sample ID: MW-210

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-2

Date Collected: 05/09/22 10:30

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) - SPLP West (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	0.49	J	1.0	0.28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Barium	25		10	3.1	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Beryllium	ND		1.0	0.27	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Boron	220	B	80	60	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Cadmium	ND		1.0	0.22	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Calcium	9200		500	130	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Chromium	ND		2.0	1.5	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Cobalt	ND		0.50	0.26	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Lead	ND		1.0	0.17	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Lithium	5.3		5.0	0.83	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Magnesium	1800		500	50	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Molybdenum	20		5.0	0.61	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Potassium	2500		500	160	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Selenium	1.3	J	5.0	0.74	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Sodium	20000		500	180	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Thallium	ND		1.0	0.47	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1
Iron	40	J	50	28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:19	1

## Method: EPA 7470A - Mercury (CVAA) - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.20	0.13	ug/L		06/01/22 05:00	06/01/22 16:50	1

## General Chemistry - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Dissolved Solids	89		10	10	mg/L			06/01/22 12:55	1
Total Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub> to pH 4.5	65		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/29/22 12:36	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	65		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/29/22 12:36	1
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/29/22 12:36	1

Client Sample ID: MW-212-C

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-3

Date Collected: 05/10/22 10:58

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

## Method: EPA 300.0 R2.1 - Anions, Ion Chromatography - SPLP

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Bromide	ND		0.10	0.053	mg/L			06/22/22 06:22	1
Chloride	ND		1.0	0.71	mg/L			06/22/22 06:22	1
Fluoride	0.40		0.10	0.026	mg/L			06/22/22 06:22	1
Sulfate	200	B	1.0	0.76	mg/L			06/22/22 06:22	1

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Antimony	1.5	J	2.0	0.51	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Arsenic	1.9		1.0	0.28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Barium	96		10	3.1	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Beryllium	ND		1.0	0.27	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Boron	750	B	80	60	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Cadmium	ND		1.0	0.22	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Calcium	41000		500	130	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Chromium	ND		2.0	1.5	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Cobalt	0.64		0.50	0.26	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

Client Sample ID: MW-212-C

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-3

Date Collected: 05/10/22 10:58

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) - SPLP West (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Lead	ND		1.0	0.17	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Lithium	14		5.0	0.83	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Magnesium	20000		500	50	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Molybdenum	48		5.0	0.61	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Potassium	11000		500	160	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Selenium	ND		5.0	0.74	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Sodium	79000		500	180	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Thallium	ND		1.0	0.47	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1
Iron	ND		50	28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:22	1

## Method: EPA 7470A - Mercury (CVAA) - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.20	0.13	ug/L		06/01/22 05:00	06/01/22 16:51	1

## General Chemistry - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Dissolved Solids	480		10	10	mg/L			06/01/22 12:55	1
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	170		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:35	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	170		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:35	1
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:35	1

Client Sample ID: BH-1

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-4

Date Collected: 05/10/22 13:15

Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

## Method: EPA 300.0 R2.1 - Anions, Ion Chromatography - SPLP

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Bromide	ND		0.10	0.053	mg/L			06/22/22 06:36	1
Chloride	1.7		1.0	0.71	mg/L			06/22/22 06:36	1
Fluoride	1.6		0.10	0.026	mg/L			06/22/22 06:36	1
Sulfate	ND		1.0	0.76	mg/L			06/22/22 06:36	1

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Antimony	2.4		2.0	0.51	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Arsenic	3.4		1.0	0.28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Barium	140		10	3.1	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Beryllium	ND		1.0	0.27	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Boron	3400 B		80	60	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Cadmium	ND		1.0	0.22	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Calcium	120000		500	130	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Chromium	3.3		2.0	1.5	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Cobalt	ND		0.50	0.26	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Lead	ND		1.0	0.17	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Lithium	11		5.0	0.83	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Magnesium	3400		500	50	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Molybdenum	27		5.0	0.61	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Potassium	13000		500	160	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Selenium	1.3 J		5.0	0.74	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Sodium	190000		500	180	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1
Thallium	ND		1.0	0.47	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

Date Collected: 05/10/22 13:15

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-4**

Matrix: Solid

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) - SPLP West (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Iron	ND		50	28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:26	1

## Method: EPA 7470A - Mercury (CVAA) - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.20	0.13	ug/L		06/01/22 05:00	06/01/22 16:52	1

## General Chemistry - SPLP West

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Dissolved Solids	990		10	10	mg/L			06/01/22 12:55	1
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	56		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:49	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	30		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:49	1
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	26		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:49	1

**Client Sample ID: MW-214**

Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-5**

Matrix: Water

## General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
pH	8.2	HF	0.1	0.1	SU			06/01/22 16:06	1
Oxidation Reduction Potential	290		10	10	millivolts			05/27/22 15:54	1

**Client Sample ID: MW-210**

Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-6**

Matrix: Water

## General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
pH	8.3	HF	0.1	0.1	SU			06/01/22 16:08	1
Oxidation Reduction Potential	290		10	10	millivolts			05/27/22 16:04	1

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-7**

Matrix: Water

## General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
pH	8.3	HF	0.1	0.1	SU			06/01/22 16:10	1
Oxidation Reduction Potential	280		10	10	millivolts			05/27/22 16:11	1

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-8**

Matrix: Water

## General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
pH	9.3	HF	0.1	0.1	SU			06/01/22 16:12	1
Oxidation Reduction Potential	210		10	10	millivolts			05/27/22 16:14	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

**Client Sample ID: LEACH BLANK**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-9**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Matrix: Water**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

## General Chemistry

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
pH	5.1	HF	0.1	0.1	SU			06/01/22 16:14	1
Oxidation Reduction Potential	300		10	10	millivolts			05/27/22 16:18	1

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## Method: EPA 300.0 R2.1 - Anions, Ion Chromatography

Lab Sample ID: MB 180-402663/110

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 402663

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Bromide	ND		0.10	0.053	mg/L			06/22/22 09:01	1
Chloride	ND		1.0	0.71	mg/L			06/22/22 09:01	1
Fluoride	ND		0.10	0.026	mg/L			06/22/22 09:01	1
Sulfate	ND		1.0	0.76	mg/L			06/22/22 09:01	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 180-402663/109

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 402663

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Bromide	10.0	10.2		mg/L		102	90 - 110
Chloride	50.0	49.3		mg/L		99	90 - 110
Fluoride	2.50	2.35		mg/L		94	90 - 110
Sulfate	50.0	49.1		mg/L		98	90 - 110

Lab Sample ID: LB 180-400047/1-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 402663

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: SPLP

Analyte	LB Result	LB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Bromide	ND		0.10	0.053	mg/L			06/22/22 05:28	1
Chloride	ND		1.0	0.71	mg/L			06/22/22 05:28	1
Fluoride	ND		0.10	0.026	mg/L			06/22/22 05:28	1
Sulfate	0.828	J	1.0	0.76	mg/L			06/22/22 05:28	1

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 180-400702/1-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 401849

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 400702

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Antimony	ND		2.0	0.51	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Arsenic	ND		1.0	0.28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Barium	ND		10	3.1	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Beryllium	ND		1.0	0.27	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Boron	ND		80	60	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Cadmium	ND		1.0	0.22	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Calcium	ND		500	130	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Chromium	ND		2.0	1.5	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Cobalt	ND		0.50	0.26	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Lead	0.175	J	1.0	0.17	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Lithium	ND		5.0	0.83	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Magnesium	ND		500	50	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Molybdenum	ND		5.0	0.61	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Potassium	ND		500	160	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Selenium	ND		5.0	0.74	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Sodium	ND		500	180	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1
Thallium	ND		1.0	0.47	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 180-400702/1-A  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 401849

Client Sample ID: Method Blank  
Prep Type: Total/NA  
Prep Batch: 400702

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Iron	ND		50	28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 09:49	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 180-400702/2-A  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 401849

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample  
Prep Type: Total/NA  
Prep Batch: 400702

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Antimony	250	272		ug/L		109	80 - 120
Arsenic	1000	1050		ug/L		105	80 - 120
Barium	1000	1080		ug/L		108	80 - 120
Beryllium	500	534		ug/L		107	80 - 120
Boron	1250	1240		ug/L		99	80 - 120
Cadmium	500	534		ug/L		107	80 - 120
Calcium	25000	27400		ug/L		110	80 - 120
Chromium	500	526		ug/L		105	80 - 120
Cobalt	500	531		ug/L		106	80 - 120
Lead	500	534		ug/L		107	80 - 120
Lithium	500	512		ug/L		102	80 - 120
Magnesium	25000	24800		ug/L		99	80 - 120
Molybdenum	500	538		ug/L		108	80 - 120
Potassium	25000	25500		ug/L		102	80 - 120
Selenium	1000	1040		ug/L		104	80 - 120
Sodium	25000	25500		ug/L		102	80 - 120
Thallium	1000	1120		ug/L		112	80 - 120
Iron	5000	5810		ug/L		116	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LB 180-400041/1-C  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 401849

Client Sample ID: Method Blank  
Prep Type: SPLP West  
Prep Batch: 400702

Analyte	LB Result	LB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Antimony	ND		2.0	0.51	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Arsenic	ND		1.0	0.28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Barium	ND		10	3.1	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Beryllium	ND		1.0	0.27	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Boron	78.2	J	80	60	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Cadmium	ND		1.0	0.22	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Calcium	ND		500	130	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Chromium	ND		2.0	1.5	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Cobalt	ND		0.50	0.26	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Lead	ND		1.0	0.17	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Lithium	ND		5.0	0.83	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Magnesium	ND		500	50	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Molybdenum	ND		5.0	0.61	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Potassium	ND		500	160	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Selenium	ND		5.0	0.74	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Sodium	ND		500	180	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Thallium	ND		1.0	0.47	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1
Iron	ND		50	28	ug/L		06/02/22 15:06	06/11/22 10:00	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## Method: EPA 6020B - Metals (ICP/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-4 MS

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 401849

Client Sample ID: BH-1

Prep Type: SPLP West

Prep Batch: 400702

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Antimony	2.4		250	266		ug/L		106	75 - 125
Arsenic	3.4		1000	1000		ug/L		100	75 - 125
Barium	140		1000	1130		ug/L		99	75 - 125
Beryllium	ND		500	483		ug/L		97	75 - 125
Boron	3400	B	1250	4390		ug/L		80	75 - 125
Cadmium	ND		500	488		ug/L		98	75 - 125
Calcium	120000		25000	138000	4	ug/L		88	75 - 125
Chromium	3.3		500	489		ug/L		97	75 - 125
Cobalt	ND		500	501		ug/L		100	75 - 125
Lead	ND		500	500		ug/L		100	75 - 125
Lithium	11		500	473		ug/L		92	75 - 125
Magnesium	3400		25000	27500		ug/L		96	75 - 125
Molybdenum	27		500	535		ug/L		102	75 - 125
Potassium	13000		25000	37800		ug/L		98	75 - 125
Selenium	1.3	J	1000	966		ug/L		96	75 - 125
Sodium	190000		25000	199000	4	ug/L		51	75 - 125
Thallium	ND		1000	1030		ug/L		103	75 - 125
Iron	ND		5000	5090		ug/L		102	75 - 125

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-4 MSD

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 401849

Client Sample ID: BH-1

Prep Type: SPLP West

Prep Batch: 400702

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	Limit
Antimony	2.4		250	274		ug/L		109	75 - 125	3	20
Arsenic	3.4		1000	1020		ug/L		101	75 - 125	1	20
Barium	140		1000	1180		ug/L		103	75 - 125	4	20
Beryllium	ND		500	489		ug/L		98	75 - 125	1	20
Boron	3400	B	1250	4410		ug/L		82	75 - 125	0	20
Cadmium	ND		500	505		ug/L		101	75 - 125	3	20
Calcium	120000		25000	139000	4	ug/L		95	75 - 125	1	20
Chromium	3.3		500	504		ug/L		100	75 - 125	3	20
Cobalt	ND		500	512		ug/L		102	75 - 125	2	20
Lead	ND		500	511		ug/L		102	75 - 125	2	20
Lithium	11		500	480		ug/L		94	75 - 125	2	20
Magnesium	3400		25000	28800		ug/L		101	75 - 125	4	20
Molybdenum	27		500	548		ug/L		104	75 - 125	2	20
Potassium	13000		25000	39100		ug/L		103	75 - 125	3	20
Selenium	1.3	J	1000	974		ug/L		97	75 - 125	1	20
Sodium	190000		25000	206000	4	ug/L		78	75 - 125	3	20
Thallium	ND		1000	1060		ug/L		106	75 - 125	2	20
Iron	ND		5000	5240		ug/L		105	75 - 125	3	20

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## Method: EPA 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Lab Sample ID: MB 180-400433/1-A  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 400599

Client Sample ID: Method Blank  
Prep Type: Total/NA  
Prep Batch: 400433

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.20	0.13	ug/L		06/01/22 05:00	06/01/22 16:31	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 180-400433/2-A  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 400599

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample  
Prep Type: Total/NA  
Prep Batch: 400433

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Mercury	2.50	2.56		ug/L		102	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: LB 180-400041/1-B  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 400599

Client Sample ID: Method Blank  
Prep Type: SPLP West  
Prep Batch: 400433

Analyte	LB Result	LB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.20	0.13	ug/L		06/01/22 05:00	06/01/22 16:48	1

## Method: EPA 9040C - pH

Lab Sample ID: LCS 180-400577/1  
Matrix: Water  
Analysis Batch: 400577

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample  
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
pH	7.00	7.0		SU		100	99 - 101

## Method: SM 2540C - Solids, Total Dissolved (TDS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 180-400551/2  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 400551

Client Sample ID: Method Blank  
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Dissolved Solids	ND		10	10	mg/L			06/01/22 12:55	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 180-400551/1  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 400551

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample  
Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Total Dissolved Solids	251	258		mg/L		103	85 - 115

Lab Sample ID: LB 180-400041/1-A  
Matrix: Solid  
Analysis Batch: 400551

Client Sample ID: Method Blank  
Prep Type: SPLP West

Analyte	LB Result	LB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Dissolved Solids	ND		10	10	mg/L			06/01/22 12:55	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## Method: SM 2580B - Reduction-Oxidation (REDOX) Potential

Lab Sample ID: LCS 180-400192/1

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 400192

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Oxidation Reduction Potential	475	468		millivolts		99	90 - 110

Lab Sample ID: LCS 180-400192/26

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 400192

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Oxidation Reduction Potential	475	445		millivolts		94	90 - 110

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-6 DU

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 400192

Client Sample ID: MW-210

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	DU Result	DU Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	RPD Limit
Oxidation Reduction Potential	290		300		millivolts		2	20

## Method: SM2320 B - Alkalinity, Total

Lab Sample ID: MB 180-400522/102

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 400522

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/29/22 07:43	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/29/22 07:43	1
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/29/22 07:43	1

Lab Sample ID: MB 180-400522/30

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 400522

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 18:22	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 18:22	1
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 18:22	1

Lab Sample ID: MB 180-400522/6

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 400522

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 13:50	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 13:50	1
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 13:50	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## Method: SM2320 B - Alkalinity, Total (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 180-400522/78

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 400522

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/29/22 03:17	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/29/22 03:17	1
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/29/22 03:17	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 180-400522/101

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 400522

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	265	256		mg/L		97	90 - 110

Lab Sample ID: LCS 180-400522/29

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 400522

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	265	256		mg/L		97	90 - 110

Lab Sample ID: LLCS 180-400522/100

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 400522

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LLCS Result	LLCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	15.9	17.3		mg/L		109	75 - 125

Lab Sample ID: LLCS 180-400522/28

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 400522

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Analyte	Spike Added	LLCS Result	LLCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	15.9	17.1		mg/L		108	75 - 125

Lab Sample ID: LB 180-400041/1-A

Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 400522

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: SPLP West

Analyte	LB Result	LB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 to pH 4.5	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:13	1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:13	1
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	ND		5.0	5.0	mg/L			05/28/22 20:13	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## HPLC/IC

### Leach Batch: 400047

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP	Solid	1312	
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP	Solid	1312	
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP	Solid	1312	
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP	Solid	1312	
LB 180-400047/1-A	Method Blank	SPLP	Solid	1312	

### Analysis Batch: 402663

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP	Solid	EPA 300.0 R2.1	400047
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP	Solid	EPA 300.0 R2.1	400047
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP	Solid	EPA 300.0 R2.1	400047
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP	Solid	EPA 300.0 R2.1	400047
LB 180-400047/1-A	Method Blank	SPLP	Solid	EPA 300.0 R2.1	400047
MB 180-402663/110	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	EPA 300.0 R2.1	
LCS 180-402663/109	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	EPA 300.0 R2.1	

## Metals

### Leach Batch: 400041

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
LB 180-400041/1-B	Method Blank	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
LB 180-400041/1-C	Method Blank	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
180-138265-4 MS	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
180-138265-4 MSD	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	

### Prep Batch: 400433

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP West	Solid	7470A	400041
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP West	Solid	7470A	400041
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP West	Solid	7470A	400041
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	7470A	400041
LB 180-400041/1-B	Method Blank	SPLP West	Solid	7470A	400041
MB 180-400433/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	7470A	
LCS 180-400433/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	7470A	

### Analysis Batch: 400599

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 7470A	400433
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 7470A	400433
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 7470A	400433
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 7470A	400433
LB 180-400041/1-B	Method Blank	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 7470A	400433
MB 180-400433/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	EPA 7470A	400433
LCS 180-400433/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	EPA 7470A	400433

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## Metals

### Prep Batch: 400702

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP West	Solid	3010A	400041
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP West	Solid	3010A	400041
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP West	Solid	3010A	400041
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	3010A	400041
LB 180-400041/1-C	Method Blank	SPLP West	Solid	3010A	400041
MB 180-400702/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	3010A	
LCS 180-400702/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	3010A	
180-138265-4 MS	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	3010A	400041
180-138265-4 MSD	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	3010A	400041

### Analysis Batch: 401849

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 6020B	400702
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 6020B	400702
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 6020B	400702
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 6020B	400702
LB 180-400041/1-C	Method Blank	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 6020B	400702
MB 180-400702/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	EPA 6020B	400702
LCS 180-400702/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	EPA 6020B	400702
180-138265-4 MS	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 6020B	400702
180-138265-4 MSD	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 6020B	400702

## General Chemistry

### Leach Batch: 400041

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	
LB 180-400041/1-A	Method Blank	SPLP West	Solid	EPA 1312	

### Analysis Batch: 400192

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-5	MW-214	Total/NA	Water	SM 2580B	
180-138265-6	MW-210	Total/NA	Water	SM 2580B	
180-138265-7	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Water	SM 2580B	
180-138265-8	BH-1	Total/NA	Water	SM 2580B	
180-138265-9	LEACH BLANK	Total/NA	Water	SM 2580B	
LCS 180-400192/1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	SM 2580B	
LCS 180-400192/26	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	SM 2580B	
180-138265-6 DU	MW-210	Total/NA	Water	SM 2580B	

### Analysis Batch: 400522

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP West	Solid	SM2320 B	400041
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP West	Solid	SM2320 B	400041
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP West	Solid	SM2320 B	400041
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	SM2320 B	400041
LB 180-400041/1-A	Method Blank	SPLP West	Solid	SM2320 B	400041
MB 180-400522/102	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	SM2320 B	

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-1

## General Chemistry (Continued)

### Analysis Batch: 400522 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 180-400522/30	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	SM2320 B	
MB 180-400522/6	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	SM2320 B	
MB 180-400522/78	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	SM2320 B	
LCS 180-400522/101	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	SM2320 B	
LCS 180-400522/29	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	SM2320 B	
LLCS 180-400522/100	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	SM2320 B	
LLCS 180-400522/28	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	SM2320 B	

### Analysis Batch: 400551

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-1	MW-214	SPLP West	Solid	SM 2540C	400041
180-138265-2	MW-210	SPLP West	Solid	SM 2540C	400041
180-138265-3	MW-212-C	SPLP West	Solid	SM 2540C	400041
180-138265-4	BH-1	SPLP West	Solid	SM 2540C	400041
LB 180-400041/1-A	Method Blank	SPLP West	Solid	SM 2540C	400041
MB 180-400551/2	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	SM 2540C	
LCS 180-400551/1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	SM 2540C	

### Analysis Batch: 400577

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-5	MW-214	Total/NA	Water	EPA 9040C	
180-138265-6	MW-210	Total/NA	Water	EPA 9040C	
180-138265-7	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Water	EPA 9040C	
180-138265-8	BH-1	Total/NA	Water	EPA 9040C	
180-138265-9	LEACH BLANK	Total/NA	Water	EPA 9040C	
LCS 180-400577/1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	EPA 9040C	





**Environment Testing  
America**

Regulatory Program: ☐ DW ☐ NPDES ☐ RCRA ☒ Other: CCR

**TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. d/b/a Eurofins TestAmerica**

[illegible]

Part # 156297-455, HRDB2 EXP 03/23

SHIP DATE: 13MAY22  
ACT WT: 14.40 LB  
CAD: 6993797/SSFE2300  
DIMED: 17 X 17 X 7 IN  
BILL 3rd PARTY


FROM: (517) 348-2998  
GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC  
STE 200  
7245 N ALASKA DR STE 200  
DENVER CO 80226  
US

TO

EUROFINS TESTAMERICA PITTSBURGH  
301 ALPHA DR

PITTSBURGH PA 15238  
(412) 963-7058  
REF: 101


(US)



TRK# 2731 1126 7371


15238

9622 04 11 17  
Uncorrected temp 12.8 °C  
Thermometer ID 7371



CF -0.4 W01 Initials S

PT-WI-SR-001 effective 11/8/18



180-138265 Waybill

Part # 156287-455, HRDB2 EXP 03/23

SHIP DATE: 13MAY22  
ACT WT: 14.40 LB  
CAD: 6993797/SSFE2300  
DIMED: 17 X 17 X 7 IN  
BILL 3rd PARTY

FROM: (517) 348-2998  
GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC  
STE 200  
7245 N ALASKA DR STE 200  
DENVER CO 80226  
US

TO


EUROFINS TESTAMERICA PITTSBURGH  
301 ALPHA DR

PITTSBURGH PA 15238  
(412) 963-7058  
REF: 1

(US)

9621

FedEx  
Ground



TRK# 2731 1126 7371

15238



7371

Uncorrected temp 12.8 °C

Thermometer ID

CF -0.4 W01 Initials *DS*

PT-WI-SR-001 effective 11/8/18



180-138265 Waybill





## Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job Number: 180-138265-1

**Login Number: 138265**

**List Source: Eurofins Pittsburgh**

**List Number: 1**

**Creator: Watson, Debbie**

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is $\leq$ background as measured by a survey meter.	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	False	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	False	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is $<6\text{mm}$ (1/4").	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	False	Sample splitting required for subcontract purposes.
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

## ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins Pittsburgh  
301 Alpha Drive  
RIDC Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15238  
Tel: (412)963-7058


Laboratory Job ID: 180-138265-2

Client Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

**For:**

Golder Associates Inc.  
7245 W Alaska Drive  
Suite 200  
Lakewood, Colorado 80226

Attn: Ms. Erin Hunter



Authorized for release by:  
6/27/2022 8:40:24 AM

Carrie Gamber, Senior Project Manager  
(412)963-2428

[Carrie.Gamber@et.eurofinsus.com](mailto:Carrie.Gamber@et.eurofinsus.com)

### LINKS

Review your project  
results through



Have a Question?



Visit us at:

[www.eurofinsus.com/Env](http://www.eurofinsus.com/Env)

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

PA Lab ID: 02-00416

# Table of Contents

Cover Page . . . . .	1
Table of Contents . . . . .	2
Case Narrative . . . . .	3
Definitions/Glossary . . . . .	5
Certification Summary . . . . .	6
Sample Summary . . . . .	7
Method Summary . . . . .	8
Lab Chronicle . . . . .	9
Client Sample Results . . . . .	11
QC Sample Results . . . . .	14
QC Association Summary . . . . .	16
Chain of Custody . . . . .	17
Receipt Checklists . . . . .	19



# Case Narrative

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

**Job ID: 180-138265-2**

**Laboratory: Eurofins Pittsburgh**

**Narrative**

## CASE NARRATIVE

**Client: Golder Associates Inc.**

**Project: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station**

**Report Number: 180-138265-2**

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

### **RECEIPT**

The samples were received on 05/18/2022; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the coolers at receipt was 12.4 C.

The following samples were received at the laboratory outside the required temperature criteria: MW-214 (180-138265-1), MW-210 (180-138265-2), MW-212-C (180-138265-3), BH-1 (180-138265-4), MW-214 (180-138265-5), MW-210 (180-138265-6), MW-212-C (180-138265-7), BH-1 (180-138265-8) and LEACH BLANK (180-138265-9). There was no cooling media present in the cooler. The analyses proceeded.

The Chain-of-Custody (COC) was incomplete as received and/or improperly completed. The COC was not relinquished.

### **9315 RADIUM 226**

The following samples were prepared at a reduced aliquot due to Matrix: MW-214 (180-138265-5), MW-212-C (180-138265-7) and BH-1 (180-138265-8). A laboratory control sample/ laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD) were prepared instead of a sample duplicate (DUP) to demonstrate batch precision.

Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date: MW-214 (180-138265-5), MW-210 (180-138265-6), MW-212-C (180-138265-7), BH-1 (180-138265-8), (LCS 160-567939/1-A), (LCSD 160-567939/2-A) and (MB 160-567939/23-A)

### **9320 RADIUM 228**

The following samples were prepared at a reduced aliquot due to Matrix: MW-214 (180-138265-5), MW-212-C (180-138265-7) and BH-1 (180-138265-8). A laboratory control sample/ laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD) were prepared instead of a sample duplicate (DUP) to demonstrate batch precision.

Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date: MW-214 (180-138265-5), MW-210 (180-138265-6), MW-212-C (180-138265-7), BH-1 (180-138265-8), (LCS 160-567945/1-A), (LCSD 160-567945/2-A) and (MB 160-567945/23-A)

Any minimum detectable concentration (MDC), critical value (DLC), or Safe Drinking Water Act detection limit (SDWA DL) is sample-specific unless otherwise stated elsewhere in this narrative. Radiochemistry sample results are reported with the count date/time applied as the Activity Reference Date: (CCB 160-571242/53), (CCB 160-571243/17), (CCB 160-571243/18), (CCB 160-571243/21), (CCB

## Case Narrative

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job ID: 180-138265-2

Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

---

### Job ID: 180-138265-2 (Continued)

---

#### Laboratory: Eurofins Pittsburgh (Continued)

160-571243/22), (CCB 160-571243/48), (CCB 160-571243/49), (CCVA 160-571242/5), (CCVA 160-571243/1), (CCVA 160-571243/2), (CCVA 160-571243/40), (CCVA 160-571243/41), (CCVA 160-571243/5), (CCVA 160-571243/6), (CCVB 160-571242/29), (CCVB 160-571243/10), (CCVB 160-571243/13), (CCVB 160-571243/14), (CCVB 160-571243/32), (CCVB 160-571243/33) and (CCVB 160-571243/9)

# Definitions/Glossary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job ID: 180-138265-2

Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

## Qualifiers

### Rad

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
U	Result is less than the sample detection limit.

## Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
α	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

# Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

## Laboratory: Eurofins St. Louis

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
Alaska (UST)	State	20-001	05-06-25
ANAB	Dept. of Defense ELAP	L2305	04-06-25
ANAB	Dept. of Energy	L2305.01	04-06-25
ANAB	ISO/IEC 17025	L2305	04-06-25
Arizona	State	AZ0813	12-08-22
California	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts	10259	06-30-22
California	State	2886	07-01-22
Connecticut	State	PH-0241	03-31-23
Florida	NELAP	E87689	06-30-22
HI - RadChem Recognition	State	n/a	06-30-22
Illinois	NELAP	200023	11-30-22
Iowa	State	373	12-01-22
Kansas	NELAP	E-10236	10-31-22
Kentucky (DW)	State	KY90125	12-31-22
Kentucky (WW)	State	KY90125 (Permit KY0004049)	12-31-22
Louisiana	NELAP	04080	06-30-22
Louisiana (DW)	State	LA011	12-31-22
Maryland	State	310	09-30-22
MI - RadChem Recognition	State	9005	06-30-22
Missouri	State	780	06-30-22
Nevada	State	MO000542020-1	07-31-22
New Jersey	NELAP	MO002	06-30-22
New York	NELAP	11616	04-01-23
North Dakota	State	R-207	06-30-22
NRC	NRC	24-24817-01	12-31-22
Oklahoma	NELAP	9997	08-31-22
Oregon	NELAP	4157	09-01-22
Pennsylvania	NELAP	68-00540	02-28-23
South Carolina	State	85002001	06-30-22
Texas	NELAP	T104704193	07-31-22
US Fish & Wildlife	US Federal Programs	058448	07-31-22
USDA	US Federal Programs	P330-17-00028	03-11-23
Utah	NELAP	MO000542021-14	08-01-22
Virginia	NELAP	10310	06-14-23
Washington	State	C592	08-30-22
West Virginia DEP	State	381	10-31-22

# Sample Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton  
Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
180-138265-5	MW-214	Water	05/27/22 04:30	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-6	MW-210	Water	05/27/22 04:30	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-7	MW-212-C	Water	05/27/22 04:30	05/18/22 09:00
180-138265-8	BH-1	Water	05/27/22 04:30	05/18/22 09:00

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

# Method Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job ID: 180-138265-2

Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
9315	Radium-226 (GFPC)	SW846	TAL SL
9320	Radium-228 (GFPC)	SW846	TAL SL
Ra226_Ra228	Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228	TAL-STL	TAL SL
PrecSep_0	Preparation, Precipitate Separation	None	TAL SL
PrecSep-21	Preparation, Precipitate Separation (21-Day In-Growth)	None	TAL SL

## Protocol References:

None = None

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

TAL-STL = TestAmerica Laboratories, St. Louis, Facility Standard Operating Procedure.

## Laboratory References:

TAL SL = Eurofins St. Louis, 13715 Rider Trail North, Earth City, MO 63045, TEL (314)298-8566

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

**Client Sample ID: MW-214**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-5**

**Matrix: Water**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	PrecSep-21			742.35 mL	1.0 g	567939	06/01/22 15:21	MS	TAL SL
Total/NA	Analysis	9315		1			571243	06/23/22 08:35	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: GFPCRED										
Total/NA	Prep	PrecSep_0			742.35 mL	1.0 g	567945	06/01/22 15:49	BMP	TAL SL
Total/NA	Analysis	9320		1			570287	06/16/22 11:29	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: GFPCRED										
Total/NA	Analysis	Ra226_Ra228		1			571471	06/24/22 14:22	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

**Client Sample ID: MW-210**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-6**

**Matrix: Water**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	PrecSep-21			991.69 mL	1.0 g	567939	06/01/22 15:21	MS	TAL SL
Total/NA	Analysis	9315		1			571243	06/23/22 08:36	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: GFPCRED										
Total/NA	Prep	PrecSep_0			991.69 mL	1.0 g	567945	06/01/22 15:49	BMP	TAL SL
Total/NA	Analysis	9320		1			570287	06/16/22 11:29	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: GFPCRED										
Total/NA	Analysis	Ra226_Ra228		1			571471	06/24/22 14:22	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-7**

**Matrix: Water**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	PrecSep-21			746.21 mL	1.0 g	567939	06/01/22 15:21	MS	TAL SL
Total/NA	Analysis	9315		1			571243	06/23/22 08:36	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: GFPCRED										
Total/NA	Prep	PrecSep_0			746.21 mL	1.0 g	567945	06/01/22 15:49	BMP	TAL SL
Total/NA	Analysis	9320		1			570287	06/16/22 11:29	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: GFPCRED										
Total/NA	Analysis	Ra226_Ra228		1			571471	06/24/22 14:22	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: NOEQUIP										

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-8**

**Matrix: Water**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	PrecSep-21			746.92 mL	1.0 g	567939	06/01/22 15:21	MS	TAL SL
Total/NA	Analysis	9315		1			571243	06/23/22 08:37	FLC	TAL SL
Instrument ID: GFPCRED										

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Lab Chronicle

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-8**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Matrix: Water**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	PrecSep_0			746.92 mL	1.0 g	567945	06/01/22 15:49	BMP	TAL SL
Total/NA	Analysis	9320		1			570287	06/16/22 11:29	FLC	TAL SL
		Instrument ID: GFPCRED								
Total/NA	Analysis	Ra226_Ra228		1			571471	06/24/22 14:22	FLC	TAL SL
		Instrument ID: NOEQUIP								

## Laboratory References:

TAL SL = Eurofins St. Louis, 13715 Rider Trail North, Earth City, MO 63045, TEL (314)298-8566

## Analyst References:

Lab: TAL SL

Batch Type: Prep

BMP = Bailey Pinette

MS = Matthew Swaringam

Batch Type: Analysis

FLC = Fernando Cruz

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

Client Sample ID: MW-214

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-5

Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

## Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-226	0.175	U	0.142	0.143	1.00	0.216	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:35	1
Carrier	%Yield	Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	91.5		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:35	1

## Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-228	0.776		0.453	0.458	1.00	0.651	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1
Carrier	%Yield	Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	91.5		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1
Y Carrier	84.5		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1

## Method: Ra226\_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Combined Radium 226 + 228	0.952		0.475	0.480	5.00	0.651	pCi/L		06/24/22 14:22	1

Client Sample ID: MW-210

Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-6

Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00

## Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-226	-0.0101	U	0.0632	0.0632	1.00	0.131	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:36	1
Carrier	%Yield	Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	95.5		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:36	1

## Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-228	0.246	U	0.261	0.262	1.00	0.421	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1
Carrier	%Yield	Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	95.5		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1
Y Carrier	83.0		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

**Client Sample ID: MW-210**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-6**

**Matrix: Water**

**Method: Ra226\_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228**

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Combined Radium 226 + 228	0.236	U	0.269	0.270	5.00	0.421	pCi/L		06/24/22 14:22	1

**Client Sample ID: MW-212-C**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-7**

**Matrix: Water**

**Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)**

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-226	0.121	U	0.0949	0.0956	1.00	0.134	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:36	1
Carrier	%Yield	Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	91.3		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:36	1

**Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)**

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-228	0.472	U	0.383	0.386	1.00	0.590	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1
Carrier	%Yield	Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	91.3		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1
Y Carrier	86.0		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1

**Method: Ra226\_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228**

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Combined Radium 226 + 228	0.592		0.395	0.398	5.00	0.590	pCi/L		06/24/22 14:22	1

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-8**

**Matrix: Water**

**Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)**

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-226	0.0109	U	0.0681	0.0681	1.00	0.139	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:37	1
Carrier	%Yield	Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	87.5		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:37	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# Client Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

**Client Sample ID: BH-1**

**Lab Sample ID: 180-138265-8**

**Date Collected: 05/27/22 04:30**

**Matrix: Water**

**Date Received: 05/18/22 09:00**

## Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-228	0.267	U	0.434	0.435	1.00	0.739	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1
Carrier	%Yield	Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	87.5		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1
Y Carrier	86.4		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:29	1

## Method: Ra226\_Ra228 - Combined Radium-226 and Radium-228

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Combined Radium 226 + 228	0.278	U	0.439	0.440	5.00	0.739	pCi/L		06/24/22 14:22	1

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

## Method: 9315 - Radium-226 (GFPC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-567939/23-A

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 571242

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 567939

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-226	0.08531	U	0.0830	0.0834	1.00	0.131	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:34	1
Carrier	MB %Yield	MB Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	106		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:21	06/23/22 08:34	1

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-567939/1-A

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 571243

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 567939

Analyte		Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qual	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Radium-226		11.3	10.53		1.11	1.00	0.109	pCi/L	93	75 - 125
Carrier	LCS %Yield	LCS Qualifier	Limits							
Ba Carrier	91.5		40 - 110							

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 160-567939/2-A

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 571243

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 567939

Analyte		Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qual	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RER	RER Limit
Radium-226		11.3	10.53		1.11	1.00	0.102	pCi/L	93	75 - 125	0	1
Carrier	LCSD %Yield	LCSD Qualifier	Limits									
Ba Carrier	91.3		40 - 110									

## Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC)

Lab Sample ID: MB 160-567945/23-A

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 570287

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 567945

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	Count Uncert. (2σ+/-)	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Radium-228	-0.2566	U	0.202	0.204	1.00	0.445	pCi/L	06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:33	1
Carrier	MB %Yield	MB Qualifier	Limits					Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Ba Carrier	106		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:33	1
Y Carrier	92.7		40 - 110					06/01/22 15:49	06/16/22 11:33	1

Eurofins Pittsburgh

# QC Sample Results

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

## Method: 9320 - Radium-228 (GFPC) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 160-567945/1-A

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 570309

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 567945

Analyte		Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qual	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Radium-228		8.53	9.932		1.32	1.00	0.500	pCi/L	116	75 - 125

	LCS %Yield	LCS Qualifier	Limits
Carrier			
Ba Carrier	91.5		40 - 110
Y Carrier	82.6		40 - 110

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 160-567945/2-A

Matrix: Water

Analysis Batch: 570309

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 567945

Analyte		Spike Added	LCSD Result	LCSD Qual	Total Uncert. (2σ+/-)	RL	MDC	Unit	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RER	RER Limit
Radium-228		8.53	9.522		1.27	1.00	0.495	pCi/L	112	75 - 125	0.16	1

	LCSD %Yield	LCSD Qualifier	Limits
Carrier			
Ba Carrier	91.3		40 - 110
Y Carrier	82.6		40 - 110

# QC Association Summary

Client: Golder Associates Inc.  
Project/Site: GL21509219, GRE Stanton 2022, Stanton Station

Job ID: 180-138265-2

## Rad

### Prep Batch: 567939

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-5	MW-214	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep-21	
180-138265-6	MW-210	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep-21	
180-138265-7	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep-21	
180-138265-8	BH-1	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep-21	
MB 160-567939/23-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep-21	
LCS 160-567939/1-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep-21	
LCSD 160-567939/2-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep-21	

### Prep Batch: 567945

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
180-138265-5	MW-214	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep_0	
180-138265-6	MW-210	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep_0	
180-138265-7	MW-212-C	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep_0	
180-138265-8	BH-1	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep_0	
MB 160-567945/23-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep_0	
LCS 160-567945/1-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep_0	
LCSD 160-567945/2-A	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Water	PrecSep_0	



**Environment Testing  
America**

Regulatory Program: ☐ DW ☐ NPDES ☐ RCRA ☒ Other: CCR

**TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. d/b/a Eurofins TestAmerica**

[illegible]

Part # 156287-455, HRDB2 EXP 03/23

SHIP DATE: 13MAY22  
ACT WT: 14.40 LB  
CAD: 6983797/SSFE2300  
DIMED: 17 X 17 X 7 IN  
BILL 3rd PARTY

FROM: (517) 348-2998  
GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC  
STE 200  
7245 N ALASKA DR STE 200  
DENVER CO 80226  
US


TO

EUROFINS TESTAMERICA PITTSBURGH  
301 ALPHA DR

PITTSBURGH PA 15238  
(412) 963-7058  
REF: 101

(US)

FedEx  
Ground





TRK# 2731 1126 7371

15238

9622 04 11 17  
Uncorrected temp 12.8 °C

Thermometer ID  
CF -0.4 W01 Initials *DS*

PT-WI-SR-001 effective 11/8/18



180-138265 Waybill

## Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job Number: 180-138265-2

**Login Number: 138265**

**List Source: Eurofins Pittsburgh**

**List Number: 1**

**Creator: Watson, Debbie**

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is $\leq$ background as measured by a survey meter.	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	False	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	False	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is $<6\text{mm}$ (1/4").	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	False	Sample splitting required for subcontract purposes.
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

## Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Golder Associates Inc.

Job Number: 180-138265-2

**Login Number: 138265**

**List Number: 2**

**Creator: Worthington, Sierra M**

**List Source: Eurofins St. Louis**

**List Creation: 06/01/22 11:09 AM**

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is $\leq$ background as measured by a survey meter.	True	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs)	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is $<6\text{mm}$ (1/4").	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	



[golder.com](http://golder.com)